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The impact of community psychological responses on outbreak control for severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong
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Objective: To examine the public’s knowledge and perception of SARS and the extent to which various precautionary measures have been adopted.

Design: Cross sectional survey.

Setting: General population of Hong Kong at the height of the SARS outbreak (29 March to 6 April 2003).

Participants: 1115 ethnic Chinese adults.

Main results: Forty per cent did not recognise fomites as a possible mode of transmission whereas 55.1% believed that the infection could be transmitted airborne. A large proportion (30.1%) believed they were very or somewhat likely to contract SARS while only one quarter believed they were very likely to survive if they contracted the disease, benchmarked against an actual case fatality ratio of 2.8% at the time of the survey and 15%–20% according to current best estimates. Precautionary measures directed against person to person droplet spread were generally adopted by most while the prevention of transmission through fomites was not practised as frequently. Respondents with higher risk perceptions and a moderate level of anxiety were most likely to take comprehensive precautionary measures against the infection, as were older, female, more educated people as well as those with a positive contact history and SARS-like symptoms.

Conclusions: The findings demonstrate that the promotion of protective personal health practices to interrupt the self sustaining transmission of the SARS virus in the community must take into account background perceptions of risk and anxiety levels of the public at large. Continuing public education about preventive measures should be targeted at the identified groups with low current uptake of precautions.

METHODS

Respondents were recruited using random digit dialling of all land based telephone lines in Hong Kong. A total of 1115 Hong Kong adult residents completed the survey, which was conducted from 29 March to 6 April 2003. The response rate was 76.4% and the sampling error was 3.1 percentage points (fig 1).

The survey instrument consisted of 60 questions in total, five of which have multiple parts. It was pre-tested for face and content validity, length, and comprehensibility. The questionnaire was administered in Cantonese Chinese, where 95% of the local resident population was ethnic Chinese.

Firstly, respondents were asked about their self perceived general health status, febrile and respiratory symptoms in the past two weeks, and general anxiety levels using the locally validated State-Anxiety Scale of the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). Next, we inquired about the use of health services in the previous two weeks. The third section examined for the presence, intensity, and setting of direct and indirect contacts with diagnosed SARS cases. Fourthly, we evaluated respondents’ risk perception in terms of their self perceived likelihood of contracting SARS and survival if diagnosed with the disease. Respondents were also asked their beliefs about routes of transmission and confidence in physicians’ ability to diagnose the disease. The penultimate section assessed the extent to which various precautionary measures were being adopted and possible changes in lifestyle practices to prevent transmission of the virus. Lastly, sociodemographics of the respondents were recorded.

We determined differences in proportions between baseline demographics in this survey and a general household...
survey in 2002 (commissioned by the Hong Kong Government Census and Statistics Department) by calculating the effect size, a standard statistical methodology, where a value of 0.1 indicates a small effect size, 0.3 a medium effect size and 0.5 a large effect size. To adjust for possible sampling biases due to sociodemographic differences between respondents and non-respondents and to ensure that the sample was representative of the Hong Kong ethnic Chinese population, we weighted the responses on the basis of the latest figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department for age, sex, and education level. The final dataset included 1018 subjects while the remainder was excluded due to incomplete data on the three key variables for the weighting exercise.

We also sought to identify predictors for greater adoption of the government’s recommended precautionary measures (defined as at least five of the seven specified strategies in figure 2) and health services use (defined as ever attendance of Western or Chinese medical practitioners in any setting during the previous two weeks) using multivariable logistic regression. Potential explanatory variables were anxiety level (STAI mean score), self perceived likelihood of contracting SARS, surviving the illness if infected, the presence of physical symptoms, contact history and sociodemographics. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 8.0. Ethics approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster, which conformed to the principles embodied in the Declaration of Helsinki.

RESULTS
Respondents’ characteristics
Table 1 compares the sample characteristics (in terms of unweighted proportions) with those from the 2002 general
household survey. Most of the baseline parameters in this survey were similar to the benchmark statistics, except for educational attainment, which was adjusted for (together with age and gender) in the subsequent weighting exercise.

### Health and emotional status

Table 2 shows that 1.6% of the sample reported persistent fever of 38°C for at least one day within the previous two weeks, about half of whom (0.9%) also had other respiratory symptoms. This combination of symptomatology would qualify these respondents as possibly “eligible” for an SARS diagnosis (box). Respondents’ anxiety level, measured using the STAI 10-item scale, revealed a sample mean score of 2.24 on a scale of 1 (“not anxious at all”) to 4 (“very anxious”). Although there are no formal normative values for the Hong Kong population, two smaller studies have reported equivalent mean scores of 1.88 in a group of men during the pre-operative period undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate, and 2.22 in patients five weeks after stoma surgery for colorectal cancer. About one in eight (12.6%) respondents had a mean score of 3 or above indicating that they were either “quite” or “very” anxious.

### Extent of direct and indirect contacts with diagnosed cases

Most (87.3%) respondents reported no contact history, whereas 1.0% had direct contact and 2.7% had indirect contact.

### SARS clinical case definition

- **High fever (≥38°C or 100.5°F)**
- One or more respiratory symptoms (including cough, difficulty breathing, shortness of breath, and hypoxia, etc) OR radiographic findings of either pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome
contact ("contact of direct contact") with a confirmed case. The remaining 9.0% of the sample believed they might have been exposed to a possible SARS patient or infected materials (for example, fomites) (table 3).

Knowledge and beliefs about SARS
About four of five respondents knew that SARS was transmitted by person to person droplet nuclei. However, only 62.3% identified that fomites or contact through contaminated objects was also a possible transmission mode. These were the two main routes of transmission confirmed by the Hong Kong Department of Health, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the World Health Organisation. Moreover, more than half of the sample (55.1%) thought that the infection could be transmitted via the airborne route, which does not seem to be the case according to the epidemiological evidence gathered so far (table 4).

Table 4 also shows that about 30% of the respondents believed that they were "very likely" or "somewhat likely" to contract SARS during the current outbreak. This proportion remained the same even after excluding those who reported any contact (direct or indirect) with an SARS case patient. Regarding the likelihood of surviving SARS if they contracted the disease, fewer than 5% believed they were unlikely (4.1% "not very likely" and 0.7% "not likely at all") to survive but one fifth (20.6%) were uncertain about this. The actual case fatality ratio in Hong Kong as of April 9, 2003 was 2.8% (27 deaths of 970 confirmed cases), whereas current best estimates vary between 15% to 20.12 Most respondents were confident (23.3% "very confident" and 56.0% "somewhat confident") that their physician would be able to recognise the symptoms and signs of SARS and properly diagnose the disease.

Precautionary measures
Figure 2 displays the respective proportions of respondents who reported practising each of seven specified precautionary measures as recommended by the Hong Kong Department of Health to prevent the transmission and contracting of SARS, directed against the two main modes of transmission of person to person droplet spread and fomites. Most reported that they would cover their mouths when sneezing or coughing (78.0%) and wash their hands afterwards (74.3%), use soap when washing hands (74.5%), as well as wear a face mask (75.8%). However, only one in three (33.7%) tried to avoid direct contact by touch with possible contaminated objects (that is, fomites) although 65.3% of respondents would wash their hands afterwards. Only a small minority (38.6%) used serving utensils during meals; this is particularly important in Chinese culture where dishes are commonly shared with everyone at the table. Lastly, fewer than half of the respondents (48.7%) practised at least five of the seven specified preventive strategies to improve personal hygiene.

Predictors for the adoption of precautionary measures and health services use
Table 5 demonstrates that respondents who had a moderate level of anxiety were most likely to adopt more precautionary measures against SARS. Risk perception in terms of a higher self perceived likelihood of contracting SARS was also a significant, positive predictor, whereas the likelihood of surviving SARS was not. Respondents who had respiratory or febrile symptoms, especially persistent high fever and cough or difficulty breathing (compatible with a possible SARS diagnosis), were more likely to adopt precautionary measures to a greater extent. Those with a positive contact history (direct, indirect, or suspected) also made greater efforts in practising preventive strategies. Men were much less likely to adopt comprehensive precautionary measures against SARS. There were strong positive dose-response gradients with increasing age and level of educational attainment (p for linear trend were <0.01 and <0.001 respectively). To assess whether anxiety level was an intermediary in moderating the effects of risk perception on the uptake of precautionary measures, we re-analysed the model while omitting the STAI score as an independent variable and found that the OR estimates for the two self perceived likelihood factors did not change appreciably, thus confirming that anxiety was not a significant moderating factor.

On the other hand, the presence of symptoms was the only robust predictor for higher health services use. Respondents’ health seeking behaviour did not appear to have been...
Table 5: Predictors for greater adoption of precautionary measures against SARS and health services use in the previous two weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&gt;=5 Precautionary measures</th>
<th></th>
<th>Health services use in previous two weeks</th>
<th></th>
<th>p for linear trend</th>
<th>p for linear trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted OR&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>p for linear trend</td>
<td>Adjusted OR&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>p for linear trend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety level (10-item STAI mean score)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (1.00–1.99)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (2.00–2.49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.13***</td>
<td>(2.10 to 4.66)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.97 to 2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (2.50–4.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.89***</td>
<td>(1.31 to 2.74)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.83 to 2.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of contracting SARS during the current outbreak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very likely/not likely at all/don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very likely/somewhat likely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.50*</td>
<td>(1.07 to 2.09)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.58 to 1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of surviving SARS if infected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very likely/not likely at all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.86 (0.40 to 1.87)</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>(0.83 to 3.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile and respiratory symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any febrile or respiratory symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>(0.79 to 1.44)</td>
<td>6.93*** (4.42 to 10.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent fever AND cough or difficulty breathing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.85*</td>
<td>(1.01 to 77.27)</td>
<td>44.93*** (10.33 to 195.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct or indirect contact with a suspected/diagnosed case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.60*</td>
<td>(1.02 to 2.50)</td>
<td>1.58 (0.98 to 2.54)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>(0.26 to 0.48)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.52 to 1.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>(0.99 to 2.77)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.80 to 2.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>2.23**</td>
<td>(1.38 to 3.60)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.79 to 2.58)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>2.39**</td>
<td>(1.43 to 4.00)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.73 to 2.92)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>2.53**</td>
<td>(1.35 to 4.74)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.80 to 4.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>2.98**</td>
<td>(1.42 to 6.23)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.64 to 3.43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/kindergarten</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>2.28*</td>
<td>(1.05 to 4.93)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.55 to 3.50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.46***</td>
<td>(2.00 to 9.96)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.46 to 3.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper secondary</td>
<td>7.40**</td>
<td>(3.37 to 16.27)</td>
<td>2.01 (0.81 to 4.99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matriculation</td>
<td>6.69**</td>
<td>(2.69 to 16.61)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.46 to 4.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary or above</td>
<td>11.02**</td>
<td>(4.80 to 25.31)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.62 to 4.30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals. * p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. †Odds ratios were adjusted for all other variables in the models.
DISCUSSION

This survey revealed substantial misinformation and false beliefs among a representative sample of Hong Kong adults at an advanced stage of the SARS epidemic, despite heavy and constant mass media and public service announcements coverage (Fig 1). More importantly, the findings demonstrate that effective public health action to curb the transmission of the SARS virus, in this case mainly effected through enhanced personal hygiene and health protective measures, is critically dependent on public psychological responses and the prevailing perceptions and beliefs of the community at large. For instance, we found that respondents with higher risk perceptions and a moderate level of anxiety were the most likely to take comprehensive precautionary measures against the infection. One particular strength of the study is that respondents were interviewed during an actual continuing outbreak, compared with other similar studies of infectious disease epidemics or bioterrorism attacks where hypothetical questions were usually posed.

Our findings have several important, immediate implications for public health professionals and physicians in Hong Kong, the Asia-Pacific rim and worldwide. Firstly, our data highlight the central part that public health messages would play in providing appropriate advice and education during this SARS epidemic. The results revealed that there were significant gaps in the public’s knowledge about SARS and associated issues such as route of transmission, risk perception that were strongly associated with deficits in the adoption of precautionary measures to prevent the spread of SARS. Of note, although the majority of respondents knew about preventive strategies against person to person respiratory droplet spread, a substantial proportion did not realise fomites were an equally, if not more important, transmission mode as suggested by accumulating epidemiological evidence. Associated measures such as adopting precautionary steps when touching possible contaminated objects and washing hands immediately afterwards had comparatively low uptake rates of less than 40%, compared with face mask wearing at 76%. The overall effectiveness of preventive measures is only as good as the weakest link in the entire set of precautionary steps. There are clearly areas where efforts directed towards health education and promotion should be redoubled.

Policy implications

- An evidence based approach to public health policy formulation and evaluation during an infectious disease outbreak deserves equal attention to the identification of the responsible agent, pathophysiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment of the disease.
- Population psychological responses in this Chinese community at the epicentre of the SARS outbreak are shown to be an important potential vector for the transmission of an infectious agent.
- These findings show that the promotion of protective personal health practices must take into account background perceptions of risk and anxiety levels in the community at large.

Key points

- Respondents had numerous misconceptions about the routes of transmission of SARS, over-estimated the likelihood of infection and under-estimated that of survival.
- Those with higher risk perceptions and a moderate level of anxiety are most likely to take comprehensive precautionary measures against infection.

Secondly, there has been much theoretical consideration of anxiety as either a facilitator or barrier for promoting the optimal adoption of precautionary measures. Empirical findings from this study suggest that a moderate level of anxiety brought about the greatest uptake of preventive measures by respondents, whereas those who were either overanxious or had lower levels of anxiety were less likely to adopt such steps necessary in preventing the spread of the infectious agent. This underlines the importance for public health messages relayed to the community to strike a delicate balance between being overly reassuring or normalising and unnecessarily alarmist in terms of its impact on herd psychology.

As would be anticipated, younger, less educated males, the traditional risk takers, were least likely to adopt appropriate preventive measures in protecting themselves and others against SARS. Perhaps targeting health promotion messages through intermediaries of female significant others (for example, mothers, wives or girlfriends) who are more health conscious and risk averse would be worth exploring in an attempt to raise the level of protective precautions undertaken by this vulnerable subgroup.

Reassuringly, we also noted that only those with symptoms were more likely to seek medical attention and other factors such as risk perception and anxiety level did not significantly influence health services use, indicating there was little detectable panic associated with health seeking behaviour, a phenomenon not uncommonly seen in large outbreaks.

In summary, we have identified knowledge deficits, areas of irrational risk perception, and issues that may require attitudinal adjustment in order to optimise self protection against SARS infection and community spread of the causative agent. Complementary to health promotion campaigns in the mass media, we suggest that specially designed target strategies using social marketing and health communication techniques need to be developed and rigorously evaluated for their effectiveness in reaching vulnerable groups (for example, young males and other “risk taking” individuals) and ultimately in changing behaviour for SARS. International experiences, mostly from the HIV/AIDS literature, indicate that an emphasis on sustained interventions towards changing social norms yield the most effective results.13 There is now a window of opportunity to implement this work before a possible next outbreak in the winter months.

The principal limitation of this rapid survey during the SARS outbreak is that it was administered at a single period in time and the stability of the responses is unknown. However, we are carrying out biweekly follow up of the same respondents to track such possible changes as the epidemic evolves. It would also be desirable to compare the public’s psychological responses in other geographical areas that were similarly affected, such as Singapore, Taiwan, mainland China, Vietnam, and Canada. Such comparative analyses,
especially if performed at different stages of the epidemic, would enable policy makers to disentangle generic issues from culture specific concerns and the sharing of best practices that appear to have been important in successfully controlling the outbreak across different communities. In addition, the further exploration of the public’s beliefs and their interplay with folklore, common in the Confucian tradition of East Asia, using qualitative techniques would be a useful adjunct in understanding the population psychological responses as reported here.

During a new epidemic such as the SARS outbreak currently, the focus of research and action in the medical and public health community has often and rightly been on the identification of the responsible agent, pathophysiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment of the disease. The policy formulation and implementation of public health control measures deserve equal attention and such recommendations should be grounded in a thorough understanding of the public’s perceptions, beliefs, attitudes, and general psychology. This inquiry demonstrates that the promotion of protective personal health practices must take into account background perceptions of risk and anxiety levels in the community at large. Population psychological responses in this Chinese community at the epicentre of the SARS outbreak are shown to be an important potential vector for the transmission of an infectious agent.
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Divorce and suicide risk

There is accumulating evidence that divorced and separated people have much higher suicide rates than their married counterparts. In a previous paper published in this journal, it was observed that divorced and separated men were nearly 2.4 times more likely to kill themselves than their married counterparts.\footnote{Kposowa AJ. Marital status and suicide in the National Longitudinal Mortality Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2000;54:254-61.}

That study, however, failed to directly compare divorced men and women. While it informed us that divorced people are at higher risk of suicide than the married, it said nothing about the suicide risk of divorced men relative to divorced women. The purpose of this communication is to assess the magnitude of the differentials in suicide risk between the two groups, and explore possible reasons that might explain the disparities.

Data were obtained from the US National Longitudinal Mortality Study (NLMS), 1979–1989, and covariates used were taken from Kposowa.\footnote{Kposowa AJ. Marital status and suicide in the National Longitudinal Mortality Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2000;54:254-61.} The response variable was the risk of suicide, and analysis was restricted to divorced and separated non-Hispanic white men and women. Proportional hazards regression models were fitted to the data, and relevant results are in table 1.

Model 1 presents the age adjusted effects of sex on the risk of suicide. Divorced men were over eight times more likely to commit suicide than divorced women (RR = 8.36, 95% CI = 4.24 to 16.38). After taking into account other factors that have been reported to contribute to suicide, divorced men still experienced much increased risks of suicide than divorced women. They were nearly 9.7 times more likely to kill themselves than comparable divorced women (RR = 9.68, 95% CI = 4.87 to 19.22). Put another way, for every divorced woman that committed suicide, over nine divorced men killed themselves.

These results dramatise the terrible consequences of being a divorced man in America, and lead to the question: why are divorced men killing themselves? Some analysts argue that the research community has ignored a plausible explanation for the excess suicide risks experienced by divorced men. As Perrault\footnote{Perrault C. And if we speak about men? Sante Ment Que 1990;15:134-44.} and Farrell\footnote{Farrell W. The myth of male power. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1993.} observe, while social, psychological, and even personal problems facing women are readily denounced, societal institutions tend to ignore or minimise male problems as evident in suicide statistics. For instance, in many jurisdictions in the US there seems to be an implicit assumption that the bond between a woman and her children is stronger than that between a man and his children. As a consequence, in a divorce settlement, custody of children is more likely to be given to the wife. In the end, the father loses not only his marriage, but his children. The result may be anger at the court system especially in situations wherein the husband feels betrayed because it was the wife that initiated the divorce, or because the courts virtually gave away everything that was previously owned by the ex-husband or the now defunct household to the former wife. Events could spiral into resentment (toward the spouse and “the system”), bitterness, anxiety, and depression, reduced self esteem, and a sense of “life not worth living”. As depression and poor mental health are known markers of suicide risk, it may well be that one of the fundamental reasons for the observed association between divorce and suicide in men is the impact of post divorce (court sanctioned) “arrangements”. Clearly this is an issue that needs further investigation.

A J Kposowa
Department of Sociology, University of California, 1214 Watkins Hall, 900 University Avenue, Riverside, CA 92521, USA; ajkposowa@att.net

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Covariate & Suicides & Population at risk & Model 1 & Model 2 \\
\hline
\hline
Sex & & & RR & 95% CI & RR & 95% CI \\
\hline
Women & 10 & 16687 & 1.00 & (Reference) & 1.00 & (Reference) \\
Men & 53 & 10917 & 8.33 & 4.24 to 16.38 & 9.68 & 4.87 to 19.22 \\
\hline
Age (y) & & & & & & \\
25–34 & 20 & 8586 & 1.00 & (Reference) & 1.00 & (Reference) \\
35–44 & 17 & 6417 & 0.71 to 2.62 & 1.45 & 0.76 to 2.78 \\
45–64 & 21 & 8003 & 0.80 to 2.73 & 1.48 & 0.79 to 2.77 \\
65+ & 5 & 2322 & 0.56 to 3.99 & 1.24 & 0.44 to 3.47 \\
\hline
Education (y) & & & & & & \\
<High school & 16 & 7182 & 1.00 & (Reference) & 1.00 & (Reference) \\
High school & 26 & 11387 & 0.76 & 0.40 to 1.45 & 0.76 & 0.40 to 1.45 \\
Some college & 17 & 7135 & 0.96 & 0.54 to 1.81 & 0.96 & 0.54 to 1.81 \\
\hline
Household income & $25000+ & 9 & 3615 & 1.00 & (Reference) & 1.00 & (Reference) \\
Below $10000 & 28 & 10654 & 2.31 & 1.05 to 5.09 & 2.14 & 1.02 to 4.49 \\
$10000–$19999 & 23 & 11866 & 1.12 & 0.51 to 2.45 & 1.12 & 0.51 to 2.45 \\
$24999 & 4 & 1142 & 2.11 & 0.32 to 4.48 & 2.11 & 0.32 to 4.48 \\
\hline
Income + unknown & 2 Log L & 1226.08 & 1218.85 & 1226.08 & 1218.85 \\
URS & 57.04** & 64.27** & 57.04** & 64.27** \\
df & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
Number of suicides & 63 & 63 & 63 & 63 \\
Number of cases & 27604 & 27604 & 27604 & 27604 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Hazards regression estimates of the impact of divorce on the risk of white suicide.}
\end{table}
BOOK REVIEWS

Ethical dimensions of health policy


The main aim of the book is to examine the connections between ethics and health policy. Experts from different disciplines and spheres have contributed. The book is structured in four parts. From an ethical deliberation on healthcare goals readers proceed through an intermediate chapter to political decision making. The final chapter deals with ethical controversies on the resource allocation and accountability, vulnerability, and ethics of the health services research.

The book focuses to a large extent on the US reality and provides an insight into the history of their healthcare reforms as well as the recent debate on a universal healthcare system based on social solidarity. European or other readers may learn from a very profound and sophisticated consideration of how ethical and political approaches may interfere. The pragmatic claim that the bioethical debate descends from academic heights and influences directly political decisions is illustrative. Readers face the debate on justice from a reverse side. Concepts that are taken for granted in Europe are being vindicated and legitimised (Rawls is repeatedly reflected). An outline of a future collective and organisational rather than personal accountability for health care is innovative (Cassel, McParland). The postmodern practice as delineated by Maloine and Luft may be viewed as visionary although stimulating. New health services research agenda such as research of trust, privacy, and that public health has a crucial part to play in tackling its causes and consequences. The reader is invited to improve their understanding of the legal principles underlying public health practice, that is to say how the law may be applied to improve the health of people. And after reading the book, this aspiration is reached, especially the discovery of the wide range of daily activities of public health where the legal dimension is present.

The first part is related with the conceptual foundations of the legal basis for public health practice and covers topics as constitutional and statutory basis, the applications of regulatory and criminal law, infectious diseases, communicable diseases, public health emergencies, reproductive health, tobacco prevention, and environmental, injury, occupational issues. It must be taken into account that the context of the book is the United States, but despite the fact of the peculiarities of its regulations, the basis and principles are applicable to any country beyond its own legislation and serves as a primary resource for promoting the development and implementation of an effective public health law infrastructure and make recommendations for needed improvements. With many selected examples the interrelation of law with the core functions of public health are thoroughly reviewed and documented: the interaction between public health, legal counsel, surveillance and outbreak investigations, research, confidentiality and privacy, managed care in public health, interventions in emergency response, and particular populations (children, homeless persons, disabilities, or undocumented immigrants). And also high priority and emerging areas in public health such as genomics, communicable diseases, public health emergencies, reproductive health, tobacco prevention, and environmental.

The reader is invited to improve their understanding about how the law affects the prevention of disease and injury. The reader is invited to improve their understanding about how the law affects the prevention of disease and injury.

Case studies in forensic epidemiology


Sané Loue's book Case studies in forensic epidemiology represents a significant turning point in our habitual conception of epidemiology as a statistical indicator of the extent to which the population is affected by some infectious—that is, toxicologically—agents.

The reader is attracted by the title of the book itself because forensic epidemiology is

E Kežíková (Krivova)

World report on violence and health


Violence was declared in Resolution WHA49.23 (1996) as a major and growing public health problem across the world. This is the first world report on violence and health aimed mainly to raise awareness about the issue of violence globally and to make the case that violence is preventable and that health is a crucial role to play in tackling its causes and consequences.

The report has been structured in nine chapters, starting with a general one of violence as a global public health problem, followed by specific information about different types of interpersonal violence (youth violence, child abuse against intimate partners, abuse of elderly people, and sexual abuse), self-directed violence (suicide), and collective violence, and completed by nine recommendations for research and actions at local, national, and international levels. The report also includes a statistical annex with estimated mortality caused by each kind of violence and a list of internet resources.

It provides further information about the magnitude and impact of each type of violence reported; identifies risk factors like social, cultural, and economical stressors and determines as precipitant factors the presence of alcohol, drugs or weapons; it also gives an account of the types of intervention and policy responses that have been already used and summarises what is known about their effectiveness.

The most important strength of this report is the efforts implied to summarise what is known about violence from a very broad perspective and worldwide. It is a useful document for those who are involved in research or prevention on violence. However, the lack of information to complete a global approach about the risk and protective factors, interventions, and evaluation of the effectiveness of policy responses is recognised.

This weakness has tried to be solved by the recommendations for action. However, most of them are not new and not practical enough for those who are dedicated to this issue.

On the one hand, the recommendations about how to create, implement, and monitor a national action plan for violence prevention, to increase capacity for collecting data on violence, to support research on the causes, consequences, costs, and prevention of violence and to promote primary prevention responses have been already well documented. In the same way, other official documents have already shown evidence about the importance of training for health and education professional, the coordination between public and private sectors, and the strengthening of the community base.

On the other hand, the recommendations related to the importance of producing information about the cost of violence for health services and the prevention in primary health are much too narrow to speak about a true public health approach on violence.

Although it provides useful information about each type of violence in each country, a comprehensive account of the resources needed to cope with violence from a public health perspective is lacking through the book. For these reasons, this first world report on violence and health can be considered as a valuable starting point about research responses to an old social and public health problem.

C V Cases

Law in public health practice


The aim of this book, written jointly by a variety of law and public health practice specialist authors—who represent the ranks of the legal and public health practitioners in the United States of America—is to clarify the principles of law as they bear on the practice of public health.

The reader is invited to improve their understanding of the legal principles underlying public health practice, that is to say how the law may be applied to improve the health of people. And after reading the book, this aspiration is reached, especially the discovery of the wide range of daily activities of public health where the legal dimension is present.

The first part is related with the conceptual foundations of the legal basis for public health practice and covers topics as constitutional and statutory basis, the applications of regulatory and criminal law, infectious diseases, communicable diseases, public health emergencies, reproductive health, tobacco prevention, and environmental.

Readers breathe as the fresh air at each page. The reader is invited to improve their understanding of the legal principles underlying public health practice, that is to say how the law may be applied to improve the health of people. And after reading the book, this aspiration is reached, especially the discovery of the wide range of daily activities of public health where the legal dimension is present.

The first part is related with the conceptual foundations of the legal basis for public health practice and covers topics as constitutional and statutory basis, the applications of regulatory and criminal law, infectious diseases, communicable diseases, public health emergencies, reproductive health, tobacco prevention, and environmental.
much less elaborated in professional literature than some epidemiological research within different specialist fields of medical science. The author is very successful in presenting the application of forensic epidemiology, as well as its role in court trials, as a bridge between many criminal deviations of the society, and its responsibility for crimes committed. Her final goal is getting court and police officials to apply efficient changes to negative social actions.

In eight case studies within 12 chapters of the book the author describes the connection between court trials and important epidemiological analysis that can be found in the cases of many trials started by women smokers who had silicon breast implantations done, which consequently caused them serious health problems. In this connection the author describes the obstacles attorneys and judges are faced with while prosecuting powerful tobacco lobbies, pointing out the core of the problem, that is an evident hazardous effect of smoking to human health.

As a forensic expert I would point out case study five in chapter eight that deals with road accidents caused by drivers under the influence of alcohol. The fact that road accidents caused by drunk drivers represent the main cause of most such accidents, is corroborated by some alarming epidemiological data. In this connection, the author describes the activities of non-profit organisation Mothers Against Drunk Drivers, which achieves significant results in making the public aware of the problem. Moreover, they organise legal help to the families of the victims of such accidents, which makes the organisation recognisable and increasingly influential in trials against irresponsible drivers.

It is the author’s goal, which she entirely managed to achieve, to explain the extremely important role of forensic epidemiology in court trials. To sum up, this extraordinary work represents a significant contribution to a successful solving, within the framework of legal system, of difficult and painful court epidemiological problems of the society.

A Bosnar

ActivEpi CD ROM


ActivEpi is a multimedia presentation of the material commonly found in an introductory epidemiology course on CD ROM. In 15 lessons, basic concepts and measures of epidemiology are presented. ActivEpi is intended to be used in a variety of teaching formats, including distance learning, self paced learning on-campus courses, and short courses. The course uses a variety of tools—among others, videos, narrated expositions, exercises, and datasets and quizzes for self evaluations.

With respect to the logical structure of the contents, this introduction is as stringent and clear as previous, more traditional teaching material by David Kleinbaum, including the classic 1982 textbook, which has, certainly, helped numerous epidemiologists and epi students around the world, including myself (who had the additional true privilege to experience David Kleinbaum as an outstanding “physical teacher”), to structure epidemiological reasoning. Whether or not the multimedia approach now offered by David Kleinbaum makes learning more attractive, easy, or effective than more traditional forms of learning in a classroom context or from an introductory textbook alone to some degree be a matter of taste, generation, and personal preferences. Being aware that this carries the danger of being blamed old fashioned, I have to admit that I felt the multimedia features to be a little bit too abundant in this course, and sometimes even to be a source of distraction actually hindering concentrated learning rather than a real advantage. Perhaps younger generations of epidemiologists who have grown up with multimedia features from their cradle may appreciate this type of learning much more—I am afraid that I will continue to recommend my students a good traditional textbook along with a good introductory textbook and/or course script. However, these resources may not be universally available. In such circumstances, this course may fill a real gap.

H Brenner

Reference


For our young colleagues, the relevance of this book is justified by its reference lists alone, as these include most of the papers that provided the most important achievements of epidemiology and public health from 1919 onwards. The book is easy to consult and read, because for each calendar period the main topics are separately considered—that is, infectious diseases, occupational factors, nutrition, environment, etc. Thus, for instance, under the headings tobacco or air pollution, summary overviews are given on the earlier developments of research and control of these risk factors, which remain of central interest for their public health relevance today.

A second reason for appreciating this book is related to its attention to the major social and public health implications of our discipline. Over the past few years, we have seen (and participated to) endless debates on the potential impact of risk factors such as electromagnetic fields or hair dyes, whose public health relevance, if any, remains marginal. Furthermore, the interest of many of us has been often focused more to the publication of modest excess relative risks than to the critical understanding and evaluation of their potential public health implications. It is thus a pleasure to read a book that provides an overview of the main achievements and contributions of our discipline to public health and society in its broader terms. The book also includes some interesting chapter on methodological developments (from questionnaires to statistical methods) and philosophy of medicine.

Most of us will also find of interest the chapter on trends in UK and US society and politics, which is unusually objective and far from strong partisan opinions, as well as those on the history of the development of public health departments in UK and US universities and other research institutions. In a period of conflicts of interest, the summary of main funding sources is also of important relevance.

A message drawn from the book is that, over the past few decades, US research in public health has improved more than its UK counterpart. Any comparison between public health institutions and achievements in the UK and the US, however, leaves most of—who live and work outside these two countries—with a sense of admiration and envy.

C La Vecchia

Migrant health in Europe

An international conference on differences in health and health care provision is to be held in Rotterdam, Netherlands, on 23–25 June 2004. Further details: Lilian Hoonhout, Department of Health Policy and Management, Erasmus MC, PO Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, Netherlands (email: e.zoer@planet.nl; web site: http://www.migranthealth.net).

An authors’ error occurred in this paper by Dr Leung and others (2003;57:857–63). Professor Charles D Spielberger and Dr Paul Yung should have been acknowledged for granting permission to use the original and Chinese version of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. An editorial error occurred in this article by Mr Geoff Der (2003;57:439)”. The picture credit was omitted and should have read “The illustration was reproduced with permission from the Whitworth Art Gallery, The University of Manchester. (c) Succession Picasso/DACS 2003.” An authors’ error occurred in the paper by Dr Osler and others (2003;57:681–6). The 12th line in the first paragraph on page 683 should have read “100–152, 160–199; ICD10 (not ICD10-code K70).”