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Background: To determine whether retirement at age 60 is associated with improvement or deterioration in mental and physical health, when analysed by occupational grade and gender.

Methods: Longitudinal study of civil servants aged 54 to 59 years at baseline, comparing changes in SF-36 health functioning in retired (n=392) and working (n=618) participants at follow up. Data were collected from self completed questionnaires.

Results: Mental health functioning deteriorated among those who continued to work, but improved among the retired. However, improvements in mental health were restricted to those in higher employment grades. Physical functioning declined in both working and retired civil servants.

Conclusion: The study found that retirement at age 60 had no effects on physical health functioning and, if anything, was associated with an improvement in mental health, particularly among high socioeconomic status groups.

METHODS

Study population

The Whitehall II study is a prospective study of men and women aged 35–55 years at the time of recruitment in 1985, working in 20 London based civil service departments. The study originally recruited 10 308 men and women who completed a self administered health questionnaire and attended screening examination in 1985–1988 (phase 1). In 1989 a further postal questionnaire was carried out (phase 2), phase 3 (1991–93) included a screening examination and a postal questionnaire, phase 4 was a postal questionnaire in 1995. In this analysis phase 3 will be referred to as the baseline measurement and phase 4 as the follow up. The mean interval between baseline and follow up was 36 months (range 23–59 months). The response rate was 81% at phase three and 77% at phase four.

The civil service operates a mandatory retirement age of 60 years for both men and women, however in exceptional cases employees are permitted to work past this time.

Analyses were restricted to working civil servants aged 54–59 at baseline. At follow up these participants were categorised as (1) still working as civil servants (n=618, of which 239 were aged over 60), (2) retired at the mandatory retirement age in the civil service of 60 years (n=392). We excluded 191 participants who described their departure from the civil service as ill health retirement, voluntary compulsory redundancy, or employment elsewhere.

Measures

Socioeconomic status and psychosocial work characteristics

Civil service employment grade title obtained at baseline was used as a measure of socioeconomic status. Three grades were defined that differ considerably in salaries. In 1995 the annual salary in the low grades was £4 000 to £10 999, for the medium grades was £15 000 to £39 999, and £28 975 to £150 000 for the high grades. Marital status was classified as married/having children compared with not.

Job control or job decision latitude, a measure combining work decision authority and skill discretion, is based on the Karasek job content instrument. We also used an indicator of overall job satisfaction based on the question “All things
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mental functioning</th>
<th>Physical functioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted difference</td>
<td>Adjusted difference in change*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mean at baseline</td>
<td>Crude change*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working at baseline and follow up</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>53.32 (7.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement between baseline and follow up</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>53.55 (6.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>53.41 (6.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working at baseline and follow up</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>51.91 (9.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement between baseline and follow up</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>52.65 (7.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>52.17 (8.44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean adjusted difference in functioning change between those who continue to work (reference group = 0) and those who retire, adjusted for age in single years, duration of follow up, and baseline functioning. The crude mean difference in change can be obtained by subtracting the mean change of those who continue to work from those who had retired; for example, for mental functioning among men the crude difference in change is 1.56 − (−0.88) = 2.44.

Statistical analysis

The results of linear regression models were presented in terms of the adjusted difference in change. The difference in change in the component summary score of those who had retired was compared with those who continued to work at follow up, adjusted for age in single years, length of follow up, and baseline summary component score.

We included participants who continued to work after the retirement age of 60 years in the reference group of working participants. As a consequence those who remained at work were only slightly younger than those who retired at follow up (for men the mean ages were 55.6 v 57.9 years; for women 56.1 v 57.9 years). However, excluding participants who continued to work beyond age 60 did not change our conclusions.

RESULTS

Among men and women, differences in baseline functioning between those who continued to work and those who had retired at follow up were small (table 1). Mental functioning declined among those who continued to work and improved among those who had retired. The adjusted difference in change in mental functioning between working and retired participants was 3.16 points (95% CI 1.91 to 4.41) among men, and 2.12 points (95% CI 0.16 to 4.08) among women. Physical functioning declined during follow up among men and women, and there were no significant differences between working and retired participants. Adjustment for baseline functioning had little effect on the estimated difference in change in physical and mental functioning.

To assess whether changes in physical and mental functioning among working and retired participants varied by employment grade, marital status, job satisfaction, or job control, we carried out further analyses combining men and women, and adjusting for sex and age in single years. Tests for interactions at the 5% significance level indicated that change in physical functioning among working and normally retired participants did not differ by employment grade, marital status, job satisfaction, or job control.

However, changes in mental functioning between working and normally retired participants varied by grade (table 2). Improvements in mental health functioning among normal retirees were observed only among the two highest civil service grades. Adjusting for marital status, job satisfaction, job control, as well as the physical component summary score did not change this association.

DISCUSSION

In a longitudinal study of civil servants all initially working, those retiring at the mandatory age of 60, compared with those remaining in work, reported no adverse effects on physical health functioning. This is consistent with an age related process of physical functioning decline. By examining a widely used continuous measure of physical health...
functioning, these findings extend those of the few previous prospective studies. The results further show that mental health functioning improved among those retiring. However, improvement in mental health after retirement was restricted to those in high employment grades. Those grade differences in change in mental health functioning may be understood as the benefits of giving up work and the rewards of retiring. Improvement in mental health after normal retirement in high grade civil servants may be attributable to the benefits of the removal of work demands and work induced stress. Indeed, our analysis covered a period that included substantial reorganisation in the civil service, the avoidance of which may have given people an added benefit when retiring. In addition high grade civil servants receive a higher pension than their lower grade colleagues in lower grades and therefore have more choice in the type of lifestyle they can enjoy. Lower grade civil servants may also benefit from giving up work but these may be outweighed by the relative material disadvantage of retirement. This is supported by results from qualitative interviews showing that lower grade civil servants do indeed worry about their reduced income in retirement. Our finding of improvement in the mental health of high grade civil servants is consistent with a smaller study of workers in Finland.20

There were no differences in physical health functioning deterioration in those who continued to work and those who had retired at normal age; this is consistent with an age related process of physical functioning decline. By examining a widely used continuous measure of physical health functioning, these findings extend those of the few previous prospective studies. Based on clinical examinations of men retiring over a wide age range (55–73 years) Ekendt et al. found no effect of retirement on physical health.4 Salokangas found no effect of retirement on the number of self reported physical illnesses.21 Furthermore, Tuomi et al19 found mixed effects of retirement on musculoskeletal diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and mental diseases, and that these effects depended upon the type of work before retirement.

The British civil service employs a large number of administrators and general office staff and this makes it equivalent to many office work based work settings. In examining the effects of retirement on health it is impossible to select a strictly age comparable representative group in the setting (widespread in Europe) of a mandatory retirement age. To avoid this problem, we selected a narrow (six year) age band and also included those participants who continued to work in the civil service after age 60. This resulted in retirees being only slightly (about two years) older than those who continue to work. The effects of this age difference are unlikely to confound our main conclusion that retirement itself has no adverse health consequences. We adjusted for age (in single years), sex, duration of follow up, and baseline functioning. One participant was excluded because of missing information on grade. Men and women are combined for these analysis, as the pattern of results by sex is very similar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Crude mean change and adjusted mean difference in change* (95% confidence intervals) in mental and physical functioning by civil service employment grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Mental functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (high)</td>
<td>Working at baseline and follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−1.09 (−2.17 to 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (medium)</td>
<td>−0.43 (−1.57 to 0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (low)</td>
<td>−1.02 (−2.39 to 0.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted mean difference in change in functioning (reference group is employed grade I civil servants who worked at baseline and at follow up = 0), adjusting for age in single years, sex, duration of follow up, and baseline functioning. One participant was excluded because of missing information on grade. Men and women are combined for these analysis, as the pattern of results by sex is very similar.

Key points

- It is often assumed that retirement adversely affects health, although scientific studies have found conflicting evidence for this.
- We studied changes in mental and physical health functioning in London based civil servants aged 54 to 59 years.
- Mental health functioning improves after retirement but only in high employment grades.
- Change in physical health functioning is not associated with retirement.
- We conclude that normal retirement is not associated with adverse changes in health.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all participating civil service departments and their welfare, personnel, and establishment officers; the Occupational Health and Safety Agency; the Council of Civil Service Unions; all participating civil servants in the Whitehall II study; and all members of the Whitehall II study team.

Contributors

The first draft was written by GM. The analysis was done by PM. PM, HH, SS and MM critically reviewed all drafts of the paper.

Authors’ affiliations

G Mein, P Martikainen, H Hemingway, M Marmot, International Centre for Health and Society, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Royal Free and University College Medical School, London, UK
P Martikainen, Population Research Unit, Department of Sociology, University of Helsinki, Finland
H Hemingway, Department of Research and Development, Kensington & Chelsea and Westminster Health Authority, London, UK

Funding: The Whitehall II study has been supported by grants from the Medical Research Council, British Heart Foundation, Health and Safety Executive, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (HL36310), National Institute on Aging (AG13196), Agency for Health Care Policy Research (HS06516), The New England Medical Centre: Division of Health Improvement, Institute for Work and Health, Toronto, and the John D and Catherine T MacArthur Foundation Research Networks on Successful Midlife Development and Socio-economic Status and Health. PM is supported by the Academy of Finland (grant 48600 and 53234) and the Signe and Ane Gyllenberg Foundation. MM is supported by an MRC Research Fellowship. HH is supported by a Public Health Career Scientist Award from the Department of Health, UK.

Conflicts of interest: none.

REFERENCES
Is retirement good or bad for mental and physical health functioning? Whitehall II longitudinal study of civil servants

G Mein, P Martikainen, H Hemingway, S Stansfeld and M Marmot

*J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003 57: 46-49
doi: 10.1136/jech.57.1.46

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jech.bmj.com/content/57/1/46

These include:

**References**
This article cites 9 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
http://jech.bmj.com/content/57/1/46#BIBL

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Topic Collections**
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Epidemiologic studies (2838)
- Longitudinal studies (284)

**Notes**

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/