Use of acute hospital beds does not increase as the population ages: results from a seven year cohort study in Germany
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**Objectives:** (1) To compare the number of hospital days used by survivors with those by persons in their last, second last, and third last year of life in relation to age; (2) to analyse lifelong hospital utilisation in relation to life expectancy.

**Design:** Cohort study using a 10% sample (stratified by age and sex) of persons insured by one sickness fund.

**Setting:** Germany, 1989–1995.

**Subjects:** 69 847 survivors (with a minimum of three more years to live), 1385 persons in last, 1368 in second last, and 1333 in third last year of life.

**Results:** The number of days spent in hospital in the last year of life was lowest for the young (24.2 days under age 25) and the old (23.2 days at age 85+) and was greatest at ages 55–64 (40.6 days). The ratio of days to survivors was highest at age 35–44 (31.0) and fell continually thereafter to 4.3 at age 85+. Similar patterns were seen for hospital days in the second and third year before death, except that peaks were at 35–44 years (22.5 and 13.7 days respectively). Calculated lifelong number of hospital days increased with age from 54.8 (death at age 20) to 201.0 (age 90). Numbers of hospital days per year of life, averaged over the entire lifespan, were stable at 2.0–2.2 for deaths between age 50 and 90 (and up to 2.7 at age 20).

**Conclusions:** Lifelong hospital utilisation for persons who die at 50 or later is directly proportional to the number of years lived. These data contradict results from cross sectional studies that suggest an exponential rise in health care costs as longevity increases. They have important implications for projections of future health care expenditure.
1991. Random samples were taken for 38 age-sex combinations (with age in five year bands and 90+ years being the highest). The total cohort included 87 109 persons.

The dataset includes sociodemographic data (date of birth, sex, date of death), work related data (such as profession, type of employer, sick days, (early) retirement), administrative data (beginning of membership in sickness fund, membership status—that is, member, spouse, child, date and reason for end of membership), and data concerning certain health care utilisations (except for ambulatory services and pharmaceuticals) and diagnoses.

Available data on hospital utilisation included day of admission, day of discharge, and main diagnosis at discharge (coded using ICD-9). No data were available for the type of hospital (for example, large versus small, teaching versus non-teaching), the department or ward (for example, general versus intensive care), individual procedures during the hospital stays or per diem charges. Stays in institutions other than acute general and psychiatric hospitals were either excluded from the calculations (for example, rehabilitative institutions) or were not part of the dataset (for example, stays in nursing homes as they are not covered by sickness funds).

Data were available retrospectively from 1 January 1989, or from birth or entry into the fund if they were after that date. Records were updated in June 1993, and again in December 1995, so that data were available until the end of November 1995.

Altogether 1395 persons died between November 1991 and November 1995. Data for the complete last two years, last three years of life were available for 1385, 1368, and 1333 respectively to form the groups on which calculations are based. A total of 69 847 were continuously insured throughout the period and alive on 30 November 1995. To ensure that they were at least three years away from death, their hospital utilisation between 1 December 1991 and 30 November 1992, was used for the calculations. Altogether 17 867 persons were excluded because they left the sickness fund or were not continuously insured for the complete period.

The breakdown of the four groups according to age and sex is given in table 1. In that table, “age” is age at death for persons in their last year of life, for persons in their second last year it is that one year prior to death, and for persons in their third last year it is that two years before death. Age for survivors is that on 1 July 1992. While age was available as a continuous variable, persons were grouped into 10 year age brackets to detect any internal maximums in hospital utilisation.

Cumulative number of hospital days over eight (virtual) lifespans from 20 to 90 years were calculated by assuming that a person consumes hospital days as a “survivor” until three years before death (that is, until 17 if a person dies at 20 or until 87 if he or she dies at 90) and then consumes hospital days as in the third, second, and last year of life. The virtual life concept implies the assumption that the total lifespan is lived in the circumstances of Germany as in the first half of the 1990s. Besides data limitations, this concept was chosen as it is seems likely that actual hospital days in, for example, 1935 would have been more influenced by the health care structures and processes of that time than by the age of, for example, 30 in the case of a person dying in 1995 at 90 years of age—that is, the virtual lifespan concept tries to eliminate all influences on hospital utilisation with the exception of age.

The average number of hospital days per year of life was calculated by dividing those cumulative number of hospital days by the number of years lived.

### RESULTS

#### Hospital days per year

The average number of hospital days for persons in their final year of life increased from 24.2 days (−24 years) to a peak of 40.6 days in 55–64 year-olds. Above that age, the average number fell continuously to 23.2 days (85+ years). For survivors, the number increased steadily. The ratio of hospital days for those in the last year of life compared with survivors was around 30 at ages up to 44 years and fell continuously thereafter to 4.3 at 85+ years. Utilisation curves for persons in their second and third last year peaked at 35–44 years. Ratios of hospital days of deceased to survivors were much higher for young decedents in the last, second last, and third last year of life (table 2).

#### Admission to hospital

In every age group, at least 50% of persons in their last year of life were admitted to hospital at least once. Young decedents had generally the lowest rates. At around 80%, the rate was highest between 55 and 84 years of age while it dropped to 70% above that age. Percentages in the second and third last year of life were about one half and one third as high in all age groups. Admission rates for survivors increased continuously with age, however. Again, ratios of deceased to survivors were highest for young decedents (table 3).

#### Length of stay

Persons with any hospital stays in their last year of life spent between 30 and 60 days there with the shortest total stays in the oldest age groups. Similarly, younger decedents were treated longer than older decedents in their second and third last year of life. Like admission rates, treatment duration for survivors increased continuously with age. Ratios of deceased to survivors were again higher for younger deceased and approached 1 with increasing age (table 4).

Of the two factors influencing the average number of hospital days, the admission rate to hospital had a larger impact than length of stay in the last two years of life. In the third last year, total length of stay was, however, the main determinant of hospital utilisation of younger decedents (tables 3 and 4).
Lifelong hospital utilisation

Table 5 shows that the lifelong number of hospital days increased steadily from 54.8 for those dying at age 20 to 201.0 for those dying at age 90. The number of hospital days before the last years of life increased by about 10 days for every 10 years lived for persons dying at or before 50 while the increase was more than 30 days for every additional 10 years of life for decedents after age 70. This increase was, however, to a large extent compensated as persons dying at 40 used twice as many hospital resources in their last three years of life as those dying at 90 (70.9 and 34.6 days, respectively).

The average numbers of hospital days per year of life were therefore stable at 2.0 to 2.2 for persons dying between ages 50 and 90 while they were higher for persons dying at younger ages: up to 2.7 at age 20 (table 5).

DISCUSSION

We conclude that the relation between health care costs and age is not as simple as “common knowledge” suggests. The most costly patients are those who die young: If only the last year of life is counted, the 45–64 year-olds have the highest number of hospital days; if the last three years are taken into account, the 35–44 year-olds accumulate the highest hospital utilisation. If the ratio of hospital days for deceased persons versus survivors of the same age is used as a criterion, persons up to 44 years of age are most expensive.

These results are generally in line with previous studies done in the USA, Canada, and the Netherlands: regarding hospital utilisation in the last year(s) of life in relation to age at death, these studies show maximums in age groups below 65, 65–74, 70–74, and 75–84. As far the ratio of hospital days of deceased to survivors is concerned, maximums are always in the youngest age groups included in the studies. Only data from one study from the UK provide a very different picture with hospital days in the last year of life increasing progressively with age or at least to age 85–89. The median of hospital days in this study is comparatively low for age groups up to about 75 years in international comparison but the rate of hospital admissions is not particularly low. Therefore, the shorter length of stay in the UK has apparently affected the younger age groups more than the older ones.

The age span covered by our study is, however, considerably wider than all these previous studies, which, except for the Dutch one, excluded persons below age 45 or—mostly—65. Other problems complicating direct comparisons result from the fact that partly the units of measurement are different.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Persons in their 3rd last year of life</th>
<th>Persons in their 2nd last year of life</th>
<th>Persons in their last year of life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–84</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Persons in their 3rd last year of life</th>
<th>Persons in their 2nd last year of life</th>
<th>Persons in their last year of life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–84</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Persons in their 3rd last year of life</th>
<th>Persons in their 2nd last year of life</th>
<th>Persons in their last year of life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–84</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5  Number of hospital days over total (virtual) life span and average number of hospital days per year of life lived according to age at death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person dying at the age of...</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital days before last 3 years of life</td>
<td>10.1 (18)</td>
<td>20.2 (27)</td>
<td>30.0 (30)</td>
<td>42.4 (39)</td>
<td>62.2 (51)</td>
<td>86.2 (60)</td>
<td>120.9 (70)</td>
<td>166.4 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in 3rd last year</td>
<td>9.3 (17)</td>
<td>13.4 (18)</td>
<td>13.7 (14)</td>
<td>11.0 (10)</td>
<td>6.9 (6)</td>
<td>9.0 (6)</td>
<td>8.5 (5)</td>
<td>5.1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in 2nd last year</td>
<td>11.2 (20)</td>
<td>12.0 (16)</td>
<td>22.5 (22)</td>
<td>15.5 (14)</td>
<td>12.4 (10)</td>
<td>12.4 (10)</td>
<td>11.4 (7)</td>
<td>6.3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in last year</td>
<td>24.2 (44)</td>
<td>28.6 (39)</td>
<td>34.7 (34)</td>
<td>39.2 (36)</td>
<td>40.6 (33)</td>
<td>36.4 (25)</td>
<td>31.8 (18)</td>
<td>23.2 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in last year</td>
<td>54.8 (100)</td>
<td>74.2 (100)</td>
<td>100.9 (100)</td>
<td>108.1 (100)</td>
<td>122.1 (100)</td>
<td>144.0 (100)</td>
<td>172.6 (100)</td>
<td>201.0 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of days per year of life</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages shown in parentheses.

Key points

- The correlation between age and hospital utilisation is complex as the percentage of dying persons with high numbers of hospital days increases with age.
- However, persons who die young “consume” more hospital days in their last years of life than those who die older.
- According to these German data, lifelong hospital utilisation is directly proportional to the number of years lived.
- An aging population might therefore have less impact on health care costs than generally expected.
- While this study expands our knowledge based on previous studies, further confirmation is needed from other countries and health care systems.

(That is, expenditure versus days) and the time of the studies varies from the mid-1970s to the late 1980s. The latter aspect is relevant as studies with two different sets of cross sectional data show that the peak in hospital days for persons in their last year of life has shifted to younger age groups: In British Columbia, 75–79 year-olds had higher number of hospital days than 65–69 year-olds in 1988, but the opposite was true in 1995. In the USA, the number of hospital days in the last year of life for 65–84 year-old men increased by 6% between 1986 and 1993, but decreased by 12% for those 85 and older.

We extended our analysis to a calculation to lifelong hospital utilisation. To do this, we constructed “virtual” lifespans with the available data, which implies several limitations and assumptions. A limitation is the fact that we do not have longitudinal datasets over several decades—that is, we cannot calculate the real correlation between utilisation early in life and near death. An assumption is, as already mentioned, that limit us to the German health care structures and utilisation patterns of the first half of the 1990s. An indicator of how time dependent such calculations are is the fact that the average number of hospital days for the whole population decreased from 2.4 in 1993—that is, at the time of our study, to 2.1 in 1998.

According to our calculations, the number of annual hospital days for persons who die at 50 or later is directly proportional to the number of years lived. These data contradict results from cross sectional studies that suggest an exponential rise in health care costs as longevity increases. Quite in contrast, our data provide evidence that persons dying at an age below 50 are “consumers” above average numbers of hospital days per year of life. In addition, given the high number of hospital days in the last years for younger decedents (which most probably extend beyond the three years documented in the study), our data are most likely to underestimate the average number per year of life in these age groups.

The data provide evidence for shorter periods of morbidity before deaths in older ages than in younger ages; this is especially true if the second and third last year are taken into account. This may be a hint towards a “compression of morbidity” with rising life expectancy—and at least for morbidity leading to hospital treatment. Especially the rather high and long hospital utilisation figures for young decedents are a surprising result that hitherto could not be documented as all other studies included only persons above 45—or even 65—years. These patterns suggest that hospital utilisation is determined by the minority of chronically ill to a much larger degree than expected given that injuries are the leading cause of death in young people.

Two important caveats have to be finally mentioned: hospital care costs are only a part of total health care expenditure and results might be different in other countries. For the USA, Scitovsky has pointed out that nursing care costs in the last year of life are rising with age and this rise almost compensates falling hospital care costs; this has recently also been demonstrated in a study based on data from British Columbia. Other authors report largely uniform times of lifetime nursing home use regardless of age. Ambulatory care seems to follow hospital utilisation patterns, however, but no data over total lifespans are available as of now. A Swiss study included all expenditures reimbursed by two health insurers; in their regression analysis, the authors demonstrate that health care costs are not associated with age but only with proximity to death.

If our main results are confirmed in other current or future studies—that is, if the trend is similar across various cultures and health care systems—our data would have even more important implications for projections of future health care expenditure.
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