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Abstract

Study objective—This study describes educational differences in decreases in leisure time physical activity among an adult, physically active population and additionally attempts to identify predictors of these differences from information on health status and individual and environmental factors.

Design—Prospective population based study. Baseline measurement were carried out in 1991 and follow up in 1997.

Setting—South eastern part of the Netherlands.

Participants—The study included 3793 subjects who were physically active in 1991 and who participated in the follow up.

Methods—Potential predictors of decreasing physical activity were measured in 1991. Logistic regression analyses were carried out for two age groups (<45 years; ≥45 years) separately.

Main results—Lower educated respondents experienced statistically significant higher odds to decrease physical activity during follow up, compared with respondents with higher vocational schooling or a university degree. Perceived control was the main predictor of educational differences in decreasing physical activity in both age groups. In the older group, material problems and a poor perceived health experienced by lower educated people additionally predicted educational differences in decreases in physical activity during leisure time.

Conclusions—These findings have important implications for health promotion practice and policy to prevent socioeconomic differences in physical activity among an adult, initially active population and who participated in the follow up. Our study included information on three groups of potential predictors of decreasing physical activity—that is, health status, individual factors, and environmental factors. Decreases in physical activity are often preceded by poor subjective health, as well as more objective health indicators such as low functional status, diabetes mellitus, high cholesterol, and increases in, or a high body mass index.

Furthermore, decreasing physical activity is often embedded in a more general unhealthy lifestyle. People who experience subsequent decreases in physical activity are reported to smoke and consume alcohol more often than people with stable activity patterns. They also have specific personality characteristics more often, such as high personal uncertainty, or low perceived control over life. Other individual circumstances, such as family or work responsibilities may influence physical activity because of competing time claims.

The environment exerts considerable influence on individual behaviour. Environmental factors, like life events or material problems potentially induce stress that could adversely influence physical activity. Furthermore, environmental circumstances, like lack of economic resources or facilities can affect opportunities for physical activity.

We know that the predictors described above are distributed unequally over socioeconomic groups. For example, poor health or material disadvantages occur more often in lower socioeconomic groups and may therefore give rise to decreasing physical activity could accordingly contribute to socioeconomic health differences.

General health promotion activities to reduce unhealthy behaviour have been relatively unsuccessful in lower socioeconomic groups so far. Prevention of unhealthy (changes in) behaviour in these groups therefore might prove to be more effective. This study is the first to combine a description of educational differences in decreasing leisure time physical activity among an adult, initially active population with the identification of specific characteristics of lower educated groups that predict their higher odds to decrease physical activity.

Knowledge of the predictors of socioeconomic differences in decreases in physical activity might be a valuable input for more effective health promotion policies and activities that tackle socioeconomic differences in physical activity.

Socioeconomic variation in physical activity has been well documented over the past years. People from lower socioeconomic groups engage in physical activity during leisure time less often than higher socioeconomic groups. Also unfavourable changes in physical activity, like decreasing exercise or becoming sedentary occur more often in lower socioeconomic groups. Such decreases are related to premature death and socioeconomic differences in decreasing physical activity are distributed unequally over socioeconomic groups.
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**Methods**

**POPULATION**

Data were obtained from the longitudinal study on socioeconomic health differences in the Netherlands (GLOBE study). In 1991, a random sample of approximately 27 000 persons, drawn from registers of the general non-institutionalised population aged 15–74 years, received a postal questionnaire (response 70.1%). Two subsamples from respondents to the postal questionnaire were additionally interviewed (response 79.4% and 72.3%). People who reported specific chronic diseases were overrepresented in one subsample. Non-respondents only differed from respondents in the subsample that overrepresented chronically ill persons, regarding age and marital status.

In 1997, of the 5667 subjects participating in the interviews in 1991, 328 (5.8%) refused to further participate in the longitudinal study before follow up measurement, while 37 (0.7%) could not be traced. This left 4947 persons (87.3%) eligible for inclusion in the follow up measurement. Of these, 328 (5.8%) were deceased, 39 (0.7%) moved abroad, 316 (5.3%) were not working, and 39 (0.7%) were institutionalised in 1997. Two subsamples from respondents to the postal questionnaire (response 85.8%) were indicated by not being able to pay the rent, or death of important persons, substantial decrease in income, or being a victim of robbery or theft.

**MEASURES**

**Physical activity during leisure time** was assessed using three questions in the postal questionnaire. Respondents filled in (1) the average time they spent on leisure time gardening, cycling, walking, and, separately (2) on active sports, per week (appendix). Minutes spent on daily walking and cycling were multiplied by 6 to calculate time spent weekly and successively added to the time spent on leisure time gardening, cycling, and walking per week. This categorical information on total time spent on gardening, cycling, and walking was combined with time spent on sports into total leisure time physical activity, giving double weight to time spent on sports. Physical activity was divided into four categories; completely sedentary, lightly active, moderately active, and highly active (appendix). Decreased physical activity was defined as being categorised one or more categories lower in 1997 than in 1991. Only respondents who were active in 1991 and at risk of decreasing their activity were included in the analyses (n=3978). Information on physical activity in 1997 was missing for 122 respondents (3.1%).

**Highest attained educational level** was measured in the postal questionnaire in 1991 and divided into four categories—that is, higher vocational schooling and university (1=high), intermediate vocational schooling or intermediate/higher secondary schooling (2), lower secondary or vocational schooling (3), and primary school only (4=low). Sixty three respondents (1.6%) did not report their educational level. Educational level has the advantage that it is available for both men and women, whether they are in paid employment or not, it does not change during adult life, it has a high reliability and validity and it is simple to measure and use.

Health status was indicated by perceived general health, the presence of at least one self reported severe chronic condition, the Nottingham Health Profile, and obesity. All questions were included in the postal questionnaire of 1991. Perceived general health was assessed by asking “How do you rate your health in general?” Severe chronic conditions comprised heart disease, pulmonary disease, stroke, peptic ulcer, kidney disorders, diabetes, rheumatism or arthritis, illness of the nervous system, and cancer, which were part of a 24 item checklist. The Nottingham Health Profile reflects health problems in six areas: emotional reaction, energy, sleep, pain, physical mobility, and social isolation. Obesity was defined as a body mass index (self reported weight (kg)/height²(m)) of at least 30.

Individual factors included alcohol consumption, smoking, family and work responsibilities, neuroticism and perceived control. All were included in the postal questionnaire of 1991, except neuroticism and perceived control, which were questioned during the interview. Alcohol consumption was questioned using a quantity-frequency method. Three smoking categories were distinguished—that is, current smokers, ex-smokers and those who have never smoked. Work responsibilities were indicated by being employed, unemployed, or housekeeper (engaged in household duties). The number of children living at home with the respondent indicated family responsibilities. Locus of control indicated perceived control, measured with a questionnaire based on Rotter’s locus of control. A Dutch translation of the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire measured neuroticism. The scores of locus of control and neuroticism were classified into five equally sized categories (quintiles).

Environmental factors were life events, longlasting difficulties, equivalent income, the occurrence of financial problems, situational difficulties, and housing and neighbourhood circumstances. All were questioned during the interview in 1991, except for life events and financial problems, which were included in the postal questionnaire. Nine negative life events in the preceding year included serious illness or death of important persons, substantial decrease in income, or being a victim of robbery or theft. Longlasting difficulties during the preceding year were measured with an adapted version of the Dutch Long Lasting Difficulties List. Difficulties with health problems of significant others were added up. The score on items of relational and situational difficulties ranged from 0 (no problem or not applicable) to 3 (serious problem) and were added up to arrive at a total score. Equivalent income was defined as total net household income divided by the number of persons depending on that income, giving more weight to adults than to children and classified into five equally sized groups (quintiles). Financial problems were indicated by not being able to pay the rent,
electricity or food during the preceding year. Housing conditions were examined by asking whether draught, cold, or damp were present. Four items on adverse neighbourhood conditions included stench, noise from neighbours, noise from traffic, and criminality.

Table 2 continued on next page

### Table 1 Educational differences in decreasing physical activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational level</th>
<th>Younger than 45 years</th>
<th>45 years and older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number*</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (1)</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (4)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of respondents physically active in 1991. %, Weighted prevalence of decreased physical activity between 1991 and 1997. OR, odds ratio of decreasing physical activity, adjusted for gender, age, and physical activity in 1991. 95% CI, 95% confidence intervals of OR.

Results

Almost a quarter of the initially active respondents decreased their physical activity level between 1991 and 1997 (Table 1). Almost one fifth of the persons who reduced their physical activity became sedentary. Most respondents (80%) only decreased one category. Educational differences in decreasing physical activity were much larger among the
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Table 2 shows the relation between potential predictors and decreasing physical activity. Health status was not related to declines in physical activity in the younger group. Several individual factors, however, resulted in decreased physical activity during follow up, like having one child and reporting lower perceived control or high scores on the neuroticism scale. Low equivalent income was the only environmental factor related to decreasing physical activity. All these risk factors occurred more frequently in lower educated groups—that is, they were often reported having one child living at home, low perceived control, and low equivalent income (not tabulated). Neuroticism was ambiguously related to educational level, showing a high prevalence of the highest neuroticism scores in the lower educated groups, but the second highest neuroticism scores occurring more often in the highest educated groups (not tabulated).

Table 3 shows that in the older group all self reported health problems, except the Nottingham sleep profile and obesity, predicted decreasing physical activity during follow up. People who smoked or reported lower perceived control experienced decreases in physical activity during follow up more frequently. Also people with lower equivalent incomes, financial problems, or detrimental housing conditions experienced declines in physical activity more often. All risk factors for decreasing activity among this older group occurred more often in lower educated groups (not tabulated). This was particularly true for perceived general health.

Table 3: Explanation of educational differences in decreasing physical activity in the group younger than 45 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational level</th>
<th>Model A</th>
<th>Model A + locus of responsibility</th>
<th>Model A + both</th>
<th>%RD</th>
<th>%ARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High (1)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.94*</td>
<td>1.82*</td>
<td>1.80*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.57*</td>
<td>2.41*</td>
<td>2.46*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (4)</td>
<td>4.98*</td>
<td>4.44*</td>
<td>4.40*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.94*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD education†</td>
<td>45.576</td>
<td>40.910</td>
<td>38.658</td>
<td>28.320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value RD education‡</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARD education‡</td>
<td>4.666</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.918</td>
<td>17.256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%ARD§</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model A includes confounders and educational level. *95% confidence intervals do not include 1. OR, odds ratio, adjusted for gender, age, and physical activity in 1991. **95% CI, 95% confidence intervals of OR. Test, likelihood ratio χ² test.

**PREDICTORS OF DECREASING PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN THE YOUNGER GROUP**

- **Psychosocial factors**
  - Life events
    - none: 1.00
    - 2: 0.90, 0.68, 1.20
    - 3: 0.91, 0.63, 1.32
    - 4 or more events: 1.43, 0.80, 2.56
  - Long lasting difficulties with health of others
    - none: 1.00
    - 1: 1.07, 0.80, 1.43
    - 2: 1.63, 1.03, 2.58
    - 3 or more difficulties: 1.38, 0.54, 3.50
  - with relationships
    - none: 1.00
    - 1: 1.12, 0.82, 1.54
    - 2: 1.21, 0.83, 1.77
    - 3: 1.23, 0.76, 1.94
    - high: 1.40, 0.93, 2.11
  - Financial problems
    - none: 1.00
    - some: 1.11, 0.78, 1.59
    - big: 1.56, 0.75, 3.24
  - Situational difficulties
    - none: 1.00
    - 1: 0.67, 0.49, 0.92
    - 2: 0.94, 0.63, 1.41
    - 3: 1.06, 0.62, 1.82
    - 4 or more: 0.91, 0.52, 1.58
  - Neighbourhood circumstances
    - no problems: 1.00
    - 1 problem: 1.04, 0.77, 1.42
    - 2 problems: 1.56, 1.01, 2.41
    - 3 or more problems: 2.91, 1.17, 7.26
  - Housing conditions
    - no problems: 1.00
    - 1: 1.28, 0.91, 1.79
    - 2: 0.93, 0.60, 1.46
    - 3 problems: 1.13, 0.58, 2.17

- **Material factors**
  - Equivalent income
    - none: 1.00
    - some: 1.11, 0.78, 1.59
    - big: 1.56, 0.75, 3.24
  - Low equivalent income
    - none: 1.00
    - some: 1.38, 0.56, 3.39
    - big: 1.95, 0.79, 4.78
  - High equivalent income
    - none: 1.00
    - some: 2.27, 0.93, 5.59
    - high: 2.91, 1.17, 7.26
  - Financial problems
    - none: 1.00
    - some: 1.11, 0.78, 1.59
    - big: 1.56, 0.75, 3.24

- **Long lasting difficulties with health of others**
  - with relationships
    - none: 1.00
    - 1: 1.05, 0.90, 1.25
    - 2: 1.24, 1.04, 1.46
    - 3: 1.24, 1.04, 1.46
    - high: 1.34, 1.09, 1.65

* † ‡ §

**PREDICTORS OF DECREASING PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN THE OLDER GROUP**

Table 2 shows that in the older group all self reported health problems, except the Nottingham sleep profile and obesity, predicted decreasing physical activity during follow up. People who smoked or reported lower perceived control experienced decreases in physical activity during follow up more frequently. Also people with lower equivalent incomes, financial problems, or detrimental housing conditions experienced declines in physical activity more often. All risk factors for decreasing activity among this older group occurred more often in lower educated groups (not tabulated). This was particularly true for perceived general health.

**PREDICTION OF EDUCATIONAL DIFFERENCES IN DECREASING PHYSICAL ACTIVITY**

Variables that predicted decreases in physical activity (table 2) were all related to educational level and therefore selected into analyses of the contribution of each variable to the prediction of educational differences in decreasing physical activity. Educational differences in decreasing physical activity in the younger group were predicted...
partly by perceived control and family responsibilities (table 3). Both predictors together decreased the odds ratios with about 20%, and explained more than one third of the educational variation in decreasing physical activity (%RD). Neuroticism and equivalent income did not predict the educational differences in decreasing activity. The remaining educational differences were however still statistically significant. The lowest educated group was still almost four times more likely to experience decreases in activity compared with the highest educational group.

Educational differences in decreasing physical activity in the older group were predicted by the low perceived control in lower educated groups as well (table 4). Furthermore, less than good perceived health, financial problems and detrimental housing conditions contributed to educational differences in decreasing physical activity (table 4). Equivalent income and the Nottingham Health Profile did not predict educational differences. The four predictors together accounted for more than half of the increased odds of decreasing physical activity in the lower educated groups, while the educational variation was reduced with almost 60% (%RD) and educational differences were no longer statistically significant.

**Discussion**

We report results from a longitudinal study, showing that adverse changes in physical activity during leisure time were more frequent in lower educated groups. Low perceived control in the lower educated groups was the most important predictor of educational differences in decreasing physical activity. Educational differences in the younger group were further predicted by family responsibilities. In the older group, poor perceived health and problems with finances and housing predicted more decreasing leisure time activity in lower educated groups.

Limitations of the study need to be considered in the interpretation of the results. Firstly, people lost to follow up were less active in 1991. Less active persons do decrease their physical activity less often (p<0.0001) and are more prevalent in lower educated groups. This might have resulted in an overestimation of educational differences in decreasing activity. Furthermore, loss to follow up was higher in lower educated groups and those lost to follow up showed higher prevalence of predictors of decreasing physical activity, like lower perceived control and poor perceived health. Those lost to follow up therefore can be expected to relatively more often have decreased physical activity. This suggests that the presented educational differences in decreasing physical activity might have been underestimated because of selective loss to follow up.

Secondly, physical activity was self reported. We, however, assume reporting bias to have the same impact in both years, not influencing analyses of changes in physical activity.

Thirdly, the study was not specifically designed to predict long term physical activity change. Therefore, we could not include well known predictors of behavioural change, such as self efficacy, cognitive and motivational factors, and stages of change assessments.

Fourthly, the six and a half year span between data collection periods is rather long. It is impossible to know when the observed changes occurred and what other temporary changes in physical activity and predictors may have occurred in the mean time.

Perceived control was the main predictor of educational differences in decreasing physical activity in both age groups. Many authors have emphasised self control to be a powerful predictor of behavioural change. People with low perceived control lack confidence about the relation between behaviour and outcomes, and have lower perceived abilities to produce desired outcomes or prevent undesired outcomes themselves, leading to passivity. Furthermore, it has been acknowledged that low perceived control is more common among lower educated persons. We think that health promotion could benefit from finding ways to stimulate control beliefs in lower social classes. In any case, interventions targeting physical activity should anticipate the low control beliefs of lower socioeconomic groups to increase their effectiveness.

Family responsibilities—that is, having one child living at home—adversely changed physical activity in the younger group and occurred more frequently in lower educated respondents. On the other hand, having two or more children at home was related to a stable activity pattern and was more prevalent among higher educated persons. Respondents with one child

---

**Table 4** Explanation of educational differences in decreasing physical activity in the group of 45 years and older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational level</th>
<th>Model A</th>
<th>Model A + perceived general health</th>
<th>Model A + locus of control</th>
<th>Model A + housing conditions</th>
<th>Model A + financial problems</th>
<th>Model A + all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
may be more likely to have another child during follow up and increase their time limitations for activity, compared with respondents having more children already at baseline. On the other hand, the number of children might also be a marker of socioeconomics status—that is, the more children, the higher the socioeconomic status, the lower the chance to decrease physical activity.

Perceived general health was as important a predictor of decreasing physical activity as low perceived control in the older group. Physical unfitness or disease is often proposed an important predictor of unfavourable changes in physical activity. Other, more “objective” health measures, however, failed to contribute to the explanation of educational differences in decreasing activity. Poor perceived physical condition of lower educated people above their mid-40s could be overcome by emphasizing convenient, less strenuous activities in health information.

Material factors, in particular poor housing conditions and financial problems (but not income) predicted educational differences in decreasing physical activity in the older group. These findings suggest that it is not the low status aspect of a disadvantaged material position that is important, but the problems (barriers) people may experience as a consequence of this position. A wide range of policies could potentially influence physical activity, such as financial redistribution systems, financial management courses, collective renovation of houses in low socioeconomic neighbourhoods, or decisions to increase the number of accessible and inexpensive facilities for physical activity.

The predictors of educational differences in decreasing physical activity identified in this paper imply several possibilities for health promotion programmes and policies to reduce socioeconomic differences in physical inactivity. Low perceived control, poor health and material hardship need to be dealt with in health education, health promotion programmes and policies that may affect health behaviour.
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