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Abstract

Study objective—To assess the prevalence of respiratory problems, and the relation of these problems with school attendance, medicine use, and medical treatment.

Design—The Child Health Monitoring System.

Setting—Nineteen public health services across the Netherlands.

Participants—5186 school children aged 4–15 years, who were eligible for a routine health assessment in the 1991/1992 school year.

Main results—Respiratory symptoms were present in 12% of the children. Recent symptoms suggestive of asthma (wheezing or episodes of shortness of breath with wheezing in the past 12 months, or chronic cough, or a combination of these) were reported for 8%. These symptoms were most frequent in the younger children, and in children at school in towns with less than 20 000 inhabitants. Of the children with recent symptoms suggestive of asthma, 37% reported school absence for at least one week during the past 12 months, compared with 16% in children without respiratory symptoms. School absence because of respiratory illness was reported for 22%, and medicine use for respiratory problems for 38% of the children with recent symptoms suggestive of asthma. Of these children, 21% were receiving medical treatment, compared with 15% of the asymptomatic children.

Conclusions—Respiratory symptoms are a common health problem in children, and they are an important cause of school absence and medicine use. However, the percentage of children receiving medical treatment seemed quite low, indicating that proper diagnosis and treatment are probably still a problem.

Methods

Population

A sample of 22 of 63 regional or municipal public health services was drawn, with a stratification by region and degree of urbanisation. For this purpose, the Netherlands was divided into four regions: north east (provinces of Groningen, Friesland, Drenthe, and Overijssel), north west (province of Noord-Holland), central (provinces of Zuid-Holland, Utrecht, Flevoland, and Gelderland), and south (provinces of Zeeland, Noord-Brabant, and Limburg). Within these four regions, public health services were classified as “urban” if at least 25% of the municipalities in the catchment area had more than 25 000 inhabitants, while for “rural” public health services this percentage was less than 25%. The five largest cities, with approximately 200 000 inhabitants or more, were considered a separate region.

The sample consisted of children in the second, fourth, and seventh or eighth grade of primary school, and in the second grade of...
secondary school, corresponding to the 4–6, 7–9, 10–12, and 13–15 year age groups respectively. A “rural” public health service had to select children from schools in a large city with less than 20,000 inhabitants, and an “urban” public health service in a town with 20,000 inhabitants or more. A public health service in a large city had to select children from schools within the city. Each public health service was supposed to assess 75 children per grade, evenly distributed by sex. Therefore, the public health services were instructed to select two or three school classes per grade, and to invite all children in these classes to participate in the CHMS. In primary education, “urban” public health services as well as those in the large cities should select these classes from schools in districts from different socioeconomic background. In smaller villages, the socioeconomic classes are more or less evenly spread over primary schools, therefore “rural” public health services should select schools from different denominational background. In secondary education, the selected school classes should represent different levels of education.

**STUDY DESIGN**

The data collection took place in the course of the 1991/1992 school year (from October until May), during the preventive health assessments by school doctors or nurses from 19 public health services. Respiratory symptoms were assessed by means of a questionnaire, which was compiled from the WHO questionnaire on respiratory symptoms and a validated Dutch questionnaire. Questions were asked to the parents of the younger children and from age 12 onwards to the children themselves. Respiratory symptoms were assessed (and not diagnosed illness) to avoid recall bias or bias caused by differences in the criteria used to diagnose asthma. A recent symptom was considered to be present only when a positive answer was given to both parts of a question (table 1). Histamine concentration of the father, except for Surinamese and Antillean children. For these children, the father’s country of birth was used, because many of them have the Dutch nationality but they are still a separate cultural group. Parental educational level was used as a measure of socioeconomic status, and was defined by the father’s educational level. If the father’s nationality or educational level were not known, or if there was no father living with the child at the time of the study, the data for the mother were used instead. Regions and rural/urban areas were distinguished as defined above.

Recorded sociodemographic variables included age, sex, school type, ethnicity, parental educational level, region, and rural/urban areas. Ethnicity was defined by the nationality of the father, except for Surinamese and Antillean children. For these children, the father’s country of birth was used, because many of them have the Dutch nationality but they are still a separate cultural group. Parental educational level was used as a measure of socioeconomic status, and was defined by the father’s educational level. If the father’s nationality or educational level were not known, or if there was no father living with the child at the time of the study, the data for the mother were used instead. Regions and rural/urban areas were distinguished as defined above.

Recorded sociodemographic variables included age, sex, school type, ethnicity, parental educational level, region, and rural/urban areas. Ethnicity was defined by the nationality of the father, except for Surinamese and Antillean children. For these children, the father’s country of birth was used, because many of them have the Dutch nationality but they are still a separate cultural group. Parental educational level was used as a measure of socioeconomic status, and was defined by the father’s educational level. If the father’s nationality or educational level were not known, or if there was no father living with the child at the time of the study, the data for the mother were used instead. Regions and rural/urban areas were distinguished as defined above.

**Table 1** Items in questionnaire on respiratory symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item in questionnaire on respiratory symptoms</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Shortness of breath</td>
<td>a ever</td>
<td>b in the past 12 months (= recent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Wheeze</td>
<td>a ever</td>
<td>b in the past 12 months (= recent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Episodes of shortness of breath with wheezing</td>
<td>a ever</td>
<td>b in the past 12 months (= recent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Cough</td>
<td>a 5 or more days a week (= usually)</td>
<td>b for at least 3 consecutive months (=chronic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Cough and phlegm</td>
<td>a in the past 12 months</td>
<td>b for at least 3 consecutive weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

**RESPONSE**

Of the 5622 children invited for the CHMS, 5349 participated, and 5186 completed the questionnaire on respiratory symptoms (92%). Of the study group, 51% were boys and 49% girls; 28% were between 4 and 6 years old (mean age 5.7 years), 24% 7–9 years (mean age 8 years), 22% 10–12 years (mean age 11.7 years) and 27% 13–15 years (mean age 14.1 years). Nearly three quarters (73%) of the children attended primary school, 11% junior vocational training, 9% junior secondary school, and 7% senior secondary school or pre-university education. Of the study group, 89% were Dutch, 2% Surinamese/Antillean, 4% Turkish/Moroccan, and 4% were of “other” non-Dutch origin; in

...
Table 2  Prevalence of respiratory symptoms in school children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (y)</th>
<th>Total population* (n=5186)</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4–6 (n=702)</td>
<td>7–9 (n=630)</td>
<td>10–12 (n=544)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent shortness of breath</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent wheeze</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent shortness of breath with wheeze</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cough</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 Weeks cough with phlegm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms suggestive of asthma†</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In each of the respiratory symptoms, the answer “unknown” was reported for 1% of the children. †Recent wheeze and/or recent episodes of shortness of breath with wheeze and/or chronic cough.

0.5% of the children the ethnicity was not known. In 12% of the children, the educational level of the parents was primary education only, or no formal education; in 42% it was junior vocational/general training; in 21% it was senior vocational/general training; in 18% it was vocational colleges of university education; and in 7% it was unknown. Of the children, 20% were from the region north east, 21% were from north west, 26% were from central, 16% were from south, and 17% were from one of the largest cities. Apart from the children at school in the largest cities (17%), 28% were at school in rural areas, and 55% in urban areas.

RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS
Recent respiratory symptoms were reported for 12% of the study group, 88% had no recent symptoms; in 75% none of the assessed past or recent symptoms were recorded. Table 2 shows the prevalences of the various symptoms. Of the five recent respiratory symptoms in the study, one symptom was present in 6% and two or more symptoms in 5%. Episodes of shortness of breath with wheeze mainly occurred in combination with other respiratory symptoms (in 93% of the cases). Boys more often experienced wheeze and episodes of shortness of breath with wheeze than girls. Children in the youngest age group (4–6 years) most frequently reported recent wheeze, chronic cough, and cough with phlegm. On the other hand, 13–15 year olds most frequently had shortness of breath, with girls in junior vocational training having the highest percentage with this symptom (15%). Children at school in rural areas most frequently reported wheeze. Differences in respiratory symptoms by ethnicity and by parental educational level were only found within some age groups. In the 4–6 year age group, the children whose parents had a low level of education more frequently reported chronic cough (9% compared with 2–4% in children with higher educated parents). In the 7–9 year age group, cough with phlegm was more common in Turkish/Moroccan (3%) and “other” non-Dutch children (5%) than in Dutch (0.5%) and Surinamese/Antillean children (0%); and in children whose parents had a low level of education (4%) compared with 0.4–0.7% in children with higher educated parents.

Recent symptoms suggestive of asthma were more frequent in children aged 4–6 years, and in children in rural areas (table 3). The other sociodemographic variables—that is, sex, region, ethnicity, and parental educational level—were not significantly related to the occurrence of recent symptoms suggestive of asthma.

School absence, medicine use, and medical treatment
The differences in school absence and medicine use between the children with recent symptoms suggestive of asthma and the asymptomatic children (table 4) were mainly a consequence of the respiratory problems. Children with recent shortness of breath or cough with phlegm most frequently reported school absence or medicine use not for respiratory problems, but for school absence this difference was not statistically significant (0.01<p<0.05). Among the children with recent symptoms suggestive of asthma, there was no statistically significant difference in school absence because of respiratory symptoms

Table 3  Odds ratios (99% confidence intervals (CI)) recent symptoms suggestive of asthma (n=441) compared with no past or recent symptoms (n=3905) by age group and urban/rural areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (y)</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>OR (99% CI) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6†</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>0.62 (0.44,0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>0.45 (0.31,0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>0.59 (0.42,0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural†</td>
<td>2344</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>0.73 (0.54,1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large city</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>0.61 (0.42,0.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OR age group adjusted for area; OR area adjusted for age group. †Reference group.

Table 4  School absence, medicine use, and medical treatment by respiratory symptoms (n=5186)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recent symptoms suggestive of asthma (n=441)</th>
<th>Recent shortness of breath or cough with phlegm (n=151)</th>
<th>Other respiratory symptoms* (n=680)</th>
<th>No past/recent symptoms (n=3905)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School absence because of illness</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School absence because of respiratory problems</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any medicine use</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment at time of study</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Symptoms not meeting the criteria for the presence of recent respiratory symptoms in this study (a positive response was given only to the first part of question(s), table 1).
between children who were receiving medical treatment (30%) and those who were not (20%); but in children who took medication for respiratory problems, school absence because of respiratory symptoms was higher (34%) than in children who did not take such medication (11%). Among the children with recent shortness of breath or cough with phlegm, school absence because of respiratory symptoms did not significantly differ between children who were receiving medical treatment (16%) and those who were not (6%), or between children who took medication (17%) and those who did not so (4%).

Respiratory symptoms were a cause of school absence in 22% of the children who reported absence because of illness in the past 12 months. Nearly half (42%) of the children who used any prescribed medication in the previous month, took medicines for respiratory problems.

Discussion
Recent respiratory symptoms were reported for 12% of the school children aged 4 to 15 years. It is unlikely that we missed many children who were absent because of (respiratory) illness, because children who did not attend the health assessment received a repeated invitation. The percentage of children who attended after a repeated invitation, was 2% in children with and 3% in children without respiratory symptoms. We assume the CHMS study population to be representative of the groups of children in mainstream education eligible for a routine health assessment, although detailed information on the distributions of the background variables at national level is not available. Surinamese and Antillean children were underrepresented in the CHMS compared with the general population (2% versus 4%; Central Bureau of Statistics, personal communication), but this presumably has only a very limited effect on the prevalences observed.

The prevalences of wheezing, episodes of shortness of breath with wheezing, and cough with phlegm from our study were lower as those from other studies on respiratory symptoms in primary school children in the Netherlands. The prevalences of shortness of breath and cough were more or less the same. The differences between the present study and the other Dutch studies can partly be because of the fact that in our study the questions were asked by a school doctor or nurse, while in the other studies the parents completed the questionnaire themselves. In addition, regional differences can play a part, because most of the other studies were performed in specific regions. Differences in age distribution between the various study populations are unlikely to account for the lower prevalences in our study.

Compared with other countries, the prevalence of recent wheezing in our study was low (7%), and comparable to that for Swiss school children. Among children in Australia, New Zealand, England, Scotland, Turkey, and Chile, recent wheezing was present in 12% to 28%.

Recent symptoms suggestive of asthma were more frequent among school children in rural areas compared with school children in the largest cities. No other regional differences were detected. Differences in respiratory symptoms between regions were reported in some studies in the Netherlands. One study described higher prevalences in school children in the large cities, but these findings may be related to the considerable (and probably selective) non-response in the large cities. A study in the province of Noord-Brabant reported lower frequencies of wheezing in rural areas, which is in contrast with the findings from our study. The differences between countries and between regions are thought to be related to environmental differences, lifestyle, and living conditions. The CHMS study did not go into that, and as a consequence we have no information on for example parental smoking. Data on smoking in the Netherlands, however, do not indicate that smoking is less common in the large cities (Foundation for public health and smoking (Stivoro), personal communication). The prevalences from the CHMS study probably reflect a “national average”, and can be used as a reference for further (regional) studies.

Wheezeing and shortness of breath with wheezing were more common in boys than in girls. In other studies in the Netherlands, shortness of breath and chronic cough were more often reported by boys as well. Studies among primary school children in Switzerland, England, and Australia, also reported higher prevalences of respiratory problems in boys. Respiratory symptoms were also more common in the younger children, which is in accordance with the results from other studies. In contrast with a study in the city of Amsterdam, we did not find differences in respiratory symptoms between Dutch and Turkish children.

Respiratory problems were an important reason for school absence and medicine use. This is in accordance with other studies in Dutch school children. The percentage receiving medical treatment seemed quite low in children with recent symptoms suggestive of asthma. This also included treatment for other conditions, so the percentage receiving medical treatment for their respiratory illness might even be lower. Among the children with respiratory

**KEY POINTS**

- The assessment of respiratory symptoms instead of (diagnosed) illness improves the comparability of studies on asthma.
- Respiratory symptoms are an important reason for school absence.
- Early recognition, referral, and treatment of children with respiratory symptoms may lower the impact of the illness on children’s functioning.
Respiratory symptoms, school attendance, and treatment
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Respiratory problems are common, especially among the younger school children. However, in comparison with other countries the prevalence of wheezing in our mainstream education population is low (7%). Respiratory problems are an important reason for school absence and medicine use. Diagnosis and treatment of children with respiratory illness may still be a problem, as the percentage of children receiving medical treatment is quite low. At the moment, there is no evidence of an increasing prevalence of wheezing. The ongoing Child Health Monitoring System offers the opportunity to assess trends in the prevalence of respiratory symptoms and medical treatment, by using the same method in future years.
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