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Abstract

Study objective—To establish the source of a community outbreak of Salmonella typhimurium definitive type 124.

Design—Two stage case-control study.

Setting—Three districts in south east Wales.

Subjects—Cases of salmonella food poisoning and community controls.

Main results—An initial case-control study identified an association between illness and eating ham (odds ratio 4.50, 95% confidence intervals 1.10, 21.8) and also found a possible association between illness and food bought from delicatessen stores (odds ratio 5.03, 95% confidence intervals 1.01, 32.3). However, only after a second stage case-control study was a single common ham producer identified as the source (odds ratio 25.0, 95% confidence intervals 2.33, 1155). Conclusion—Sequential case-control studies are an important and underused tool in the investigation of community outbreaks.

Public health investigations of foodborne disease outbreaks are often unsuccessful: the vehicle of infection was traced in only 170 of 342 (50%) of salmonella outbreaks in the US between 1983–1987,1 and in only 124 of 272 (46%) salmonella outbreaks in England and Wales between 1992–93.2 Several aspects of foodborne disease outbreaks present difficulties in investigation. Firstly, cluster identification and outbreak recognition may be delayed because the pathogen is a common isolate. Secondly, the vehicle of infection may be a food commonly eaten by both cases and controls and the source not easily identified unless precise details (for example, brand, batch number, supplier) are elicited. Thirdly, cases may be geographically scattered hampering both early cluster identification and coordination of investigation and finally, delay in investigation may lead to recall bias and problems obtaining food for microbiological examination.

Case-control studies are particularly suited for investigating outbreaks and where they have been used routinely, success rates have been high.3,4 This is because they are efficient; they can be used where the population at risk cannot be clearly defined; they allow multiple aetiological hypotheses to be tested concurrently; and they permit interim data analysis.5 Sometimes, however, sequential case-control studies may be necessary to clarify and refine the hypothesis. The case-control method allows case definitions to be refined to improve specificity, and hypotheses about risk factors to be formulated and tested in an adaptive and sequential process.

In 1992, a geographically scattered outbreak of Salmonella typhimurium definitive type (DT) 124 occurred in south east Wales. We highlight the value of a sequential case-control study method in tracking down the source to a single ham producer and bringing the investigation to a successful conclusion.

Methods

In mid June 1992, the Laboratory of Enteric Pathogens of the Public Health Laboratory Service reported a cluster of isolates of Salmonella typhimurium definitive type (DT) 124 identified through its total laboratory surveillance system.6 Eighteen reports were from laboratories in south east Wales, including 16 recorded during May, compared with only one other isolate from the remainder of the United Kingdom during the previous six months. S typhimurium DT 124 is rare in the United Kingdom and only one outbreak has ever been reported, resulting from contaminated imported salami sticks.7 All microbiology laboratories in Wales were alerted to the incident using the electronic network EPINET,8 and requested to report all suspected S typhimurium isolates to the outbreak control team. Preliminary face to face interviews were conducted with 12 cases using a trawling questionnaire and information sought on date of onset of illness, foods eaten in the three days before illness, and general food preferences. Any history of recent foreign travel was also noted. Two sequential case-controls studies were subsequently performed—the first during the final week of June and the second during the initial fortnight of July.

The first case-control study was undertaken to test the hypothesis generated by the initial investigation that illness was associated with the consumption of ham from a local store. A case was defined as a person living in south east Wales with a faecal isolate of S typhimurium DT 124 since 1 April 1992. Secondary cases (household contacts in whom the onset of illness was 24 hours after the onset of illness in the first household case) were excluded from the case-control study. For each case, two people registered with the same general practitioner were selected as controls from each.
practice’s age-sex register. Controls were matched for age (adults within 5 years and children within 2 years) and sex (adults only) and selected by taking the next two patients listed on the practice register who met the criteria. Controls with gastrointestinal illness or a history of recent travel abroad were excluded. Interviews were conducted by telephone using a standard, structured questionnaire. Subjects not contactable on the telephone were visited and interviewed at home. Information was elicited on recent gastrointestinal illness and food eaten in the three days before illness (or the same three day period in the matched controls). Subjects were asked specifically about consumption of a range of meat (including cold meats), poultry and dairy products, and about details of brand name, date, and place of purchase.

The second case-control study was performed to obtain more details on ham eaten by cases and controls, to retrace the food chain, and to determine the source of the ham. Subjects were enrolled in the second case-control study if they had eaten cold sliced ham in the three days before onset of illness. In addition, investigators visited each of the retail stores from which subjects had purchased ham and used a questionnaire to obtain information from store managers about sources of ham and about ham supply, storage, and preparation procedures. If the store had bought ham from a distributor, the distributor was contacted and asked about the original ham source and details of ham preparation procedure.

Data analysis was carried out using Epi Info Version 6.2 Food preference tables were constructed and differences in categorical variables tested using Mantel-Haenszel $\chi^2$ with Yates’s correction or two tailed Fisher’s exact test. Univariate odds ratios were calculated with exact 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Retail store and distributor premises were inspected by environmental health officers to determine whether the food hygiene standards and food preparation practices were satisfactory. The main distributor, producer A, was visited and specific inquiries made about any problem with ham production or change in cooking procedure that may have arisen during April or May. Samples of sliced ham on sale at the retail stores visited, and samples of recently cooked ham joints and drain swabs from producer A were submitted for microbiological examination.

Results

Preliminary interviews were completed for six men and six women aged from 14 months to 58 years, all of whom had become ill during May. Although cases were scattered throughout south east Wales, there was some geographical clustering. None of the cases had recently been abroad. All 11 primary cases had eaten cold meats and 10 had eaten sliced, pre-cooked ham purchased from local retail stores.

STAGE 1 CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Twenty of 28 confirmed cases were interviewed. Three cases could not be contacted, one case had died, and the remaining four were secondary cases. Dates of onset of symptoms ranged from 3 to 24 May (fig 1). Thirteen cases were men and mean age was 31.9 years (range 1–77). The most common symptoms described by cases were diarrhoea (19 of 20 cases), abdominal pain (18 of 20 cases), and

Table 1  Case-control study 1. Association between illness and foods eaten by cases and controls in a salmonella outbreak in south east Wales, 1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food item</th>
<th>Case (n=20)</th>
<th>Control (n=34)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home cooked chicken</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.67 (0.19, 2.40)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any cold meat product</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.11 (1.30, 70.4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced ham</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.50 (1.10, 21.8)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced turkey</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.81 (0.29, 11.1)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corned beef</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.26 (0.02, 1.46)</td>
<td>0.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced chicken</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.28 (0.17, 8.55)</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salami</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 8.82)</td>
<td>0.52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any delicatessen product</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.03 (1.01, 32.3)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat pie</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.23 (0.02, 1.30)</td>
<td>0.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat pie</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.36 (0.03, 2.15)</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sausage roll</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.36 (0.03, 2.15)</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayonnaise</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.60 (0.42, 5.90)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice cream</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.11 (0.03, 0.46)</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoghurt</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.92 (0.26, 3.20)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two tailed Fisher’s exact test.

Figure 1  Epidemic curve for 20 cases affected by a Salmonella typhimurium outbreak in southeast Wales, 1992 (one case was unable to recall onset date).
A 16 week shelf life of several weeks. The ham was placed on a meat preparation table to remove the cooking bag, jelly, and fat. It was then vacuum packed and stored in a refrigerated room before distribution by refrigerated tanks. Cured joints weighing around 7 kg were sealed in cooking bags using metal ties, steam cooked for eight hours at a temperature of 86°C, and cooled using cold water showers. Batches of around 70 ham joints were cooked at a time. After cooking, the ham was placed on a meat preparation table to remove the cooking bag, jelly, and fat. It was then vacuum packed and stored in a refrigerated room before distribution by refrigerated van. The ham had a shelf life of several weeks.

**Discussion**

Repeated close questioning of producer A failed to identify any oven or refrigerator breakdown problems. However, after several visits by investigators, the producer admitted changing his usual ham cooking procedure as an economy measure after receiving a large bill for water supplies in April. Instead of cooling hams in the usual way, the joints had been removed from the oven while still hot and placed overnight in cold water in two tanks normally reserved for curing raw pork. This cooling method had been used on only one occasion as it was not deemed a success, as the ham took too long to cool. No residual ham from the implicated batch was available for microbiological analysis, but all ham samples obtained from retail stores and samples of recently cooked ham and drain swabs from producer A tested negative.

**Table 2**: Case-control study 2. Association between illness and type of ham consumed by cases and controls in a salmonella outbreak in south east Wales, 1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food item</th>
<th>Case (n=16)</th>
<th>Control (n=16)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sliced ham from local store</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced ham from store supplied by producer A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two tailed Fisher’s exact test.
Outbreak investigation by sequential case-control studies

illegible

The first study found that illness was associated with eating certain meat or poultry products, but a second study was required to identify the exact source of the suspect products. Ground beef samples from the implicated production plant and grocery store outlets were found to contain high concentrations of thyroid hormones. The eosinophilia-myalgia syndrome was linked with tryptophan use after a case-control investigation, but a second case-control study was necessary to pin point the brand and retail lot numbers of the tryptophan responsible. This was subsequently confirmed by chemical analysis of the implicated tryptophan brand. Rapid epidemiological investigation thus led to early containment measures and prevented further public exposure to the causative agent.

Case-control studies are now a well established tool in outbreak investigation, and methods of analysis are becoming more sophisticated. What is less well appreciated is that sequential case-control studies may sometimes be necessary to clarify and refine the hypothesis under test. A broad and inclusive case definition is used initially, but this can be refined as the investigation proceeds to increase its specificity. For instance, the first stage may identify the vehicle of infection but the second stage is used to identify the specific brand or batch number. In the second stage, there is a clear shift in definition of cases and controls who are usually restricted to those exposed to the suspect vehicle identified in the first stage. Re-defined cases and controls may be drawn from those included in the first study (sequential interview) or may be sampled afresh. However, if there are several possible brands involved, this approach may not allow calculation of an odds ratio for each brand. In this instance, cases and controls may be investigated whether or not they were exposed to the suspect vehicle of infection and an odds ratio calculated for each brand with reference to absence of exposure to the vehicle. This allows calculation of a comparable odds ratio for each brand.

The case-control design is the most efficient in circumstances where multiple hypotheses require evaluation as new hypotheses may be advanced during the course of the investigation and the study adapted as necessary. It is particularly appropriate in the absence of microbiological evidence of food contamination when a highly specific hypothesis is necessary to provide satisfactory, plausible, epidemiological evidence of causation. The precision of the second stage can be extremely powerful but depends on collecting data on the source of foods eaten by both cases and controls, a principle not always appreciated by the regulatory authorities who may be involved in the traceback process. The outbreak investigation we describe shows that even when primary microbiological methods cannot be used, the case-control method can still be successful. It is also an example of consequential epidemiology. By defining the most probable sequence of events leading to the outbreak, a
poor practice was identified at producer level that could be prevented in future.
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