Ethnic differences in mortality from cardiovascular disease in the UK: do they persist in people with diabetes?

Nish Chaturvedi, John H Fuller

Abstract

Study objective – To determine whether ethnic differences in cardiovascular disease mortality persist in people with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus.

Design – This was an ecological study in which routine mortality data from 1985–86, which coded all mentioned causes of death, provided the numerator. The UK population derived from 1981 census formed the denominator.

Setting – United Kingdom.

Participants – Records of all deaths in people aged 45 years and above were extracted if diabetes was mentioned anywhere on the death certificate. The denominator was aged five years to approximate to the 1986 population. Mortality rates where a cardiovascular underlying cause was given were compared between South Asians, African-Caribbeans, and those born in England and Wales. The latter group formed the standard for directly standardised rate ratios.

Main results – Mortality from heart disease was approximately three times higher in diabetic South Asian born men and women than in those with diabetes born in England and Wales. This ethnic difference was greatest in the younger age group. Conversely, stroke mortality rates in African-Caribbeans were 3.5–4 times higher than those in the England and Wales population. Despite this high mortality from stroke, ischaemic heart disease death rates were not high in African-Caribbean men.

Conclusions – Ethnic differences in cardiovascular mortality persisted and were greater in those with diabetes. Thus the high risk of heart disease should be targeted for intervention in South Asians, and the high rates of stroke targeted in African-Caribbeans.

Methods

Routine mortality data provide statistics for underlying cause of death only; they are not particularly helpful for diabetes, where the underlying cause of death is rarely diabetes itself. We used mortality data from 1985–86 for England and Wales, when all conditions mentioned on the death certificate were routinely recorded. All certificates where diabetes (ICD9 code 250) was mentioned for people aged 45 and above were extracted. This age cut-off was used to ensure that only people with NIDDM would be included in the sample.

Ethnicity is not routinely recorded on death certificates. However, place of birth is available, and was used as a proxy for ethnicity. “South Asian” included those born in India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka and “African-Caribbean” included all those born in the Caribbean islands. Age specific mortality rates in relation to ethnicity were calculated for the underlying cause of death when diabetes was mentioned anywhere on the death certificate. Underlying causes of death analysed were: all causes, cardiovascular disease (ICD9
Table 1  Directly standardised rate ratios (DSRRs) (95% confidence intervals) for all cause and cardiovascular mortality in people with diabetes comparing South Asians and African-Caribbeans with people born in England and Wales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>South Asian</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>African-Caribbean</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cause mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mentioned on the certificate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSR</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of deaths</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease (ICD9 390-459) with diabetes mentioned on the certificate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSR</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(248-312)</td>
<td>(204-273)</td>
<td>(140-217)</td>
<td>(171-279)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of deaths</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Heart Disease (ICD9 410-414) with diabetes mentioned on the certificate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSR</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(286-369)</td>
<td>(230-327)</td>
<td>(35-113)</td>
<td>(101-216)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of deaths</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke (ICD9 430-438) with diabetes mentioned on the certificate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSR</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(159-271)</td>
<td>(139-250)</td>
<td>(300-549)</td>
<td>(239-494)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of deaths</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* People born in England and Wales form the standard population; DSRRs for all above conditions for England and Wales are 100.

Table 2  Relative mortality rates from all cause and cardiovascular disease in people with diabetes comparing South Asians and African-Caribbeans with people born in England and Wales (number of deaths in brackets)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>South Asian</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>African-Caribbean</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cause mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64 years</td>
<td>3.9*** (278)</td>
<td>3.8*** (127)</td>
<td>1.9*** (85)</td>
<td>2.9** (75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(3.4-4.4)</td>
<td>(3.2-4.6)</td>
<td>(1.5-2.4)</td>
<td>(2.3-5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>2.9*** (262)</td>
<td>2.2*** (247)</td>
<td>1.8*** (90)</td>
<td>2.4** (90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(2.0-2.5)</td>
<td>(1.5-2.2)</td>
<td>(1.9-2.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease (ICD9 390-459)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64 years</td>
<td>4.1*** (155)</td>
<td>3.9*** (62)</td>
<td>1.7*** (40)</td>
<td>1.6*** (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(3.4-4.8)</td>
<td>(3.0-5.0)</td>
<td>(1.2-2.3)</td>
<td>(1.3-2.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>2.5*** (140)</td>
<td>2.2*** (122)</td>
<td>1.8*** (41)</td>
<td>2.2*** (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(2.1-2.9)</td>
<td>(1.3-2.4)</td>
<td>(1.6-3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease (ICD9 410-414)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64 years</td>
<td>4.2*** (125)</td>
<td>4.0*** (45)</td>
<td>1.0 (18)</td>
<td>1.4 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(3.5-5.0)</td>
<td>(2.9-5.4)</td>
<td>(0.6-1.5)</td>
<td>(0.8-2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>3.0*** (108)</td>
<td>2.6*** (79)</td>
<td>0.7 (11)</td>
<td>1.5 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(2.5-3.6)</td>
<td>(2.1-3.2)</td>
<td>(0.4-1.3)</td>
<td>(0.9-2.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke (ICD9 430-438)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64 years</td>
<td>4.1*** (25)</td>
<td>2.6*** (9)</td>
<td>4.0*** (15)</td>
<td>2.7*** (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(2.7-6.2)</td>
<td>(1.4-5.2)</td>
<td>(2.4-6.7)</td>
<td>(1.3-5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>1.8** (29)</td>
<td>1.2*** (35)</td>
<td>4.1*** (27)</td>
<td>3.5*** (22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(1.3-2.6)</td>
<td>(1.3-2.5)</td>
<td>(2.8-5.9)</td>
<td>(2.3-5.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Table 3  Directly standardised rate ratios (DSRRs) (95% confidence intervals) for NIDDM, stroke and heart disease comparing South Asians and African-Caribbeans with people born in England and Wales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>South Asian</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>African-Caribbean</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cause mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDDM</td>
<td>3.8*** (85)</td>
<td>3.6*** (44)</td>
<td>1.9*** (85)</td>
<td>2.9** (75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(3.4-4.4)</td>
<td>(3.2-4.6)</td>
<td>(1.5-2.4)</td>
<td>(2.3-5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>4.1*** (155)</td>
<td>3.9*** (62)</td>
<td>1.7*** (40)</td>
<td>1.6*** (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(3.4-4.8)</td>
<td>(3.0-5.0)</td>
<td>(1.2-2.3)</td>
<td>(1.3-2.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>4.2*** (125)</td>
<td>4.0*** (45)</td>
<td>1.0 (18)</td>
<td>1.4 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(3.5-5.0)</td>
<td>(2.9-5.4)</td>
<td>(0.6-1.5)</td>
<td>(0.8-2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Discussion

Ethnic differences in CVD mortality persist, and may be enhanced, in the presence of diabetes. We find that diabetes disproportionately increases the risk of ischaemic heart disease mortality in South Asians. In the general population, South Asian mortality rates from heart disease are only 1-5 times those of the general European population, but they seem to be three times higher when people with NIDDM are compared. Similarly, while mortality from stroke is twice that of the general population in African-Caribbeans, this risk is increased three to fourfold in people with diabetes. Ischaemic heart disease deaths in African-Caribbean born men with diabetes were not significantly higher than those of the general population. Although not statistically significant, there are indications from these data that in South Asians, men had a worse mortality experience than women, whilst in African-Caribbeans this sex ratio was reversed. Again, this reflects the experience of the general population.

The ideal study design to examine this question would have been to perform a cohort study of people from different ethnic groups with NIDDM, but such a study would be time consuming and expensive. The United King-
Ethnicity and cardiovascular disease in NIDDM

dom prospective diabetes study excluded those with a myocardial infarction in the previous year, or other severe disease at baseline, and this may result in a biased sample if the two populations have different risks of cardiovascular disease.14 A relatively quick, cheap and easy indicator of ethnic differences in diabetes related mortality is to use routinely available data, which act as a basis for more detailed, individual based studies.

Comparative data from other countries to which people of South Asian or African-Caribbean descent have migrated are scarce, but there are indications from the US that in older age groups, African Americans with diabetes have a lower risk of heart disease than US whites.15 An examination of death certificate data in Jamaica showed that about a third of all deaths in African-Caribbeans with diabetes were due to hypertensive, cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases combined.16 Unfortunately, in this study, data for stroke and heart disease were not presented separately.

Country of birth is a valid proxy for ethnicity as migration to this country for both South Asians and African-Caribbeans occurred in the 1950s and 60s, and few second generation migrants would have reached their 45th birthday by 1985.20 Migrants from the Caribbean islands may be of South Asian rather than African-Caribbean descent, but this heterogeneity of the population under study would only serve to attenuate ethnic differences in cardiovascular mortality; true differences may be even greater than we show. There are concerns about the completeness of diabetes reporting on death certificates.14 This problem is partly addressed by use of multiple cause of death coding, but it is unlikely that incomplete data can explain such large ethnic differences in mortality, or the very different experience of African-Caribbeans and South Asians in their respective risks of CVD.

Ethnic differences in the prevalence of insulin resistance and its different effects on metabolism may account for these observed mortality differences. South Asians are more insulin resistant than the general population, and are resistant to insulin’s glucose and lipid homeostatic effects.10 The resulting poor lipid profiles, with high triglyceride levels and low HDL cholesterol levels, may account for the high rates of heart disease in the general South Asian population, and for the increased risk of heart disease in those with NIDDM. In contrast, whilst African-Caribbeans have high insulin levels, and high rates of diabetes, lipid profiles are generally more favourable than that of the general population.11 These differences persist, and may be enhanced in the presence of glucose intolerance,22 so that African-Caribbeans with glucose intolerance still have relatively low rates of heart disease,18 and low triglyceride and high HDL levels compared with Europeans. The reasons for this are not known, but the cause may be a retention of sensitivity to the lipid homeostatic effects in African-Caribbeans.21

These findings add new insights to the investigation of the relationship between CVD and diabetes. They also have implications for care providers. Need for preventative care will increase as first generation migrants get older and second generation migrants enter the high risk age group. Young South Asians, especially men, with diabetes are at particular risk of heart disease, and should be targeted for control of obesity, particularly central obesity.10 In African-Caribbeans, the main problem is stroke, associated with high blood pressure.13 This emphasises the need for effective management of hypertension in African-Caribbeans. Despite high blood pressures, African-Caribbeans with diabetes are relatively protected from heart disease. The reasons for this relative protection remain to be established.
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