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Abstract

Study objective – To examine trends in socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular risk factors using educational attainment to indicate socioeconomic status.


Setting – The six state capital cities of Australia; Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth, and Hobart.

Participants – A total of 19315 randomly selected respondents stratified by age (25–44, 45–64) and sex.

Results – During the 1980s, average blood pressure declined for each level of educational attainment. Dietary messages to reduce the intake of saturated fat had little effect on the lipid profile of any population group. Height and educational attainment were positively associated. Women increased in weight from between 2 to 4 kg depending on age and educational attainment while older men experienced increases of around 2.5 kg regardless of educational attainment. Advice to avoid salt was adopted across the spectrum of educational attainment but with no suggestion that the socioeconomic gradient, which favoured the more highly educated, was diminishing. Men of all education levels responded positively to the anti-smoking initiatives of the 1980s but the relative disadvantage of those of lower education was maintained. Among women, the decline in smoking was less among those in the low education group. The prevalence of moderate to heavy drinkers was higher in men of lower educational attainment but declined significantly over the period. Walking for recreation or exercise became more popular, especially among older men of low education, while the prevalence of aerobic exercise and vigorous exercise remained largely unchanged. Overall, the clear socioeconomic gradient between leisure time physical activity and education attainment remained.

Conclusions – The lower socioeconomic group has improved its risk factor profile but its relative disadvantage compared with the higher socioeconomic group persists. Health promotion activities in Australia seem to have been effective in reaching the lower socioeconomic groups but the challenge to reduce inequalities remains. The steady increase in educational attainment in Australia may have been an important factor in the general improvement in the nation’s risk factor profile and in the decrease in mortality from coronary heart disease.

In Australia, as in other developed countries, people of lower socioeconomic status are presently at greater risk of cardiovascular disease. This relationship has been documented for mortality from coronary heart disease and stroke, in men and women, and for morbidity and risk factors.1,10 There is evidence for men that the appreciable decline in cardiovascular mortality observed in Australia since the late 1960s was initially greater among the higher socioeconomic groups: that is, that socioeconomic inequalities were widening.5,11 This phenomenon has also been observed in Britain and the United States.12,13

Within developed countries, the pattern of higher rates of illness and death among people of lower socioeconomic status holds for most diseases.14,15 Reasons for this association are unclear and potential explanations include external factors and personal characteristics and behaviours of the individual. These include living and working conditions; access to health care services; cultural influences; aspects of social support; social mobility related to health; knowledge, attitudes, and values; and behavioural risk factors.10,16–18

Many risk factors, biomedical and behavioural, are strongly related to socioeconomic status and their unequal distribution in society is known to contribute to the inverse gradient between socioeconomic status and cardiovascular mortality.19,20 It follows that monitoring socioeconomic differentials in cardiovascular risk factors is important for understanding trends in the socioeconomic distribution of cardiovascular disease, and for supporting the development of cost effective and equitable strategies for its prevention and treatment.

In recent years, a wide variety of initiatives has been undertaken to improve the risk factor profile of Australians, and favourable trends were observed in the prevalence of cigarette smoking, some dietary behaviours, and participation in lighter exercise among adults.21 Mean blood pressure declined significantly but changes in blood lipids were small. Body fatness increased noticeably during the past decade, especially in women. What is not known is
whether socioeconomic groups responded differently in adopting healthier lifestyles and how this affected socioeconomic inequalities in biomedical risk factors. To answer these questions, data from three successive risk factor surveys conducted in Australia during the 1980s were examined for socioeconomic inequalities in behavioural and biomedical risk factor levels and for trends in any such inequalities over time.

Measures of education, occupation, and income, either singly or as a composite score, are commonly used as indicators of socioeconomic status. These indicators are invariably correlated but are believed to measure different aspects of socioeconomic circumstances.22,23 Only educational attainment was collected in a consistent manner across all three surveys and it has therefore been used to indicate socioeconomic status in this analysis. Educational attainment is considered to be related to values and attitudes which result in healthier behaviours, greater use of preventive health services, and a more positive social and physical environment.24 It is usually complete by early adulthood and is available for all men and women regardless of employment status.24 Education has been found to be strongly associated with cardiovascular mortality25 and with coronary risk factors.26,27 It has been recommended as the most judicious single measure of socioeconomic status for use in epidemiological studies.22

The purpose of the analysis was to detect any associations between educational attainment and levels of risk factors, to examine their consistency across age and sex groups, and their replication over time.

Methods

STUDY DESIGN

Data for this analysis have been provided by the National Heart Foundation’s risk factor prevalence study, comprising multicentre surveys conducted during 1980, 1983, and 1989. These surveys were not longitudinal but were designed to provide cross sectional estimates for the Australian adult urban population at intervals. The three surveys used basically the same methods.28 A systematic probability sample of adults was selected from federal electoral rolls for each capital city in Australia. Over 60% of Australians live in these capital cities and voter registration is compulsory for all Australian citizens aged 18 years and older. Adults living in other urban or rural areas were not covered by the study. Those identified were invited by post to attend a local survey centre at a specific appointment time for a free check of risk factors for heart disease. Each survey achieved a response rate close to 75%. At the centre, participants completed a self administered questionnaire and were then referred to a nursing sister for physical and blood pressure measurement and blood sampling. Staff were trained in standardised procedures and measuring techniques, and data quality was monitored.

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

Educational attainment was determined by the response to the request, “please indicate the highest level of education you have completed”. Education was categorised into groups labelled low (no schooling, primary school, or some high school), medium (completed high school at year 12 or equivalent), and high attainment (completed tertiary qualification at university, college of advanced education, or other tertiary institution).

BIOMEDICAL RISK FACTORS

Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressures were determined as the mean of two consecutive readings taken five minutes apart from the right arm, with the participants seated, and using normal mercury sphygmomanometers. Hypertension was defined as SBP ≥ 160 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 95 mmHg, and/or taking tablets for blood pressure.

Morning fasted blood specimens were analysed for plasma total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglycerides (TG). Low density lipoprotein (LDL) was calculated as TC-HDL-TG/2 if TG < 4-5 mmol/l.28 Trends were also examined for the ratio of TC to HDL. High TC was defined as 5-5 mmol/l or greater. Low HDL was defined as less than 0-91 mmol/l for men (35 mg/dl) and less than 1-16 mmol/l (45mg/dl) for women.29

Height was measured to the nearest 0-1 kg (1 kg in 1980). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (in kg) divided by the square of height (m²) after deducting 1 kg from the measured weight as an allowance for weight of clothing. For both sexes, overweight was defined as BMI 25, obese as BMI ≥ 30.

BEHAVIOURAL RISK FACTORS

The questionnaire asked, “Do you add salt to your food after it is cooked?” (1983 and 1989) and, “How often do you eat the fat on meat?” (1980 and 1989). For both questions this analysis used the response, “rarely or never” as an indicator of reduced risk.

Smoking status was determined from self reported questionnaire data. Current smokers were defined as smoking ≥ 1 manufactured cigarettes a day, ≥ 1 g “hand-rolled” cigarette tobacco per week, ≥ 1 cigars per week, or ≥ 1 g pipe tobacco per week.

Alcohol intake was classified as never or occasional (<1 drink per week), light (1–27 drinks per week for men, 1–13 drinks per week for women) or moderate to heavy (≥ 28 drinks per week for men, ≥ 14 drinks per week for women), consistent with the National Health and Medical Research Council recommendations for “responsible drinking behaviour”.31

In the 1983 and 1989 surveys, respondents were asked about exercise taken for recreation, sport, or health fitness purposes in the previous two weeks. This included vigorous exercise (defined as causing breathlessness, puffing and panting), less vigorous exercise, and walking.
Vigorous exercise for three or more times a week and an average of 20 minutes or more per session was classified as aerobic exercise. No leisure time exercise of any kind was also used as an indicator of cardiovascular risk. No account was taken of exercise at work.

MULTIPLE RISK FACTORS
The prevalence of individuals with two or more of the three classic risk factors for coronary disease was analysed for socioeconomic gradients and trends. The risk factors were defined as follows: high DBP (≥95 mmHg), high TC (≥6.5 mmol/l) and regular cigarette smoking (any number daily). All respondents were included whether or not they had fasted.

The prevalence of two or more biomedical risk factors (high DBP, high TC, low HDL, obesity) was also analysed as was the prevalence of two or more behavioural risk factors (regular cigarette smoking, no leisure time physical activity, moderate to heavy alcohol intake, almost always add salt to food).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The trend analysis was based upon those variables common to the 1989 survey and the 1983 and/or 1980 surveys. The common age range was 25–64 years, and the centres common to each survey were in Sydney (two centres), Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth, and Hobart. On this basis, survey respondents numbered 5603 in 1980, 7615 in 1983, and 6097 in 1989.

All analyses were conducted separately for men and women stratified by age (25–44, 45–64 years) using SAS software package, version 6. Age, as at 30 June of the survey year, was calculated from date of birth provided by the respondent.

For continuous variables (for example, blood pressure), associations with educational attainment over time were examined by analysis of covariance. The model included survey year, age, survey centre, and birthplace (Australian-born or overseas-born) as independent variables to allow for differences in the demographic composition of participants over time. An interaction term for educational attainment and year of survey was included to allow for different trends in different education levels. Age was included as a covariate because mean age varied between educational attainment groups even within the broad age strata considered. Use of oral contraceptives was introduced as a factor when analysing data on blood lipids for women aged 25–44.

Multiple logistic regression was used to examine changes in categorical variables over time (for example, proportion of smokers) using the same independent variables as for continuous variables. Using high education in 1980 as the reference group, prevalence odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence limits (CL) (available from the author) were derived from the maximum likelihood parameter estimates of the model. ORs for selected risk factors were displayed graphically using a log scale to enable comparison of time trends above and below unity.

Certain data were excluded from the analyses. Data from non-fasted respondents and four extreme triglyceride values (≥30 mmol/l) were excluded from blood lipid analyses. Data for pregnant women were excluded from all analyses which included BMI. Procedures for measuring height in Adelaide in 1980 deviated from the study protocol and these data (and BMI) were excluded from the analysis (weight measurements for Adelaide were included). Missing data were negligible (<0·1%) for educational attainment, all survey design variables, and risk factors with the exception of BMI (0·4%), TC (1·4%), HDL (3·3%), and TG (1·4%). Information was maximised and records containing variables with missing data were only excluded from analyses in which those variables occurred.

Results
Post-secondary (high) education was reported more often by men and women, and by younger than older people (table 1). Overall, in the study period there was an increase in the proportion who reported post-secondary education.

Table 2 shows time trends for each level of educational attainment, adjusted for age and survey design parameters. Table 3 shows differences between high and low educational attainment (inequalities) for each survey year, again adjusted for age and survey design parameters. Tables showing age–sex specific risk factor levels at each survey time, for each category of educational attainment are available from the author.

Generally, falls in blood pressure occurred at each level of educational attainment with consequent reductions in the prevalence of hypertension (table 2, fig 1). A socioeconomic gradient tended to favour those with higher educational attainment, however the gradient was not apparent at all points in time (table 3).

Socioeconomic gradients and time trends for TC and raised cholesterol were not pronounced (fig 1). Educational attainment and HDL were
Table 2  Trends in risk factors in relation to educational attainment, sex, and age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Men 25-44 y Low</th>
<th>Med</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (TC)</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (TC)</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (TC)</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Inequalities in risk factors in relation to survey year, sex, and age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Men 25-44 y</th>
<th>Men 45-64 y</th>
<th>Women 25-44 y</th>
<th>Women 45-64 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>13.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (TC)</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>15.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences in level (low-high educational attainment) or odds ratios (low/high educational attainment) by survey year adjusted for educational attainment and survey design parameters. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

More generally, the trend was that men were more strongly associated with women than men (similarly for LDL). LDL levels decreased significantly among older women with low or medium education. TC/HDL increased significantly among men of lower education. Women exhibited socioeconomic gradients in TC/HDL which favoured higher educational attainment and there were no statistically significant trends over time.

Younger men with higher educational attainment were 2.7 cm taller on average than men of the same age of lower educational attainment. The differential for older men was 3.3 cm, for younger women 1.9 cm, and for older women 2.0 cm (derived from table 3). The average weight of women increased from 2.1 and 4.4 kg over the study period depending on age and educational attainment, with greater increases among women of lower educational attainment (table 2). Older men experienced increases of around 2.5 kg regardless of educational attainment. BMI was greatest among women of low educational attainment, who also showed the greatest increases over time.

The greatest increases in the proportion over time were experienced by younger women independent of educational attainment, and by older women of lower education (table 2, fig 1).

With regard to dietary behaviour, “not adding salt to food” became more common at each level of educational attainment (fig 2). This
was observed for each age-sex group, although the data suggest greater improvements among younger, tertiary educated men and women (table 2). Significant gradients existed with educational attainment for each age-sex group and were maintained over time (table 3). Education gradients were not as marked for "not eating the fat on meat", and were statistically significant only for younger men and women in 1989 (table 3). All time trends were statistically significant with the exception of younger men of low educational attainment and older men of medium educational attainment.

In each age-sex group, smoking prevalence declined significantly among those of high educational attainment (table 2). There were declines in the other educational groups but, with the exception of older women, the clear differentials in favour of high educational attainment persisted (table 3). Trends were least and non-significant among younger and older women of low educational attainment (table 2, fig 2).

Among younger men, the prevalence of moderate or heavy drinking declined at each level of educational attainment, although the socioeconomic gradient persisted. The high prevalence of moderate or heavy drinking reported in 1980 by older women with high educational attainment had significantly declined by 1983 and was maintained in 1989. For each age-sex group, light alcohol drinking tended to be more popular among those of high educational attainment.

All forms of leisure-time exercise were strongly associated with educational attainment (table 3). Those of high educational attainment were more likely to participate than those of low attainment (fig 2). There was little change over time for men in participation rates for aerobic exercise or vigorous exercise (table 2). For women, the data suggest changes in participation rates for aerobic exercise for those of medium educational attainment, increasing participation for younger women and decreasing for older women. Each age-sex group reported increased participation in walking for recreation or exercise at each level of educational attainment, although increases were less for those of medium educational attainment. Using "no exercise in the past 2 weeks" as a summary measure shows that the
Figure 2  Selected behavioural risk factors – odds ratios (OR) in relation to educational attainment, sex, age group, and year. The reference group is high education in 1980 (OR=1).

Figure 3  Classic risk factors (high diastolic blood pressure, high total cholesterol concentration, and cigarette smoking) – average number per person in relation to educational attainment, sex, age group, and year.

The socioeconomic gradient observed for physical inactivity during leisure time persisted over time, with the greatest improvement shown by older men of low educational attainment. The prevalence of multiple behavioural risk factors displayed strong (inverse) associations with educational attainment for each age-sex group, resulting in prevalence ORs around 2 to 5 for low compared with high educational attainment (table 3). Nevertheless, each educational group experienced an improvement in their health risk behaviour profile over the study period (table 2).

An inverse association with educational attainment was also consistently observed for the prevalence of multiple biomedical risk factors among younger and older women. The results suggest a worsening of the biomedical risk factor profile for younger women irrespective of educational attainment and little improvement in the older age, sex groups.

Only among young men was the simultaneous occurrence of two or more of the three classical risk factors for coronary heart disease inversely associated with educational
attainment at each survey (table 3). Generally, statistically significant decreases in the prevalence of multiple risk factors were observed at each educational level (table 2), except for young women where prevalences were already less than 6%.

**Discussion**

The risk factor prevalence study provides a unique opportunity to examine trends in socioeconomic differentials in cardiovascular risk factors in a representative sample of urban Australians. Few studies have reported trends in risk factor inequalities and those that have tend to be of single local or regional communities. The present data analysis is based on nationwide probability sample surveys of urban living Australian adults aged 25–64 with an average sample size of 6400 respondents. The results improve our understanding of cardiovascular disease aetiology in society, and help in formulating policy and determining health promotion activities.

**EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT**

Of the three most commonly used indicators of socioeconomic status—educational attainment, occupation, and income—education has been found to be more strongly associated with disease, including coronary heart disease. The most plausible mechanism by which education protects against disease is a favourable influence on lifestyle, problem solving abilities, and values.

The level of educational attainment of Australians generally has been increasing steadily in recent years and this is clearly reflected in the survey samples (table 1). Also clearly shown is that younger survey participants are more likely to have experienced post-compulsory education (after the age of 15 years) than those in the older age group. Conducting the analysis separately for two broad age groups allows for an age-specific effect, and recognises that post-compulsory education may have a different meaning for different age groups. The trend towards increasing homogeneity of educational attainment may affect the future usefulness of educational attainment as a marker of socioeconomic status.

**BLOOD PRESSURE**

Mean blood pressure tended to be higher among those with lower educational attainment but the difference was not statistically significant at all points in time. Some recent studies have reported a negative association between blood pressure and level of education in women but not in men. Others have found the relationship in both men and women, and others have found no such relationship. This may reflect the dynamic influence of other related factors such as diet, treatment for hypertension, and smoking behaviour. The results of this study show that the association can fluctuate over time within the same population subgroup.

The cause(s) of the falls in mean blood pressure over time remains unknown. The sizes of the decreases, which occurred for each level of educational attainment in each age–sex group, are too great to be explained by measurement error. Blood pressure was highly correlated with BMI and to a lesser extent with smoking status. Controlling for these variables did not explain the falls; in fact many of the decreases were magnified. The estimates of trends and inequalities were essentially unaffected by excluding from the analysis subjects on anti-hypertensive medication.

**LIPIDS**

The results suggest an inverse association between average TC and the level of education among women but not among men, a finding reported elsewhere.

Most published reports show inconsistent results, however, both in terms of the direction and strength of the association, which may be related to variation in the level of public concern and individual awareness in the communities in which the studies were conducted. The overall lipid picture in this study is one that favours women of high educational attainment who, in addition to lower TC concentrations, also had higher average concentrations of HDL, lower triglyceride, lower LDL, and lower TC/HDL.

The dietary messages of the 1980s, to reduce the intake of saturated fat, had little effect on the lipid profile of any population subgroup. Younger women experienced no change in average TC at any level of educational attainment. Average TC concentrations decreased in older women, especially those of low educational attainment. Among men, there were few changes in the lipid profile of any educational group, the most notable trends being statistically significant increases in TC/HDL ratios among men of low educational attainment.

**HEIGHT**

These data show, for each survey and age–sex group, the well known association between adult height and socioeconomic status. Reasons for the association are uncertain but adult height has been suggested as a good indicator of net nutritional status and average health status. The association may therefore reflect differences in nutrition and health during early childhood, and the statistically significant increases in the average height of men (older) and women of lower educational attainment may reflect improvements in conditions during childhood in these socioeconomic groups. Height is known to be a predictor of all cause mortality and coronary heart disease mortality, at least in middle aged men.

**BMI**

The inverse relationship between BMI and educational attainment was also repeated at each survey. The relationship was strongest for women age 25–44, for whom ratios close to 2 were observed in each survey for the relative
odds of being overweight or obese (table 3). The prevalence of women in this age group who were overweight or obese in 1989 was still less than that in the other age–sex groups despite the significant increases which occurred at each level of educational attainment. Excess body weight is known to be associated with increased mortality, and there is some evidence that change in body weight may be similarly associated.38

BMI was inversely related to smoking status, especially among older men and women and to a lesser extent among younger men, but not in younger women. Consequently, smoking status could not explain the higher mean BMIs in those of low educational attainment since smoking was more prevalent in that strata. As expected, when smoking status was added to the model the socioeconomic differentials were slightly increased. It is possible to hypothesise, however, that the decreases in the prevalence of smoking over time could have contributed to the increases in mean BMI. In fact, controlling for smoking status did slightly moderate the increases in mean BMI among older men and women, but did not completely explain them, consistent with an independent analysis.39

DIETARY BEHAVIOUR
The questions on discretionary use of salt and propensity to eat the fat on meat are useful for monitoring behaviour and awareness of health messages. They are not intended as measures of sodium or saturated fat intake. The advice to avoid salt was adopted across the spectrum of educational attainment but with no suggestion that the socioeconomic gradient, which favoured the more highly educated, was diminishing. The results suggest the emergence of educational differentials among younger men and women in respect of attitudes towards eating the fat on meat.

SMOKING
In both younger and older men, the prevalence of smoking was consistently less among the higher educated and all groups experienced parallel declines in smoking prevalence. This suggests that men of all educational levels responded positively to the antismoking messages of the 1980s while maintaining the relative differences between groups. The picture is different among both younger and older women, for whom the decline has been less among those in the low education group. That is, women of low educational attainment have been least likely to respond to past primary prevention activities and may be an appropriate target group for future health promotion programs. In contrast to the other age–sex groups, there is no evidence of a socioeconomic gradient for smoking prevalence among older women.

ALCOHOL
In 1980, the prevalence of moderate or heavy drinkers was highest among men of low educational attainment, both younger and older men, and the significant reduction in this prevalence over the 1980s is good news. The data suggest a negative association between educational attainment and moderate or heavy alcohol consumption in men, but a positive association in women, a finding consistent with other studies.35–42 The sharp decrease in the prevalence of moderate or heavy drinkers among older women of high educational attainment is, perhaps, a good example that such associations are not immutable. The surveillance of light alcohol consumption is relevant to coronary risk given recent evidence that modest alcohol consumption is linked to lower cardiovascular risk.41–44 In this study the socioeconomic gradient invariably favours those with higher educational attainment.

EXERCISE
The lack of standardisation in the definition and assessment of physical activity in epidemiological research45 means that comparisons between surveys are uncommon. This present study used the same, self-reported, two-week recall questionnaire technique to measure leisure time activity in two surveys six years apart, which means that, although comparison of absolute levels of exercise with other studies may be problematic, the data are suitable for the internal identification of exercise differentials, both socioeconomic and secular.

The strong positive association between educational attainment and physical activity during leisure time, in all subgroups and both surveys, is supported by similar findings from other studies.26–35 The implications of this for coronary risk among women is clear, but not so for men. Other studies have found, among men but not women, that the lower levels of participation in physical activity during leisure time among those of lower educational attainment may be compensated for by increased physical activity at work.35–46 This could well apply in the present study, as the correlation between educational attainment and occupational status for men was highly significant (r = +0.54; p<0.001); that is, men of lower educational attainment were more likely to be in manual occupations. Further, it is known that leisure time activity and exercise at work are both associated with reduced coronary risk, although their relative importance is unclear.48

Regarding trends over time, few were statistically significant at any level of activity. There seems to have been a general increase in walking as a form of exercise regardless of level of education, however, the data are self-reported and there is no way of telling whether the trend reflects increased awareness or actuality.

MULTIPLE RISK FACTORS
All education groups responded positively to the health education messages of the 80s and adopted healthier profiles of risk factor behaviours (table 2). Adults with lower education remained more likely to report an unhealthy lifestyle (table 3). Cigarette smoking and excess
drinking were correlated at each level of educational attainment but especially among older women of high educational attainment. Among men, cigarette smoking was also correlated with lack of exercise and with increased discretionary use of salt.

The strong socioeconomic gradient for the prevalence of two or more risk behaviours within the one individual was consistent with the socioeconomic gradient for multiple biomedical risk factors (table 3). The gradient was observed also for the average number of classic risk factors per person (fig 3). With the exception of older women, the data suggested lower risk among the tertiary educated. Decreased risk of coronary events with increasing educational attainment is consistent with the known socioeconomic gradient in mortality for coronary heart disease. Overall, the results support the view that differentials in cardiovascular mortality may, at least in part, be ascribed to differences in biomedical and behavioural risk factors.

RESPONSE BIAS
Although the overall response rate of 75% was very high for surveys which include physical examination and venipuncture, it is sensible to consider the possible effects of response bias on the analysis. Other studies have found that people with an adverse risk factor profile are less likely to participate in surveys such as these.5456 If this were the case in our study, the inequalities observed would be underestimates of the true differentials, since risk factors are more prevalent in low socioeconomic groups. Overestimation of the association between educational attainment and risk factors would occur only if propensity to respond was associated with a better risk factor profile among the better educated and worse profile among the less educated, a phenomenon which has not been reported in other studies. There are no data available on the characteristics of non-respondents in the present trend analysis but the remarkable consistency in overall response rates over time offers some assurance against biased trend estimates. Characteristics of non-respondents have been found to be relatively stable over time.47

It is clear that Australians of different educational attainment have very different risk factor profiles. Socioeconomic inequalities are more pronounced for behavioural than biomedical risk factors, and for hypertension and body fatness that blood lipids. For both biomedical and behavioural risk factors, the strength of the associations varies with sex and age and inequalities are more common among women than men.

The lower socioeconomic group has improved its risk factor profile but its relative disadvantage compared with the higher socioeconomic group persists. This suggests that the health promotion activities in Australia have been effective in reaching the lower socioeconomic groups but that the challenge to reduce inequalities remains. The increase in weight is an unfavourable development shared by each socioeconomic group which, coupled with little reduction in smoking prevalence among women of low socioeconomic standing, might be expected to retard cardiovascular benefits in that population subgroup unless they are addressed.

Educational attainment may be a surrogate for other factors (for example, income which makes it easier to make healthy lifestyle choices) but it is also possible that exposure to higher formal education has a direct effect on risk factor levels and behaviours. The effect might be through the curriculum content or the intellectual training involved. Both might increase people’s ability to understand and relate to health promotion exposure after formal education has ceased56 and improve health literacy.

Regardless of the mechanism behind the association between education and risk factors, it is plausible that the steady increase in educational attainment in Australia has been an important factor in the general improvement in the nation’s risk factor profile and in the decrease in mortality from coronary heart disease.

The author thanks the Risk Factor Prevalence Study Management Committee for permission to analyse data from the risk factor prevalence surveys, and Dr Erich Kliewer, National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Australian National University, Professor Susan Wilson, Centre for Mathematical Applications, Australian National University, Dr Tony Worsely, Division of Human Nutrition, CSIRO, and Dr Paul Magnus, National Heart Foundation of Australia, for their comments.
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