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Abstract

**Study objective**—The aim was to validate a simple severity index of female urinary incontinence for subsequent use in an epidemiological survey.

**Design**—The index was created by multiplying the reported frequency (four levels) by the amount of leakage (two levels). The resulting index value (1–8) was further categorised into slight (1–2), moderate (3–4), and severe (5–8). It was validated against a 48 hour “pad weighing” test. Thereafter, an anonymous postal questionnaire survey was performed and the index was used to assess the severity of the leakage. A question about the impact of incontinence was also included.

**Setting**—The outpatient clinic of the Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Trondheim University Hospital and the rural community of Rissa, Norway.

**Participants**—Altogether 116 incontinent women referred to the clinic by their GP and all 2366 adult women living in Rissa.

**Results**—The difference in median pad weights between moderate and slight incontinence was 9g/24h (95% confidence interval 0–27). The corresponding difference between severe and moderate incontinence was 17g/24h (95% CI 5–30). In the epidemiological survey 29–4% reported urinary incontinence (response rate 77%). The prevalence tended to be highest in middle life and old age. Forty six per cent were classified as slight, 27% moderate, and 27% severe. There was a strong correlation between severity and impact (R=0.59, p<0.001).

**Conclusion**—The severity index may be a useful tool for assessing the severity of female urinary incontinence in epidemiological surveys. It is confirmed that urinary incontinence is very prevalent in adult women, but most should not be regarded as potential patients.

Epidemiological surveys of urinary incontinence usually rely on data collected through questionnaires or interviews. Some sort of classification by severity is often used in these studies,5 8 9 but the validity of the criteria is uncertain. In addition, different criteria are used by different authors, making comparisons even more difficult.

According to the International Continence Society, urinary incontinence is defined as involuntary loss of urine, which is objectively demonstrable and a social or hygiene problem.10 The important aspect of severity is not included in this definition. There are indications, however, that most incontinent women do not perceive their condition as a major problem.8

The overwhelmingly high prevalence numbers often cited represent a challenge for health services. Planning of optimal incontinence care means that the real extent of the problem needs to be carefully investigated. Severity and impact are key issues in this respect.

This study was designed to validate a simple severity index for female urinary incontinence. Furthermore, we wanted to implement this index in an epidemiological survey of the general population, including adult women of all ages and those living in institutions.

**Methods**

**VALIDATION STUDY**

The first part of the study was conducted during the years 1988–92 at the outpatient clinic of the Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Trondheim University Hospital. All women referred by GPs because of urinary incontinence were included. Before proceeding to other diagnostic procedures all patients were interviewed in a standard fashion by a nurse using a structured questionnaire designed for the study.

A severity index was created by multiplying the reported frequency (four levels) by the amount of leakage (two levels) (table 1). The resulting index value (1–8) was further categorised into slight (1–2), moderate (3–4), and severe (5–8). Typically, slight incontinence denotes leakage of drops a few times a month, moderate incontinence daily leakage of drops, and severe incontinence larger amounts at least once a week.

A pad weighing test was performed at home by approximately half of the patients. They had been provided with 12 medium sized pads and instructed to wear a preweighed pad day and night during a 48 hour period. They were to change pads when required, but before disposal the pads were reweighed and the weight gain noted. Some women interrupted the pad weighing test after 24...
Prevalence of female urinary incontinence was considered as given thresholds index.

Seven pad tests were used to assess the degree or urinary incontinence.

The severity index is created by multiplying the results of questions (1) and (2):

- **Slight:** 1
- **Moderate:** 2
- **Severe:** 3

Incontinence was considered as "slight" and "moderate" when the pad weighed 40-0 grams during the day and 30-0 grams at night, and 20-0 grams or more at both times was considered "severe." The corresponding differences between severe and moderate incontinence were 17g/24h (5-30).

The results of the pad weighing test showed the overall prevalence of urinary incontinence, giving an overall prevalence of 29.4% (95% CI 27.3-31.5%). Eleven percent reported a duration of less than one year and 37% more than five years.

The overall prevalence was highest in the age groups 50-59 and 80+ years. Forty six percent of the cases were classified as slight, 27% as moderate, and 27% as severe.

In the epidemiological survey a total of 1820 questionnaires were returned (77%). Altogether 535 women reported urinary incontinence, giving an overall prevalence of 29.4% (95% CI 27.3-31.5%). Eleven percent reported a duration of less than one year and 37% more than five years.

The overall prevalence was highest in the age groups 50-59 and 80+ years. Forty six percent of the cases were classified as slight, 27% as moderate, and 27% as severe.

Different "thresholds" by increasing severity are illustrated in the figure.

A total of 459 incontinent women answered the question about impact, 445 of whom could also be graded by severity (table III). There was a significant correlation between severity and impact (R=0.59, p<0.001). A weaker correlation between age and impact (R=0.16, p=0.01) disappeared completely when age and severity were used simultaneously as explanatory variables in a multiple regression analysis.
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A total of 459 incontinent women answered the question about impact, 445 of whom could also be graded by severity (table III). There was a significant correlation between severity and impact (R=0.59, p<0.001). A weaker correlation between age and impact (R=0.16, p=0.01) disappeared completely when age and severity were used simultaneously as explanatory variables in a multiple regression analysis.
Very few attempts have been made to validate anamnestic criteria of severity against pad weighing tests. Frazer et al asked incontinent women to indicate the severity of their incontinence on a 10 cm visual analogue scale and compared the results with a two hour pad weighing test.15 No significant correlation was found.

Hellström et al compared the results of the 48 hour test with subjective assessment by 85 year old men and women, and found that many under-estimated their degree of leakage.16 These people were asked to classify their incontinence as “slight”, “moderate”, or “severe”. Probably, their subjective, emotional experience was as important as the amount or frequency of leakage.

Our severity index agrees somewhat better with the results of the pad weighing test than the visual analogue scale adopted by Frazer et al. Probably, closed questions with a limited number of possible answers are less subject to emotional bias than vague statements about “severity”.

Although considerable overlap between the groups was found, the severity index may be an acceptable tool for quantifying the severity of incontinence in epidemiological surveys. It was to be expected that patients in the general population would be more evenly distributed by the index than the selected patients in the outpatient clinic. Almost half the cases in the general population survey were classified as slight, compared with only 5% of women from the outpatient clinic. The corresponding figures for severe incontinence were 26% and 68% respectively, an indication that hospital patients are indeed highly selected. This selection is logically reflected in different strategies for the management of urinary incontinence in general and gynaecological practice.17 18

Epidemiological Survey

The epidemiological survey confirms that the prevalence of urinary incontinence is very high in adult women, and that there is a prevalence peak in middle life. Furthermore, there is also an obvious shift towards increasing severity with advancing age.

Most women identified as incontinent in epidemiological surveys have only occasional leakage of small amounts of urine.1 5 8 Our definition of slight incontinence implies leakage of drops less than once a week, and many of these women would probably object to being labelled “incontinent” at all. It seems more fruitful to direct our attention to those who have more than minimal leakage, and for this purpose a valid severity index may be useful.

Other authors have used different definitions of regular, moderate, and severe incontinence; prevalence numbers varying between 8%-5 and 16.7%.1 2 5 8 Lagro-Janssen et al investigated women aged 50 to 65 years, and found that 5% were worried about their incontinence.8 Sommer et al found that 6% of women aged 20 to 79 were troubled by incontinence.7 Holst et al reported that 4% of all adult women had moderate/severe incontinence that interfered with their social or domestic life.4 Elving et al found that during one year 10% of women aged 30 to 59 experienced incontinence and perceived this as a social or hygiene problem.6

If only those with moderate and severe incontinence are considered, and including only those who are bothered by their leakage, our results indicate that approximately 20% of the incontinent women (that is, 6% of all adult women) are potential patients. Nevertheless, these prevalence estimates far exceed the capacity of the specialised health service and should be regarded as a challenge for the primary health care.

Conclusion

The severity index may be a useful tool for assessing the severity of female urinary incontinence in epidemiological surveys. Urinary incontinence is very prevalent in adult women, but most should not be regarded as potential patients for their health services.
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