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Abstract

Study objective—The aim was to investigate the possible association between parity, as indicated by the number of childbirths, and prevalence of urinary incontinence in an adult female population sample.

Design and setting—A sample of 3114 women aged 30–59 years was selected at random from the population of Aarhus, Denmark, and mailed a self-administered questionnaire on urinary incontinence and, among other things, parity.

Participants—A total of 2631 questionnaires was returned (85%) with a slight but significant decrease in response by age.

Main results—The 1987 urinary incontinence period prevalence was 17%. Seventy eight percent were parous, and 24% had had three or more childbirths. In women aged 30–44 years, the prevalence of urinary incontinence was found to be associated with parity and, in women aged 45 years and more, with three or more childbirths. In parous women 30–44 years of age, the prevalence of urinary incontinence increased with age at last childbirth and, in women aged 45 years and over, it increased with increasing parity but decreased with increasing age at first childbirth. In parous women, no association was found with time since last childbirth. Among clinical types of urinary incontinence, stress incontinence consistently showed the strongest associations with indicators of parity. In women aged 30–44 years, nearly two thirds of the 1987 prevalence of stress incontinence could be attributed to parity.

Conclusions—These findings support the hypothesis that pregnancy and childbirth are potent causes of female urinary incontinence, so that they exert considerable impact on the level of population urinary incontinence prevalence. In the individual woman, the effect seems to be cumulative and long lasting but fades with age.
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The experience of episodes of urinary incontinence, involuntary loss of urine, seems to be a condition prevalent not only in elderly women but also in the young and middle aged female populations of western industrialised countries. In publications on the prevalence of urinary incontinence (PUI), the type of study population, definitions of urinary incontinence, and other research methodology applied differ and, consequently, prevalence figures are not consistently comparable. However, a 10–15% PUI for age groups 20–60 years seems a reasonable overall minimum estimate. In accordance with this, we have previously found the 1987 period PUI to be 17%, in a random population sample of Danish women aged 30–59 years.

In spite of the fact that much is known about the pathophysiology and the clinical features of urinary incontinence, documentation on its aetiology is scarce. Several factors may determine adult female PUI, eg, age and health status. Moreover, in everyday medical practice pregnancy and childbirth are thought to be potent causes of urinary incontinence, but published studies investigating possible associations between pregnancy or childbirth and incontinence are rare and their findings seem mutually conflicting.

We have been able to identify only a few studies concerned with the prevalence of urinary incontinence, while none deals with incidence. Table I gives an overview of such published cross sectional studies on parity—indicating pregnancies and childbirths in the past—and PUI that present information sufficient for reanalysis for comparison of findings. The reanalyses had to be restricted to bivariate associations, as none of the publications present data stratified by possible confounding variables. Moreover, with the exception of the publication by Crist, they put forward no analytic results controlled for confounding variables such as age. Three of the four studies agree on the existence of a significantly positive association between parity and PUI. The numbers published by Hording leave room for a positive but insignificant relative risk, based on a very small number of nulliparous study subjects, in total 36.

In accordance with this general impression, Sommer found a highly significant association between the number of childbirths and PUI. Reanalyses of two of the table I data sets by use of bivariate logistic regression sustain this hypothesis, yielding significant multiplicative risks (odds ratio, OR) of 1.2 and 1.6 per additional childbirth. However significant, these estimates are imprecise as the model fits are poor.

Other studies, researching social clients (5272 subjects aged 15–64 years), patients under a gynaecological health control programme (512 subjects aged 20–70 years), and members of a national association for retired persons (451 subjects, mean age 70–74 years), have presented findings that further strengthen the hypothesis of an association between parity and PUI. In contrast to this, neither Diokno et al nor Hagstad and Janson found any such association when investi-
gating female population samples of 1154 subjects aged 60 years and 1413 subjects aged 40-66 years, respectively. However, with the exception of the studies by Diokno et al and Teasdale et al, none gave any indication that control cohort had been performed. Thomas et al present an illustrative figure on \( P_{\text{U1}} \) stratified by age and parity which favours the hypothesis of a positive association.

As regards more specific questions eg, concerning the possible existence of a certain threshold value of parity for \( P_{\text{U1}} \) in women with more than three childbirths, Bivariate reanalyses of table 1 data confirm this hypothesis only in part, since in Jolley’s data such an association cannot be found, whereas in Crist’s data women having given birth two or more times showed a substantially increased risk of having urinary incontinence after correction for the continuous number of deliveries (OR = 4.5, \( p < 0.001 \), model fit: \( p = 0.900 \)). However, the study groups of all of the three reports indicating the existence of such threshold values are highly selective.

As concerns more specific delivery components, \( P_{\text{U1}} \) has not been shown to correlate with type of delivery, nor with duration of labour. On the other hand, Jolley found an increased \( P_{\text{U1}} \) risk associated with perineal suturing following delivery.

Consequently, the comprehensive picture based on published epidemiological studies

remains one of mutually conflicting findings both concerning the overall question of the mere existence of a positive association between parity and \( P_{\text{U1}} \) and also regarding more specific hypotheses such as, for example, certain parity threshold values.

The present report deals with data from a study on, among other things, determinants of the prevalence of urinary incontinence in an unselected random population sample of adult Danish females. The object of the present analysis was to penetrate further into the hypothesis that parity determines the prevalence of different clinical types of urinary incontinence, after correction for what we think would by now be a relevant set of confounding variables.

### Methods

The material has been described previously. During January and February, 1988, the members of an age stratified cross sectional random sample of 3114 women, age 30-59 years, living in the municipality of Aarhus (total female population of the same age = 47 702), were mailed a self administered postal questionnaire. Non-respondents were addressed two additional times. Finally, 2631 (84.5%) responded, with a slight reduction by age, OR = 0.93 per five year group, \( p < 0.025 \) (multiple logistic regression estimate).

Among other things, the women were asked whether they had experienced involuntary loss of urine during the year 1987; whether it was generally provoked by physical stress or accompanied by a feeling of urge; whether they had ever given birth to a child; if so, how many times and when; what was their occupation as of 1987. A maximum of five childbirths were allowed for in the questionnaire, so that registered parity constitutes a minimum estimate.

The question regarding urinary incontinence during 1987 allows for period prevalence estimation, hereafter just termed “prevalence”. By definition, prevalence is influenced by causative as well as sustaining mechanisms. Urinary incontinence is divided in four clinical categories: (1) all types of urinary incontinence together (“all UI”); prevalence 1987 = “all \( P_{\text{U1}} \)”; (2) stress incontinence (prevalence 1987 = “stress \( P_{\text{U1}} \)”; (3) urge incontinence (prevalence 1987 = “urge \( P_{\text{U1}} \)”; and (4) mixed urge and stress incontinence (prevalence 1987 = “mixed \( P_{\text{U1}} \)”). The classifications concerning stress and urge incontinence are concerned with provocative factors for urinary incontinence and are thus not mutually exclusive, ie, the category “stress UI” includes cases with urge incontinence and vice versa.

The statistical analysis applies \( \chi^2 \) tests for the 2 x 2 table, Pearson correlation and logistic regression. In tables IV and VII, odds ratios are presented with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses. All analyses were performed by one of the authors (AF).
Results

URINARY INCONTINENCE AND CHILDBIRTH

Four hundred and fifty women (17.1%) had experienced some type of urinary incontinence during 1987. The 1987 period prevalence of stress incontinence was 14.8%, urge incontinence 8.6%, and mixed stress and urge incontinence 7.1%. Among prevalent cases, more than 80% were provoked by physical stress.

Seventy eight percent of the women were parous and 21.4%, nulliparous (table II). On average, each woman reported 1.7 childbirths, totalling 4552 births. The mean age at first childbirth was 24.4 years and at second, third, fourth, and fifth births, 27.2, 29.5, 31.4, and 33.7 years, respectively. As expected, the proportion of parous women increased with increasing present age (OR = 1.2 per 5 years, p < 0.0005). Accordingly, the number of childbirths was shown to be positively correlated to present age (Pearson’s r = 0.25, p < 0.001) and negatively to age at first childbirth (Pearson’s r = 0.39, p < 0.001), while there was no bivariate association between present age and age at first childbirth.

CHILDBIRTH AS AN AETIOLOGICAL CORRELATE

PUI was found to increase with present age in all four clinical types of urinary incontinence (eg, all clinical types: OR = 1.6 per additional 5 years of age, p < 0.0001) (table II). There were significant age correlated associations between being parous and PUI. Significant bivariate associations were found in age groups below 45 years. Bivariately, all PUI, stress PUII, and mixed stress and urge PUI also depended on the number of childbirths (table III).

Furthermore, all types of PUI depended on menopausal state (all PUI: OR = 1.3, p < 0.01; stress PUI: OR = 1.3, p < 0.01; urge PUI: OR = 1.4, p < 0.05; and mixed urge and stress PUI: OR = 1.4, p < 0.05); and exposure to abdominal, gynaecological-obstetric or urological surgery (all PUI: OR = 2.0, p < 0.0000; stress PUI: OR = 2.1, p < 0.0000; urge PUI: OR = 1.6, p < 0.005; mixed urge and stress PUI: OR = 1.6, p < 0.005). White collar workers showed a reduced risk of urge incontinence and mixed stress and urge incontinence compared to other occupations (OR = 0.7, p < 0.05, and 0.7, p < 0.025, respectively).

With respect to all women, multiple logistic regressions including correction for age, occupation, menopause, and exposure to abdominal, gynaecological-obstetric or urological surgery, showed that all PUI and stress PUI were determined by the number of childbirths and, in regressions not including this, by being parous and having given birth three times or more (table IV). Neither urge nor mixed stress and urge PUI were dependent significantly on parity. Analysed separately, women aged 30–44 years showed increased all PUI and stress PUII conditional on being parous and conditional on the number of childbirths. Only women aged 45 years and over showed raised prevalences conditional on three or more childbirths.

In multiple logistic regressions, after correction for parity and surgery, all PUI and stress PUI both decreased with increasing age (both odds ratios = 0.96 per year, p < 0.025).

Finally, aetiological fractions selected on the basis of the existence of bivariately significant odds ratios, thus excluding age groups above 44 years and urge incontinence, are shown in table V. Because of decreasing odds ratios with increasing age, attributable risks also decreased with age. In women aged 30–34 years, three quarters of their stress PUII could be attributed to being parous, while less than half of the PUII in 40–44 year old women could be explained by parity. In women aged 45 years and over, 12% of all PUII was attributed to three or more childbirths (p < 0.005), the effect being most obvious in women aged 45–49 years.

Table III. Number and proportion of parous women, urinary incontinence (UI) prevalence 1987 (PUI, ‰) and significant UI prevalence odds ratios (OR) with corresponding p values, by clinical type of UI and parity in 2631 women, Aarhus, Denmark, 1988.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>PUII (‰)</th>
<th>PUIII (‰)</th>
<th>PUIIV (‰)</th>
<th>PUI (‰)</th>
<th>Mixed stress and urge UI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a p < 0.05, based on χ² heterogeneity tests (parous, yes/no) or logistic regression (number of childbirths).
* p < 0.025; † p < 0.0005; † † p < 0.0000.

Table IV. Prevalence odds ratios of adult female urinary incontinence (UI) by clinical type of UI and parity: significant* finding from multiple logistic regressions in 2631 women, Aarhus, Denmark, 1988.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group analysed</th>
<th>Clinical type of UI</th>
<th>All UI</th>
<th>Stress UI</th>
<th>Mixed UI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All women, 30–59 years</td>
<td>Model I</td>
<td>Number of childbirths</td>
<td>1.2 (1.1–1.3)#</td>
<td>1.2 (1.1–1.3)#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parous (yes/no)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0–1.8)*</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2–2.3)#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three or more childbirths</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1–1.8)†</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1–1.8)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup 30–44 years</td>
<td>Model I</td>
<td>Number of childbirths</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–1.5)*</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2–1.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parous (yes/no)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.4–3.2)*</td>
<td>3.0 (1.8–5.1)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup 45–59 years</td>
<td>Model I</td>
<td>Three or more childbirths</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1–1.9)*</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2–2.0)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a With 95% confidence limits in brackets.
*b Determinants: age (1987), exposure to abdominal, gynaecologic-obstetric or urological surgery, menopause, occupation, parity (parous, yes/no; number of childbirths; at least given birth: x times, yes/no).
* Including the variable 'Number of childbirths'.
† Excluding the variable 'Number of childbirths'.
§ p < 0.005; † p < 0.025; † † p < 0.0005; † † † p < 0.0000; † † † † p < 0.0000.
PUI associations with time and age specifications of childbirth

Among parous women, bivariate associations between age and time of childbirth and PUI were shown to be modest (table VI). In bivariate analyses, stress and mixed stress and urge PUI were shown to depend on the woman having given birth to a child during 1950–59, and urge PUI on childbirth during 1970–79. Stress and mixed stress and urge PUI decreased over the decades of first childbirths. While no type of PUI was shown to be associated with age at first childbirth, all PUI increased with age at last childbirth. Urge and mixed stress and urge PUI increased with the time since last birth.

In parous women taken as a whole group, multiple logistic regressions did not reveal any association between PUI and time or age specifications of parity, including time since last birth. However, in separate analyses of the two major age groups, women aged 30–44 years showed increased risks of all types of PUI with increasing age at last childbirth (table VII). In women aged 45 years and more, all PUI increased with parity, and stress PUI decreased with increasing age at first childbirth.

Discussion

Our findings suggest a strong relationship between parity and, as indicated by PUI, the development and persistence of urinary incontinence, and particularly stress incontinence, in parous women. We found PUI to be high in parous women aged 30–44 years of age, and it increased with increasing parity. Associated with a parity of three or more, PUI was raised especially in women aged 45 years and over. Moreover, in all age groups PUI was found to be associated with age at childbirth. No association was found with time since last childbirth, but the association between prevalent urinary incontinence and childbirth faded with increasing age. In the age group 30–44 years, more than half the prevalent stress incontinence could be attributed to parity. The substantial contribution of childbirth to urinary incontinence and/or its persistence indicated by these findings was underscored by the diminishing role of age in the presence of indicators of parity.

The present investigation may be compared to other studies performed with similar sampling techniques in cross sectional female populations of corresponding age.5 7 11 Such studies have been found only for Scandinavian populations, showing comparably low levels of non-responsdency. Moreover, our sample size exceeds the studies just mentioned by a factor two to five, which of course allows for more associations to emerge significantly.

As indicated by Mohide,14 the type of definition of urinary incontinence applied, as well as techniques for sampling and data collection, influence the empirical estimation of PUI. Like most other studies on PUI,3 4 6–10 the present one is based on self administered postal questionnaires. Heding et al6 and Hagstad and Janson11 collected their data by use of medical interview. Because of the possible introduction of interviewer influence on answers, this may be a source of differences in findings. Both publications present relatively low urinary incontinence prevalences while none of them report significant associations between incontinence and parity.

The present data set contains information on the study participants subjectively perceiving urinary incontinence as a hygienic and/or a social problem, in agreement with part of the definition of the International Continence Society (ICS).15 However relevant the ICS definition may be for

| Table VI | Number and proportion of women giving birth to a child, urinary incontinence (UI) prevalence 1987 (PUI (%)) and significant UI prevalence odds ratios (OR) with corresponding p values, by clinical type of UI and time (decade) of childbirth, age at childbirth, and duration (years) since last childbirth in 2059 parous women, Aarhus, Denmark, 1988. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Decade, age duration | Had a childbirth | Clinical type of UI | All UI | Stress UI | Urge UI | Mixed stress and urge UI |
| | | | PUI (%)/OR | PUI (%)/OR | PUI (%)/OR | PUI (%)/OR |
| | Decades of childbirths** | | | | | |
| 1940–49 | 41 | 2 | 2.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 14.6 | 16.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 1950–59 | 556 | 27.0 | 20.9 | 19.4 | 1.3* | 10.6 | 9.7 | 8.4 |
| 1960–69 | 1052 | 51.1 | 19.5 | 17.6 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 0.7† | 6.5 |
| 1970–79 | 918 | 44.6 | 17.4 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 7.4 | 0.7† | 6.5 |
| 1980–87 | 1947 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 15.8 | 8.6 | 6.7 |
| Decade of first childbirth | | | | | | |
| 1940–49 | 41 | 2 | 2.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 1950–59 | 525 | 25.5 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 1.0 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| 1960–69 | 735 | 35.7 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 0.9 | 7.8 |
| 1970–79 | 563 | 27.3 | 16.0 | 13.1 | 7.1 | 5.7 |
| 1980–87 | 1947 | 19.7 | 20.5 | 17.4 | 0.9* | 10.3 | 7.7 | 0.8* |
| Age at first childbirth (years) | | | | | | |
| 19–24 | 203 | 9.9 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 25–29 | 1064 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 8.4 | 7.3 |
| 30–39 | 235 | 11.4 | 21.3 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 8.1 |
| 40–49 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Age at last childbirth (years) | | | | | | |
| 19–24 | 33 | 1.6 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 |
| 25–29 | 128 | 1.9 | 21.2 | 18.4 | 8.5 | 7.9 |
| 30–39 | 59 | 2.1 | 21.6 | 15.5 | 9.5 | 7.9 |
| 40–49 | 17 | 0.8 | 23.5 | 13.8† | 11.8 | 11.8 | 0.0 |
| Duration (years) since last childbirth | | | | | | |
| 0–4 | 511 | 248 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 8.4 | 6.7 |
| 5–9 | 745 | 36.2 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 7.1 | 6.0 |
| 10–14 | 641 | 31.1 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 8.1 | 7.9 |
| 15–19 | 162 | 37.9 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 10.8 | 9.5 |

* Each decade denotes a dichotomous variable.
** By χ² heterogeneity test.
† Per 10 year group; based on logistic regression.
* p < 0.05; † p < 0.025; ‡ p < 0.01.
selection of patients for treatment in everyday medical practice, it is not necessarily fit for scientific research purposes. In the present analyses, based on considerations concerning the vagueness of such concepts as "social" and "hygienic", we chose to include all urinary incontinence reported by the women themselves, irrespective of whether it was considered a social or hygienic problem. In contrast to this, Herding et al.\(^a\) applied the hygienic and social criteria as perceived by the interviewer and maybe also by the interviewed. Their report provides no further details in this respect, but the impact may have been that of a differentiated information bias since parity, besides being a biomedical indicator, is also associated with social background and, consequently, with socially determined values and thresholds.

Some of the epidemiological studies cited differentiate clinical types of urinary incontinence, concentrating preferentially on stress incontinence,\(^5\) \(^8\) \(^10\) \(^11\) while others deal just with incontinence.\(^5\) \(^8\) \(^10\) \(^11\) In both groups of studies, strong associations with parity have emerged.\(^4\) \(^6\) \(^8\) \(^10\) We found significant associations between parity and all urinary incontinence, but stress incontinence definitely revealed itself as the clinical type of incontinence of interest in this context.

This agrees with the most obvious pathophysiological explanation of urinary incontinence associated with parity, namely that it may be caused by perineal muscular or neuromuscular dysfunction caused by childbirth. In a clinical neurophysiological study, Snooks et al.\(^16\) found that most urinary incontinence following vaginal delivery was caused by injury not to the pelvic floor muscles themselves but to their innervation, most evident in multiparous women and in those who had experienced a prolonged second stage of labour or a forceps delivery. At five year follow up, occult disturbances of the pudendal innervation of the external anal sphincter persisted, eventually more marked with time since delivery.\(^17\) Comparable results were obtained by Swash,\(^18\) who furthermore concluded that the injury to the innervation of the pelvic floor is worsened by succeeding deliveries and is then exacerbated by repeated straining of stool with traction on the pelvic floor, leading to further stretch induced injury to the pudendal nerve.

This compiles nearly with our finding of a definite trend in \(P_{UI}\) with increasing parity, suggesting a cumulative effect of additional childbirths. However, at the same time damage to the pelvic floor caused by delivery may be subject to reparative processes, as illustrated by the decrease in relative risks with increasing age. Moreover, in women aged 45 years and over, only a parity of three or more was associated with \(P_{UI}\), parallel to Teasdale's observation on elderly women.\(^10\) Accordingly, we hypothesised that \(P_{UI}\) decreases with increasing time since last delivery, but this could not be demonstrated in our data (table VII). In parous women aged 45 years and over, we found \(P_{UI}\) to be associated with age at first childbirth, suggesting that some ages are more vulnerable than others. This does not seem to have been documented previously.

In spite of the fact that the association between parity and urinary incontinence prevalence diminishes with age, it seems reasonable to suggest that neuromuscular damage to the pelvic floor caused by childbirth may persist, as shown for instance by the role of three or more childbirths in women aged 40 years and over (40-44 years: \(P_{UI} = 15.6\%\), OR = 1.49, \(p < 0.20\); 45-49 years: \(P_{UI} = 24.0\%\), OR = 1.69, \(p < 0.05\); 50-54 years: \(P_{UI} = 20.0\%\), OR = 1.51, \(p < 0.10\); 55-59 years: \(P_{UI} = 17.9\%\), OR = 1.46, \(p < 0.15\). As the respondents reported childbirths between 40 and 44 years of age to be extremely rare, in total 18 out of 4452 births and none at all after the age of 43, the \(P_{UI}\) increase after 39 years obviously has other than a causal mechanism other than childbirth.\(^10\) We actually found \(P_{UI}\) to decrease with age when simultaneously corrected for parity and surgical experience.

As indicated by the finding by Jolley's on the role of perineal suturing,\(^6\) obstetric procedures may play a role in the aetiology of urinary incontinence. Among parous women we only found brief associations with decades of childbirth, indicating perhaps that major changes in the procedures and technology applied in the obstetric services may have played a part.

In order to penetrate causative mechanisms further, there remains a definite need for empirical standardisation and validation of research methodology, including the operational definition of urinary incontinence, followed by epidemiological follow up studies that enrol women, eg, during pregnancy or just after delivery and which include data on urinary incontinence reported by the women themselves as well as clinical and neurophysiological observations during and after pregnancy and childbirth.

### Conclusion

Our findings support the hypothesis of pregnancy and childbirth being potent causes of urinary incontinence in adult females, as we found strong associations between indicators of parity and, as indicated by \(P_{UI}\), the development and persis-
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**Table VII** Prevalence odds ratios of adult female urinary incontinence (UI) by clinical type of UI and parity: significant findings from multiple logistic regressions\(^b\) in 2059 parous women, Aarhus, Denmark, 1988.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group analysed, determinants</th>
<th>Clinical type of UI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All UI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-44 years:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) at first childbirth</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0-1.4)(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-59 years:</td>
<td>1.1 (1.0-1.4)(^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) With 95% confidence limits in brackets.

\(^b\) Determinants: age (1987), exposure to abdominal, gynaecological-obstetric, or urological surgery, menopause, occupation, decade of childbirth, age at first and last childbirth, duration (years) since last childbirth.

\(^*\) Per year.

\(^\dagger\) Age at first and age at last childbirth are alternative solutions with no preference shown by difference in model fit.

\(^\dagger\) p < 0.05; \(\ddagger\) p < 0.025; \(\ddagger\) p < 0.005.

---

\(^a\) 1990, confidence limits in brackets.

\(^b\) Determinants: age (1987), exposure to abdominal, gynaecological-obstetric, or urological surgery, menopause, occupation, decade of childbirth, age at first and last childbirth, duration (years) since last childbirth.

\(^*\) Per year.

\(^\dagger\) Age at first and age at last childbirth are alternative solutions with no preference shown by difference in model fit.

\(^\dagger\) p < 0.05; \(\ddagger\) p < 0.025; \(\ddagger\) p < 0.005.
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