Social class and risk factors for coronary heart disease in the Federal Republic of Germany. Results of the baseline survey of the German Cardiovascular Prevention Study (GCP)

U HELMERT, 1 B HERMAN, 1 K-H JOECKEL, 1 E GREISER, 1 AND J MADANS 2
From 1the Department of Epidemiology, Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine, Bremen FRG; and 2the Department of Health and Human Services, National Center for Health Statistics, Hyattsville, MD, 20782, USA

ABSTRACT The relationship between social class and seven important risk factors for coronary heart disease has been evaluated utilising data from the German Cardiovascular Prevention Study baseline survey. Of German residents aged 25 to 69 years, 16 430 were randomly selected from both the six intervention regions and the Federal Republic of Germany to undergo the screening procedures between 1984 and 1986. Among males the prevalence of cigarette smoking and lack of physical activity was associated with social class. For females, overweight and physical activity demonstrated a strong social gradient. No relationship existed between social class and hypercholesterolaemia. The prevalence of Type A behaviour was significantly higher for the upper social classes. The number of CHD risk factors per study subject increased with decreasing social class. Predicted cardiovascular mortality was clearly higher for the lower social class among males in general and for females younger than 60 years. These findings point to the need for risk factor intervention strategies focusing more on the lower social classes in order to achieve more adequate prevention of coronary heart disease.

Primary prevention is the most important means of controlling cardiovascular disease, at present the leading cause of death in industrialised nations. 1 By means of a large number of epidemiological studies, important specific risk factors for coronary heart disease (CHD) have been identified: hypercholesterolaemia (especially the fraction of cholesterol in low density lipoprotein [LDL] as opposed to high density lipoprotein [HDL] which is anti-atherogenic in its action), hypertension, cigarette smoking, obesity, lack of physical activity and coronary prone behaviour (Type A). 2 These factors apparently do not account for all the coronary heart disease occurrence.

In recent years more and more evidence has accumulated regarding the important role of social class in determining health related behaviour, morbidity and mortality. 3-8 Within the framework of a large scale intervention programme for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in the Federal Republic of Germany (begun in 1984), the German Cardiovascular Prevention Study (GCP), it was decided to consider the influence of socio-economic status on CHD risk factor prevalence, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, and possible changes regarding the latter three variables during the study period.

It is intended that we use this information to help avoid possible incremental effects of the application of large scale intervention methods on social class differences in risk factor prevalence and CHD mortality. In Great Britain it has been shown that, although CHD mortality is declining in recent years, a considerable increase in social inequalities regarding CHD risk factors and CHD mortality has occurred. 9 10

Methods

The German Cardiovascular Prevention Study is a multicentre community based intervention study concerning the primary prevention of coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke. Its main aim is to reduce the age specific mortality of these diseases (ICD-9: 410-414, 430-438) among persons 25-69 years of age in communities exposed to 8 years of intervention by
at least eight per cent beyond that which one might expect based upon the mortality experience of the remaining non-intervention population (of comparable ages) of the Federal Republic of Germany.\textsuperscript{11} The intervention population encompasses six study regions comprising a total of 1 228 400 inhabitants. Three are metropolitan areas (Bremen North West, Stuttgart West/Vaihingen, Berlin-Spandau), one is a major city (Karlsruhe), two are smaller towns (Mosbach, Bruchsal) and one covers a rural area (Traunstein).

For the evaluation of the study, baseline health surveys have been conducted in these regions from 1984–1986. Additional surveys will be carried out in the middle (1988) and at the end (1992) of the study period. Random samples of 2700 German residents, 25–69 years of age, have been drawn in each of the six intervention regions. In addition, 8000 reference subjects have been randomly chosen from 200 sample points within the Federal Republic for the National Health Examination Survey. Identical survey procedures to those for intervention regions have been utilised. Participants in the study comprise 11 594 persons in the intervention regions and 4836 persons in the reference area. Thus a response rate of 74.8\% for the former and 66.7\% for the latter was achieved, when regarding net samples, arrived at after deducting persons who had moved prior to the start of the survey, could not be located due to an incorrect address, or were deceased.

The examination portion of the survey comprised the measurement of height, weight, blood pressure (taken twice using the random zero sphygmanomanometer) and pulse rate. The determinations of total serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and thiocyanate were also carried out.

Serum total cholesterol was determined by the CHOD-PAP method, and HDL-cholesterol by Boehringer Mannheim assay kit (Mg\textsuperscript{2+}/phosphotungstic acid).

An extensive, mostly self administered, questionnaire covering, among other items, socio-demographic factors, smoking status, physical activity and Type A behaviour (Bortner scale\textsuperscript{13}), was administered to all participants.

Social class was based on an additive index of three variables: household net income, educational level of study subject, and occupational status of person in the household with the highest wage.\textsuperscript{12} For the majority of married women, the occupational level of the husband was taken into consideration. Five social class categories (I = upper class, II = upper middle class, III = middle class, IV = lower middle class, V = lower class) were used, as is the case in most other studies. Each contained approximately 20\% of the participants.

The following definitions for CHD risk factors were used.

\textit{Hypertension} was defined as systolic blood pressure $\geq 160\text{ mm Hg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 95\text{ mm Hg}$ or under antihypertensive medication with normal values. The second blood pressure measurement was used for this purpose.

\textit{Hypercholesterolaemia} was a total serum cholesterol of 6-50 mmol/litre or more.

\textit{HDL-cholesterol} values of $<0.90\text{ mmol/litre}$ or $<1.16\text{ mmol/litre}$ were regarded as risk factors for males and females respectively.

\textit{Overweight} was defined as body mass index [weight in kg/(height in m)$^2$] $\geq 30$.

\textit{Cigarette smoking} was defined as smoking regularly at least one cigarette per day.

\textit{Physical inactivity}. All participants who did not exercise at all or were active for $<1$ hour per week were considered physically inactive. Persons with scores $\geq 57$ on the Bortner-scale (14 items with value ranges from 1 to 6) were viewed as demonstrating Type A behaviour.

All statistical analyses have been done separately for each sex. In order to control for potential confounding factors such as age and regional influence, multiple logistic regression was used.\textsuperscript{14, 15} The prevalence odds ratio has been used as measure of the relationship between social class and the various CHD risk factors studied. Multiple logistic regression analyses were the basis for such calculations, with adjustments being applied for age and intervention region. The five social classes were included as dummy variables in the model. The reference categories for odds ratio determinations were the upper class for social class estimates and the National Health Survey (sample of the total Federal Republic of Germany) for regional ones. The data analysis was carried out with the SAS procedure "Proc-Logist".\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{Results}

The table shows the odds ratios for the seven risk factors investigated according to social status and after adjusting for variation in age and study region. The three risk factors which were most related to behaviour, namely cigarette smoking, overweight and physical inactivity, showed a clear social gradient. For both sexes, lack of physical activity (with an odds ratio of $5.00$, $p < 0.001$, for lower class males and $4.48$, $p < 0.001$, for lower class females) appeared to represent the risk factor with the strongest relationship to social status. Particularly for males, the lower the social class the more prevalent was cigarette smoking. The inverse association between social status and obesity was more clear-cut for females.

For hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia and low HDL-cholesterol, differences by social class were
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Table Odds ratios and crude prevalence for CHD risk factors by social class, GCP-Health Survey 1984–1986

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Crude Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratios†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper class</td>
<td>Upper middle class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7818</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>1.00***  (33-28) 537* (41.0) 682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8384</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>1.00 (24-4) 309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7799</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1.00 (24-7) 399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8372</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.00 (12-5) 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7637</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>1.00 (32-0) 507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8089</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>1.00 (25-9) 317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6999</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.00 (10-4) 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7487</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.00 (7-4) 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7804</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1.00 (9-7) 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8382</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.00 (6-6) 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of physical activity</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7809</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>1.00 (18-5) 299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8366</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>1.00 (21-2) 268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A behaviour</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7423</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>1.00 (26-7) 423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7683</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1.00 (18-0) 218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Controlled for age and region
‡ Reference category
§ Crude prevalence in %
¶ Number of subjects having risk factor
* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

small or non-existent. Regardless of sex, no significant variation in odds ratio for hypercholesterolaemia by stratum was noted. Significant differences in odds ratio occurred for hypertension and low HDL-cholesterol only among females; however, when these measures were adjusted for relative body weight (body mass index), hypertension was no longer significantly related to social class and the latter had lost a good deal of its relevance regarding low HDL-cholesterol (odds ratio, middle class: 1.58, p < 0.05; lower class: 1.58, p < 0.01).

Coronary prone behaviour (Type A) was clearly more prevalent with increasing socio-economic status. This was true for both sexes, although to a greater degree for men.

Because of the cumulative effect of multiple risk factors on CHD morbidity and mortality, the number of risk factors per person was ascertained. One can see from fig 1 that a distinct social class gradient existed,

Fig 1 Odds ratios controlled for age and region for high and low risk factor load by social class
even when adjusting for age and study region, both for the absence of any risk determinant and for the presence of three or more such factors. The lower the social class, the higher the odds ratio concerning prevalence of 3–7 factors and the lower that for a no risk factor profile.

Although the number of CHD risk factors present gives an idea about the probability of a person developing cardiovascular disease, more accurate estimations are arrived at using multiple logistic functions (MLF coefficients). The latter are derived from cohort studies having as end points CVD morbidity and mortality. Because of the lack of any adequate MLF coefficients for the FRG, we have used logistic regression coefficients developed from the United States NHANES I Epidemiologic Followup Survey (NHEFS).\textsuperscript{17} In comparison with other studies presenting logistic regression coefficients, those derived from NHEFS seem to be more appropriate for two reasons. First the study is more recent and with a longer follow up (on the average 9.5 years); and second, the study provides coefficients for both sexes and for specific age groups of interest to the GCP.

Data from the 1982–84 NHEFS were used to estimate sex specific multiple logistic models relating cardiovascular risk factors to mortality from ischaemic heart disease (IHD) and stroke for white persons 40–69 years of age at baseline. Persons 25–39 years of age at baseline were omitted from the analysis due to the small number of deaths in these groups. The NHEFS study population consisted of 2746 white males and 3369 white females who experienced (males) 235 and (females) 119 deaths from IHD and stroke. The dependent variable was the number of deaths where the underlying cause as reported on the death certificate is IHD (ICD–9 410–414) or stroke (ICD–9 430–438) divided by the number of person months of observation.

Because of very low CVD mortality among persons under 40 years of age, the NHANES I supplied only coefficients for persons 40 + years old. For the present analysis CVD mortality (ICD–9: 410–414, 430–438) was considered the dependent variable, and age, systolic blood pressure, total serum cholesterol, body mass index and cigarette smoking (dummy variable) as independent variables. The predicted annual age specific CVD mortality rates per 10 000 population for the five social strata are shown in fig 2 for males and females.

In general, the rates predicted by the statistical models are much higher than the rates in the Federal Republic of Germany. This is a known phenomenon since the results of the Seven Country Study have been published.\textsuperscript{18} That study showed that while absolute risk could not be predicted adequately when applying the US based MLF coefficients to a population in western Europe, one was able to have rather accurate estimations of the relative effect of specific risk factors. The latter are more applicable to the research at hand and thus any bias produced by using MLF coefficients derived from the US study is assumed to be minimal.

The large differences between males and females regarding CVD mortality are also observed for actual CVD mortality rates in the Federal Republic of Germany. In five of the six age groups under consideration, a clear increase in predicted CVD mortality from the highest to the lowest social class was demonstrated. For men, the predicted CVD mortality in the lowest (relative to highest) social class is 24% higher among those 40–49 years of age, 25% higher in the age group 50–59 years and 15% higher in the oldest age group. Women showed differences by social status in predicted CVD mortality only for those persons younger than 60 years (28% higher, relative to highest class, for social class V, 40–49 years; 32% higher for social class V, 50–59 years).

Discussion

Most population based health surveys present age and sex specific distributions of CHD risk factor prevalence. Regional differences are also often reported. What is most often lacking are studies examining risk factor prevalence in relation to social class even though for some factors there appears to be more variation according to such status than by age and sex.

Cigarette smoking, overweight and lack of physical activity were all found to be related to social class. Similar results have been noted recently for the
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MONICA-Augsburg Project in the Federal Republic of Germany, the British Regional Heart Study and the community based Swiss intervention study.

No significant relation to social class existed regarding hypercholesterolaemia. Hypertension prevalence was found to be higher in the lower social classes only in females. The above mentioned German and Swiss studies also failed to show any significant correlation between social class and hypercholesterolaemia. The British study, however, pointed to higher cholesterol values for the upper relative to other social classes. Hypertension was not related to social class in Augsburg and in Switzerland. In Great Britain, the prevalence of this condition increased with decreasing social class.

The odds ratios for the risk factor "low HDL-cholesterol" were significantly higher for women of the lower classes than those of the upper class. Males, however, had a nearly identical odds ratio distribution over the social strata. Type A behaviour was much more prevalent in the higher social classes. Similar results as the latter have been reported in a variety of other studies.

Only crude rates for both the pooled surveys in the intervention regions and the reference area are presented. Thus the rates reported do not reflect the prevalence in the FRG as a whole, the latter requiring appropriate weighting for sampling fractions and non-response rates. Since we controlled for age and region, however, it is assumed that our findings carry over to the general population of the Federal Republic of Germany. This is supported by the fact that the log likelihood did not increase significantly when interaction terms between social class and region or age and region were included in the model.

The predicted CVD mortality was, for males in general and for females of the ages 40–59 years, clearly higher in the lower classes. The difference between the upper class and lowest class was far greater than 8%, the amount of reduction in CVD mortality the GCP study is trying to achieve. Therefore, social class must be taken in consideration as an important contributing factor for the evaluation of the study.

One may suppose that the actual differences in CVD mortality between the social classes are even greater than those predicted based only on the risk factor prevalence. In a recent study in Great Britain, it was shown, among males, that a difference in such mortality between the manual and non-manual working classes (24% more CHD events in the former) remained after adjusting for smoking, blood pressure, cholesterol and age.
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