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SUMMARY The relation between age at registration, socioeconomic status, and survival from cervical cancer for women resident in Sheffield was examined using the 556 such cases registered with the Trent Cancer Registry from 1971 to 1984. The address and electoral ward at registration were used to categorise the socioeconomic status of 99% of the women. Five year survival for all cases was 49%, increasing age having a predictable deleterious effect. Socioeconomic status seemed to have little effect on survival, especially when the covarying effect of age had been taken into account. It is hypothesised that the survival inequalities for cervical cancer demonstrated elsewhere have largely been prevented in Sheffield by good access to effective treatment from the National Health Service.

A recent study of cervical cancer in Sheffield residents showed that the case fatality rate, defined as the ratio of the number of deaths to the number of cases registered in a given time period, was significantly higher in electoral wards with the highest proportion of unskilled and semiskilled workers. Shorter survival of women with low socioeconomic status suffering from cervical cancer has been described in urban blacks in Soweto, South Africa and, less convincingly, in the deprived populations of South Australia. In this country, five year age-standardised survival rates for cervical cancer showed a 25% difference between the region with the highest (Oxford–58%) compared to that with the lowest (Yorkshire–47%), for cases registered from 1971 to 1973. The use of place of residence as a marker of socioeconomic status in Sheffield has been of value in a recent study in which predictable and marked differentials in disease experience and health care utilisation were demonstrated. The use of area of residence to classify socioeconomic status instead of occupation has been commended in the most recent occupational mortality decennial supplement. However, the relation between socioeconomic status and cervical cancer survival, investigated on a small area basis, has not been reported before in this country. Such small area analysis can highlight large differences not apparent at a regional level, and its use is demonstrated here.

Methods

The survival details, age, occupation, and address of the 564 Sheffield residents registered with the Trent Cancer Registry with cervical cancer (ICD code: 180) from 1971 to 1984 were extracted. Eight cases from the earlier years were duplicates, leaving 556 to be studied. The electoral ward of residence was obtained from the address at registration using the electoral register. Only 30% of cases had sufficient information recorded for the occupational social class to be ascertained. An alternative approach using area of residence to derive another measure of social class allowed 99% of cases to be classified, thus permitting 548 cases to be studied. The 29 Sheffield electoral wards were ranked in ascending order according to the percentage of semiskilled and unskilled workers in the 1981 census small area statistics (range 7–41%). They were then divided into quintiles of 6, 6, 5, 6, and 6 wards to collect together areas of approximately equivalent socioeconomic status and similar numbers of women. The survival time was defined as the time from the anniversary date (the earliest of the first outpatient appointment date, the first treatment date or the first hospital admission date) to either the date of death or 30 June 1986, the last day on which current survival information was known. Seventeen cases were registered after death and thus had no anniversary date. The survival procedure in the SAS computerised
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statistical package was used to explore the relation between age at registration, socioeconomic status, and survival.

Results

The numbers of cases and deaths, together with an estimate from the 1981 census of the number of women at risk, are shown in table 1 for each of the five socioeconomic categories. The 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles of age at registration for all the cases are 47, 58, and 68 years and are also shown in table 1. There is some variation in the frequency distributions of age at registration between socioeconomic categories, but this is not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 18.0$, degrees of freedom = 12, $p = 0.12$).

Five year survival for all cases was 49%. Age had a predictable effect on survival.7 Younger women (under 48 years) had markedly better survival, and older women (over 68 years) markedly worse survival, than women in the middle of the age range (fig 1: log rank test; $\chi^2 = 83$, degrees of freedom = 3, $p < 0.0001$).

Table 1 Age at registration frequency distribution of the socioeconomic categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic category</th>
<th>No (% of women ≥15 in 1981)</th>
<th>No (% of cases 1971-84)</th>
<th>No. of cases (deaths) by age range</th>
<th>≤47 yr</th>
<th>48-58 yr</th>
<th>59-68 yr</th>
<th>≥69 yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46127 (21)</td>
<td>78 (14)</td>
<td>19 (4)</td>
<td>16 (8)</td>
<td>21 (13)</td>
<td>22 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47315 (21)</td>
<td>82 (15)</td>
<td>24 (4)</td>
<td>13 (6)</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>27 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41481 (19)</td>
<td>82 (15)</td>
<td>24 (5)</td>
<td>15 (7)</td>
<td>21 (11)</td>
<td>22 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42660 (19)</td>
<td>115 (21)</td>
<td>28 (4)</td>
<td>40 (18)</td>
<td>27 (15)</td>
<td>20 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44776 (20)</td>
<td>191 (35)</td>
<td>41 (12)</td>
<td>54 (35)</td>
<td>51 (31)</td>
<td>45 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>222359 (100)</td>
<td>548 (100)</td>
<td>136 (29)</td>
<td>138 (74)</td>
<td>138 (79)</td>
<td>136 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2 = 18.0$ for a difference in age at registration between the categories

Degrees of freedom = 12

p = 0.12

Women in category 5 reside in areas with the highest proportions of semiskilled and unskilled workers.

Fig 1 Survival curves for the four age groupings of 47 years and under, 48–58 years, 59–68 years, and 69 years and over (log rank test; $\chi^2 = 83$, degrees of freedom = 3, $p < 0.0001$).
The survival curves for the five socioeconomic categories are shown in figure 2. The log rank test of the hypothesis that women in these categories experience different survival rates just failed to reach statistical significance at the 5% level (table 2; \(\chi^2 = 9.0\), degrees of freedom = 4, \(p = 0.06\)).

However, socioeconomic categories containing women with the youngest age distribution tended to have the best survival. Thus, when the estimated hazard functions for the four age groupings of 48 years or under, 49–58 years, 59–68 years, and 69 years or over were used to recalculate the expected numbers of deaths in each socioeconomic category according to the age distribution in that category, the differences between the observed and expected numbers of deaths were reduced (table 2) and these were not significant (log rank test; \(\chi^2 = 7.4\), degrees of freedom = 4, \(p = 0.13\)).

**Discussion**

Our findings do not support the hypothesis that there is a marked effect of socioeconomic factors on survival from cervical cancer in Sheffield. Any differences in survival between the groups which could be attributable to the net effect of differing stage at presentation, aggressiveness of disease, and/or response to treatment were not great enough to be detected once the analysis had allowed for the effect of age. Good access to and effective treatment from the National Health Service in Sheffield may have largely prevented the survival inequalities for cervical cancer.
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Table 2  Observed and expected deaths for the socioeconomic categories used in the log rank test showing the effect of allowing for differences in age distributions of the categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic categories</th>
<th>Observed deaths</th>
<th>Expected deaths</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Age adjusted expected deaths</th>
<th>Age adjusted difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42-3</td>
<td>-0-3</td>
<td>43-6</td>
<td>-1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42-1</td>
<td>-4-1</td>
<td>43-0</td>
<td>-5-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41-8</td>
<td>-1-8</td>
<td>40-8</td>
<td>-0-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>64-1</td>
<td>-15-1</td>
<td>61-4</td>
<td>-12-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>91-7</td>
<td>+21-3</td>
<td>93-2</td>
<td>+19-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>282-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>282-0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2 = 9-0$

degrees of freedom = 4

p = 0-06

$\chi^2 = 7-4$

degrees of freedom = 4

p = 0-13

demonstrated elsewhere. This conclusion concurs with the independent work on geographical variation in mortality from conditions amenable to treatment. This study ranked the Sheffield Health Authority area in the top sixth of a national distribution of districts for achieving good results against cervical cancer, after standardising for age and adverse social factors.
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