Introduction of measles into a highly immunised West African community: the role of health care institutions
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SUMMARY In an urban area of Guinea-Bissau, where more than 80% of the children have been vaccinated, measles continues to be a major cause of child mortality. Compared with the period before the introduction of vaccination, more cases occur outside the community, while more cases within the district are now guests and newcomers. Half of the new introductions of measles into the community and 30% of the measles deaths can be traced back to the paediatric ward. Contact with health care institutions plays an important role in the transmission of measles, particularly among the youngest children. This consequence of health care may be avoidable, however, since several studies suggest that sick children can be vaccinated safely and effectively.

There has been a growing interest in controlling and even eradicating measles.1–8 Effective strategies for the control or eradication of measles in developing countries require epidemiological studies of disease transmission in different social environments. This study describes the experience from an urban district in Guinea-Bissau. Despite a high level of immunisation, measles has continued to be a major cause of deaths registered in the community. An attempt was made to find out how measles was introduced into the district.

Subjects and methods

BACKGROUND

Since December 1978, Bandim, a district in the capital of Guinea-Bissau with a population of 6217 persons, has been the site of a child nutrition and health project. A health centre arranges for examinations of all children under 3 years of age at three-month intervals. Local assistants make inquiries about children who do not appear for examination. A recensus in 1982 indicates that virtually all deaths in the age group are found by this method.

During 1979, a very severe measles epidemic occurred in the community. The case fatality rate for children under 5 years of age was 21% (74/356). As a consequence, a measles vaccination campaign was implemented in December 1979. From late 1980, immunisations have been carried out in connection with the quarterly examinations.

MEASLES INCIDENCE

In connection with examinations and vaccination campaigns, mothers are asked if anybody in their house or neighbourhood has contracted measles since the introduction of measles vaccination. Furthermore, during a recensus in December 1981–February 1982, information on measles immunity was obtained for all children under 10 years of age. Information from these sources was checked in interviews with adults in each house where measles was said to have occurred. Questions were asked about how the children had caught measles. The first child to get sick in a house is considered an index case. Children getting sick seven or more days after an index case are assumed to be secondary cases infected in the house.4

The cases to be analysed here consist of all individuals having measles in Bandim between January 1980 and February 1982 as well as those from Bandim who contracted measles while outside the district. Comparison is made with the period before the introduction of measles vaccination.4

DIAGNOSIS AND “MEASLES DEATH”

Experience from Bandim suggests that measles is not overdiagnosed by lay people.4 “Measles death” has been defined as any death occurring within a month
of an attack of the disease. There were 23 such deaths. Lay diagnoses sometimes indicate that deaths in the second and third months after an attack of measles were due to measles. Four children died in the second and third months after an attack of measles.

Results

In spite of an 80 to 90% vaccination coverage, many cases occurred in the period under surveillance (table 1). In contrast with 1979, when only 5% (21/459) had measles outside Bandim, 12% of cases occurred outside the district in 1980–2 (p<0.001; \( \chi^2 = 11.9 \)). Another result of the vaccination coverage was that guests and newcomers not previously registered in Bandim in the period 1980–2 constituted 30% (49/161) of the cases whereas they had only been 16% (72/459) in 1979 (p<0.001; \( \chi^2 = 16.5 \)).

In 1980–2, 15% (82/531) of Bandim houses were affected by measles (table 1). In 34 cases, the source of infection was contact with a measles case in a neighbouring house, in school or at the market. Most of the 20 cases where the source was unknown presumably belong to the same category. There was no concentration of cases in houses bordering the neighbouring districts. These adjacent areas where little vaccination has been carried out have therefore not been particularly important as sources of introduction of measles.

In 24 instances, measles was introduced from another area to Bandim (table 1). Ten sick children had measles at the hospital (2) or shortly after returning from the hospital (8), and three healthy children contracted measles during a visit to the hospital. Health institutions were thus responsible for half of the foreign introductions (13/24). Among the introductions, the hospital accounted for 86% (12/14) of the index cases under 2 years of age (table 2).

Since children were vaccinated from the age of 6 months during the initial campaigns, it could be feared that vaccine failures would contribute to the introduction of measles cases. However, there were only 17% (4/24) vaccinated children among the foreign introduction cases whereas 36% of other cases had been vaccinated against measles. All four vaccinated introduction cases contracted the disease during hospitalisation. Five of the other introductions from the hospital were 5–9 months old and had not yet been vaccinated.

The 11 persons who introduced measles from areas other than the hospital had not been protected by vaccination because they were guests (6) or were too old (4) or too young (1) to be included in the regular measles vaccination programme.

In the 1980–2 period, 43% (10/23) of deaths occurred among guests and newcomers, whereas such deaths had constituted only 12% (9/77) in 1979 (p = 0.003; Fischer’s exact test). Among the 13

### Table 1 Deaths and cases of measles by source of infection, Bandim, Guinea-Bissau, January 1980 to February 1982

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>No. of houses affected, introductions</th>
<th>Deaths (no. ill)</th>
<th>Case fatality rate for children &lt;5yr (no. ill)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measles outside Bandim</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>13.3% (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal transmissions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbours, school, market</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5/51</td>
<td>10.0% (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health centre in Bandim</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0.0% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown source</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2/33</td>
<td>7.4% (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foreign introductions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guests and newcomers</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7/19</td>
<td>30.0% (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact outside Bandim</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>0.0% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric hospital</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7/20</td>
<td>29.4% (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>23/161</td>
<td>15.3% (124)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Index cases by age and source of infection, Bandim, Guinea-Bissau, January 1980 to February 1982

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Infection (mth)</th>
<th>Internal transmissions</th>
<th>Foreign introductions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighbourhood, unknown source</td>
<td>Health centre</td>
<td>Contact outside Bandim, guests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–59</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bandim children who died of measles, one had been vaccinated at 8 months of age, three at 9 months, and one at 28 months. Three children were too young (7–8 months) to be vaccinated when they caught measles and died. Three other unvaccinated children were aged 9, 10, and 14 months when they contracted measles. Two older children who died had not been vaccinated because they had an undocumented history of prior vaccination.

Of all measles deaths, three were among children who had been hospitalised and four occurred in the houses to which hospitalised children brought measles. Three more of those children who had been hospitalised (2) or had caught measles from such children (1) died in the second or third month after the measles attack. The role of the paediatric ward is illustrated in the following case report.

Case Report
House 2–34 is of good standard with concrete floor and corrugated iron roof. The 10 rooms are divided between several households.

Household I CC, a girl aged 12 months, who had been vaccinated against measles at 9 months, was admitted to hospital in early January 1982. She developed measles a few days after returning from the hospital and died on 27 January 1982. An older sibling, JC, 5 years old, developed measles on 5 February 1982 and died five days later.

Household II BM, a boy aged 9 months, was admitted to hospital at the same time as CC from household I. BM developed measles in late January 1982, six days after returning from the hospital. A nurse from Bandim’s health centre treated BM at home on February 10 and on the days following. He died on 1 March.

Household III BC, a boy aged 11 months, was seen with a severe attack of measles on 18 February 1982. He died on 12 May.

Household IV AG, a girl aged 14 years, was found to have measles on 18 February. She survived.

Discussion

There are several indications that vaccination has changed the epidemiology of measles infection in Bandim. Compared with the period before the introduction of vaccination, more children contracted measles outside the district and a much larger proportion of the cases detected in Bandim itself were guests and newcomers.

One distinctive feature of measles epidemiology in developing countries is the young age of infection. Contact at health care institutions may play an important role for the early transmission of infection. A study from Yaoundé, Cameroun, indicated that among 69 children with measles in the age group 6–23 months old, 42% (29) had been exposed to measles at a health centre.8 In Bandim, the health centre apparently played a minor role in measles transmission. This may be due to the fact that the health centre has no waiting room, and children with measles are brought for consultation mainly in the convalescent phase. However, the paediatric ward of the central hospital, which has no possibility of enforcing isolation, plays an important role in the transmission of measles in the community, particularly for children under 2 years of age.

In 1979, before the introduction of measles vaccination, contact with health institutions accounted for only 7% (31/459) of the cases compared with 12% in 1980–2 (p<0.05; χ² = 5.1). The percentage of measles deaths due to contact with health institutions increased from 7% (5/77) in 1979 to 30% (7/23) in the last period (p = 0.01; Fischer’s exact test). With a high coverage of the immunisation programme, paediatric ward and health centres are likely to become increasingly important as sources of measles transmission.

Several studies have noted that measles contracted at the hospital is severe, often with a high case fatality rate.7–10 However, introductions of measles in the community via the paediatric ward may contribute more to measles inflicted child mortality than those cases occurring during hospitalisation. Not less than 37% (10/27) of all who died within three months of a measles attack had caught the disease at the hospital or through contact with someone returning from a stay in hospital. The fact that children died of infection they had caught in the ward contributes much to the popular fear of hospitals.

The importance of health institutions in measles transmission can probably be reduced. There has been some reluctance to vaccinate sick children,10–11 and a few reports of children dying shorty after vaccination10 have suggested that measles vaccination may kill severely malnourished and immunodeficient children. However, all studies of a large number of patients indicate that sick and malnourished children can be vaccinated safely and effectively against measles.28 14–18 A control study concluded that measles vaccination almost completely prevented cross infections with measles and did not constitute a significant risk to severely sick children.8 Another study18 reported a highly significant reduction in mortality from a level of 17% (104/600) in the year preceding measles immunisation to 8% (51/654) in the year after measles vaccination was given on admission to all children aged 6–36 months (p<0.001; χ² = 26.3). Considering both the risk of contracting measles during hospitalisation and the risk of transmitting the
disease to individuals outside the hospital, there are
good reasons to study further the possibility of
routine immunisation on admission to paediatric
wards in developing countries, at least when there are
cases of measles in the ward.

Priorities in measles vaccination programmes have
recently been discussed.1 3 9 An urban area such as
Bandim will often be the source of infections for
outbreaks in rural areas.30 This means that priority
should be given to regular vaccination programmes in
towns.1 2 Within the city, paediatric wards and clinics
for sick children may be important places for measles
transmission. They should be better controlled.
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