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Fedrick, J. (1976). British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine, 30, 132-137. Anencephalus in Scotland 1961-72. Data relating to the incidence of anencephalus for the 12-year period 1961-72 were abstracted from the Statistical Reviews of the Registrar General for Scotland. It was shown that considerable geographical variation is still apparent with the highlands having, in general, the lower incidences. In comparison with the earlier study of Edwards (1958), there were some changes: the incidence in the areas to the west had increased and that in those to the east decreased. During the 12-year period there was an overall decline in the incidence of the lesion; this was most marked in births to women under 20 years, and to those of social classes III, IV, and V. The decline was least apparent for births to women of high social class and the unmarried. It was shown that there was little seasonal variation in the time of delivery, but that even when the trend had been taken into account the yearly fluctuation was significantly different from that expected, with an excess of cases in 1961 and 1971.

Results
Out of 1 162 939 total births which were delivered during the 12-year period, 3246 were stated to be anencephalics giving a rate of 2.79. Of these 295 (9.1%) were registered as livebirths.

Regional Variation
One of the main findings in Edwards's paper (Edwards, 1958) was a marked variation in incidence within Scotland, with highest rates in the lowlands and lowest rates in the highlands. Using the 12-year data on stillbirths with anencephalus (Fig. 1), it can be seen that again there is considerable variation within counties. The highest incidences were found in the south of the country especially in the belt from the Clyde to the Firth of Forth. Seven (21%) of the 33 counties, had incidences that were significantly different (at the 5% level) from the remainder of Scotland (Aberdeen, Caithness, Midlothian, Perth, and Zetland being significantly lower, Lanark and Stirling higher).

To examine the change in incidence from the time of Edwards's study to the present one, the
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counties have been grouped as in the former study. As can be seen in Fig. 2 there has been a marked variation in that the incidence appears to have decreased in a band of areas to the east of the country and increased to the west.
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Fig. 2. Changes in the incidence of stillbirths with anencephalus in Scotland between the periods 1950-56 and 1960-72.

**Urban versus Rural Areas**

Edwards (1958) developed a method of assessing whether there had been any increase in urban compared with rural rates after taking the general regional variation into account. To do this he compared the incidence of the lesion among infants delivered to mothers resident in an urban area with that pertaining in the contiguous rural areas; he found that the weighted mean urban/rural ratio for anencephalus was 1·0—that is, that there was no difference between the rates in urban and rural areas once the general geographical variation had been taken into account.

A similar analysis of the present data produced a weighted mean ratio equal to 1·12 (significantly different from 1·0, P < 0·05). Edwards, however, suggested that large cities and ports were atypical because they were likely to contain a large proportion of immigrants. If they are excluded from the analysis the urban effect becomes slightly greater (mean ratio = 1·17), but is no longer statistically significant (0·1 > P > 0·05).

**Social Class**

Edwards's study was one of the first to show the marked trend in incidence with social class: a result that has been confirmed in Belfast (Elwood, 1970) and in the United Kingdom (Butler and Alberman, 1969). The more recent Scottish data presented here (Table I) show the same effect, with the incidence among births to women in social class V some three times that among deliveries to women in social class I.

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Class</th>
<th>Cases of Anencephalus</th>
<th>Total Births</th>
<th>Rate ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63 292</td>
<td>1·26 ± 0·14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>118 455</td>
<td>1·65 ± 0·11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>588 464</td>
<td>2·82 ± 0·07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>198 207</td>
<td>3·11 ± 0·12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>119 277</td>
<td>4·07 ± 0·17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total known</strong></td>
<td><strong>3034</strong></td>
<td><strong>1 087 695</strong></td>
<td><strong>2·79 ± 0·05</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legitimacy**

Edwards noted that in both his series and the previous one of Record and McKeown (1949) in Birmingham there were actually fewer illegitimate anencephalics than expected. This, he noted, was especially striking since the unmarried mother was more likely to have come from a lower social class environment. From 1961-72, though, the rate of anencephalus was almost identical in legitimate (2·79) and illegitimate (2·82) births.

**Parity**

Edwards showed that an infant born to a primi-para was almost 40% more likely to be an anencephalic than was a second-born infant. The more recent data (Table II) show that the contrast between the incidences is now smaller with only 22% increase in incidence in first compared with second births. Nevertheless, the effect is still highly significant.

Note though, that in accord with many other series (Record and McKeown, 1949; Ingalls, Pugh, and MacMahon, 1954; Coffey and Jessop, 1958;
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TABLE II
INCIDENCE OF ANENCEPHALIC STILLBIRTH BY PARITY (LEGITIMATE BIRTHS ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Cases of Anencephalus</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Rate ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>380 435</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>316 795</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>188 058</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>97 786</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>49 736</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>25 701</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>29 006</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recorded</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>1 087 517</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Searle, 1959; Beteras, 1962; Muffariz and Kilejian, 1963; Frézal et al., 1964; Carter, David, and Laurence, 1968; Naggan, 1971) there is a marked increase in the incidence with increasing parity from parity one until parity four when there appears to be some levelling out of the rate.

PARENTAL AGES

Edwards's data indicated a high risk to infants of teenage mothers, lowest risk at ages 25-29 years and a rising incidence thereafter. The present data exhibit the same effect (Table III) which has been reported in some other series (Ingalls et al., 1954; Beteras, 1962; Hamersmaa, 1964; Carter et al., 1968; Rogers, 1969). Unfortunately it is not possible from the published data to assess the effects of parity and maternal age simultaneously, but a previous study indicated that in the United Kingdom the primiparity effect is more marked among the young mothers and the multiparity effect is chiefly found among the older women (Fedrick, 1970a).

Information on paternal age has been lacking from most studies. Analysis of the Scottish data showed the type of effect that one would expect from the maternal age pattern, with lowest incidences between the ages of 25 and 34 years. Although the data did not permit a complex analysis taking maternal age and parity into account, there was little prima facie evidence to indicate that paternal age was of importance.

SEASONAL VARIATION

Edwards found, as had McKeown and Record (1951) previously, that there was a pronounced seasonal variation in the number of anencephalics delivered: the winter incidence being about a third greater than the summer incidence. The present data showed little variation, the winter incidence being only 6% greater than the summer.

The variation, such as there is, is not statistically significant whether using the method of Edwards (1961) of testing for cyclic trend or the non-parametric method of Hewitt et al. (1971).

SECULAR VARIATION

In an analysis of the yearly variation in the incidence of the lesion there are two aspects to consider: first, is there an overall trend, and secondly, can any particular years be considered to have more or fewer cases than would be expected by chance? From Fig. 3 it can be seen that the rate of anencephalus decreased during the period, the data being compatible with the equation:

$$y = 3.392 - 0.113x$$

where y is the rate of anencephalus and x is the number of years after 1961. (The standard error of the regression coefficient is 0.021; that is, the trend is highly significant: t = 5.4; df = 10; P < 0.001). The numbers of cases expected, from this equation, to have occurred in each year have been calculated and there does appear to be significant variation ($\chi^2 = 20.8$, P < 0.05) with an excess of cases in 1961 and 1971 and a dearth in 1962.

Having established that there was an overall downward trend in incidence in Scotland throughout the 12-year period, let us examine the question of whether the fall is consistent within age, social class, and parity groups.

Table IV shows the change in incidence within groups. The reduction in incidence was marked in social classes III, IV, and V but was barely apparent in social classes I and II. Although the decrease was constant with parity there was marked variation with maternal age: the reduction among births to teenage women being such that in the second period of time the incidence in this age group was almost identical to the incidence among the births to women aged 20 to 34 years.
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**Fig. 3.** Incidence of anencephalus by year of delivery from Reports of the Registrars General for Scotland and England and Wales.

**TABLE IV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Rate 1961-66</th>
<th>Rate 1967-72</th>
<th>Decrease (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season of birth**†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-March</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April-June</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-September</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October-December</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social class*†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I and II</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV and V</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legitimacy**</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legitimate</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegitimate</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0+</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 20</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All*</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Live and stillbirth
† Rate per 1000 legitimate births

Finally, there is some suggestion that there was a slight seasonal variation in the first six years which became barely perceptible in the later period. This is similar to the findings of Leck and Record (1966) for Birmingham and Elwood and Nevin (1973) in Belfast.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study has repeated the finding of Edwards (1958) of marked geographical variation in the incidence of the lesion, but perhaps of more interest is the comparison of the incidences found in his study with those found at this later period of time. In Scotland as a whole, the population had decreased between the two census years of 1961 and 1971; the only areas in which there had been a slight increase being the far western highlands and the area around the Firth of Forth (Nissel and Lewis, 1974). The former area had a large increase in incidence, the latter a decrease. Thus it seems unlikely that the increase in rates in certain areas could be due to differential emigration of the 'fitter' members of the community.

In the Netherlands a marked geographical variation in the incidence of anencephalus was also found (Verstege, 1971). This was shown to be significantly correlated with the softness of the local water supply in accord with studies in the British Isles (Fedrick, 1970b; Lowe, Roberts, and Lloyd, 1971; Verstege, 1971). Nevertheless he also found curious secular patterns, the incidence falling dramatically during the period 1951-68 in the rural areas but rising in the previously low incidence area of Zeeland. The author could find no explanation for this phenomenon.

The other changes of pattern are also of interest. The marked increase in incidence with low maternal age has almost vanished, but whereas formerly the incidence among illegitimate births was slightly lower than that among legitimate births, the reverse has now occurred. Overall in Scotland during the 12-year period the rate of illegitimate births increased from 5% of all births in the first six years to 8% during the period 1967-72.

The maternal age distribution has also varied during the period with the proportion of deliveries to women under 20 years increasing from 7.7% to 10.4%, whereas the proportion of mothers over 34 years decreased from 12.2% to 9.4%. Simultaneously there was a decrease in the number of women being delivered who were of parity three or more, from 21% in the first six-year period to 16% in the second. In comparison the distribution of all births by social class has shown far less variation: the proportion of social classes IV and V has decreased from 29.9% to 28.4% and the 'upper' social classes increased from 15.3% to 18.4%.
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From all these factors it is difficult to explain the changes in the incidence of the lesion. Diet has been suggested as being an important factor in anencephalus (Renwick, 1972; Knox, 1972; Fedrick, 1974) and it is conceivable that some of the variation shown could be so explained. For example, it could be that the dietary factor is one to which the newest generation of reproducing women has not become so addicted as her elder sister. This would account for the marked decline in incidence in the younger age groups. It is also feasible that the substance or a combination of substances was primarily consumed by the women in the lower social classes and that reduction in consumption would therefore only affect the incidence in those social classes.

Although many substances, including tea, processed peas and, possibly corned beef probably fit this pattern, it is impossible without further data from other areas to make other than wild surmises.
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